

Frederick William Dame

American Patriot vs. Unconvicted Felon

Patriotism is the loyal support for and defense of one's country. A patriot is one who exercises patriotism. Patriotism and patriot have their origin in the Indo-European word stem *pātēr* meaning father. In Greek it became the word *patēr*, meaning father. Out of this word evolved *patris*, meaning fatherland and *patriōtēs* meaning fellow countryman. From the Greek we have the Late Latin *patriōta* and then the French *patriote*, both meaning patriot in English. [1] The English word patriotism is formed from the English patriot plus -ism, the -ism ending in this case being a noun-forming suffix that signals the forming of words for concepts, activities, as well as conditions.

There is really no difference between fatherland and motherland other than that one is masculine and appeals to the virility in men to fight and defend their country against enemies, and that the other is feminine and appeals to the desire to fight for and protect the amenable, delicate, genteel, refined relationships the citizenry have with their country.

The American Patriot in the title of this essay is the highly decorated United States Army Flight Surgeon LTC Terrence Lakin, who is undergoing a General Court Martial for missing movement (not following deployment orders) and refusing to obey orders. LTC Lakin contends that the orders are illegal because they stem from the bogus Commander in Chief (CINC), the putative president Barack Hussein Obama, who is an ineligible and illegal president according to Article II, Section 1, Clause 5, of the *Constitution for the United States of America*. [2]

Keeping the above etymological excursion in mind we now turn to standpoints in recent emails that this author has received from two retired United States Army Colonels (COL) concerning the General Court Martial of LTC Lakin. Both Colonels are appalled that a United States Army Officer would have the audacity to not obey an order via the chain of command from the CINC, Barack Hussein Obama. These two opinions are

symptomatic of those persons who rule out the meaning of natural born citizen as a requirement to become president, who are pro-Barack Hussein Obama, regardless of his breaking the law of the Constitution, and who are anti-LTC Terrence Lakin and his patriotic standpoint in upholding his oath to the Constitution. I shall keep the anonymity of these two persons by not using their names. I shall refer to them as COL ONE and COL TWO. Furthermore, their standpoints have been re-phrased in indirect speech in a form reflecting their exact direct speech positions. I shall continue by presenting the Colonels' STANDPOINTS and then MY COMMENTS. At this time I note that it is always interesting to receive a variety of opinions and viewpoints.

STANDPOINT: COL ONE is of the opinion that by his actions LTC Lakin is proposing that any soldier who does not want to obey an order does not have to obey an order. Such a soldier can demand that any commissioned officer or non-commissioned officer in the chain of command has to present the authority to implement such orders.

MY COMMENT: The statement does not hit the target that is the constitutional crisis and it does not hit the target that is the LTC Lakin General Court Martial, which in reality is a kangaroo court. It is my opinion that it is a sham legal proceeding because the authority to convene General Court Martials resides with the CINC. The CINC, Barack Hussein Obama (aka Barry Soetoro, aka Barry Obama, aka Barack Dunham, aka Barry Dunham, aka Barry Obama-Muslim), is a putative president.

LTC Lakin is not proposing the legal principle that any soldier who does not want to obey an order does not have to obey an order, or that such a soldier can demand that any commissioned officer or non-commissioned officer in the chain of command has to present the authority to implement such orders. It is the *Constitution for the United States of America* and the oath that LTC Lakin and COL ONE and COL TWO took to uphold, that demands proper documentation of the validity of military orders, particularly when they originate from the CINC, who cannot be CINC because he does not meet the natural born citizen requirement of Article II, Section 1, Clause 5, of the US Constitution. This article of the Constitution states:

"No person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any Person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty-five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States." [3]

The natural born citizen terminology has always been a natural law fact since its definition by Emerich de Vattel in his 1758 work *Droit des gens; ou, Principes de la loi naturelle appliqués à la conduite et aux affaires des nations et des souverains* (in English, *The Law of Nations or the Principles of Natural Law Applied to the Conduct and to the Affairs of Nations and of Sovereigns*). The Founding Fathers understood the concept totally; otherwise they would not have used it. A natural born citizen of a country is a person born in the country of citizen parents of that country. Regardless of where Barack Hussein Obama was born, he is not a natural born citizen because at least one of his parents was not an American citizen. Barack Hussein Obama's father was a British subject born in Kenya. Furthermore, Barack Hussein Obama's mother, Stanley Anne Dunham, was only 18 years old at the time she gave birth to her son. The U.S. immigration law barred her from passing citizenship on to her son because she had not lived in the United States of America for at least five years after her fourteenth birthday. Even though she was a citizen, she could not pass her citizenship on to her child because she was too young. [4]

These are facts. Therefore, as the essay *The United States of America: A Country Without a President* [5] presents, the logic of the Constitution, which is anchored in natural law, states that there is really no legal president. Barack Hussein Obama is an ineligible and illegal president. There is no logic that can prove otherwise, unless, Barack Hussein Obama can show, via a legal, non-forged, official, long-form birth certificate that both his father and his mother were legal American citizens at the time of his birth and that Barack Hussein Obama was born in the United States of America. The fact that his citizenship may have changed when he *became* an Indonesian is another legal matter that deserves to be investigated by the proper authorities. [6]

LTC Lakin is saying that it must be shown that the *Constitution for the United States of America* is being legally followed, and that his oath to support the Constitution would be upheld if he followed the deployment order from the present putative CINC, Barack Hussein Obama.

What the military court is contending is that LTC Lakin refuses to follow an order which the military says is lawful, in spite of the facts. Elections cannot change the logic founded in the Constitution, only logical and legal constitutional amendments based on natural law can do that. Therefore, LTC Lakin is undergoing General Court Martial based upon the fact that he refuses to follow an order coming from an ineligible and illegal CINC, because if LTC Lakin followed the order, he would then be breaking his oath to the Constitution.

Apparently, the United States Military is not interested in the fool-proof logic of the Constitution, and surely it is not interested in the truth. The military judge, COL Denise Lind, has told LTC Lakin that he cannot see evidence and that he cannot present evidence that pertains to Barack Hussein Obama's legality and eligibility to be president. He cannot present witnesses, like Ambassador Alan Keyes [7] to explain the legalities of the constitutional crisis to the military tribunal. The presiding military judge, COL Denise Lind, ruled that "It may embarrass the President" [8] if LTC Lakin presents evidence that questions and proves Barack Hussein Obama's eligibility to be president and CINC. According to this logic no fraudster should be brought to justice because it would embarrass the criminal. COL Denise Lind has also officially stated that the chain of command stops at the Pentagon. [9] For the purpose of an excursive argument, let us say that statement is true. Then the next question should be: Why is the President the Commander in Chief if there is no command above the Pentagon? These are two examples of questionable judgment by COL Denise Lind. Now that is superb, legal reasoning! [10] COL Denise Lind should go down in military history as being either the most intelligent military judge, or the most fatuitous. The quips also contain a disdain for the Constitution and show the unwillingness of the military to seek out the truth. The idiotic decision by COL Denise Lind has rendered the *Constitution for the United States of America* ineffectual. It is the Constitution that has supremacy over the Uniform Code

of Military Justice, or should it be the Uniform Code of Military Injustice? Nevertheless, it would not be surprising if COL Denise Lind's promotion orders to Brigadier General have already been signed.

Supportive of COL Denise Lind is the fact that the spineless United States Supreme Court is also not interested in the truth, as is the contention of the essay *Questions the (In)Justices of the United States Supreme Court Should Be Asked, But Will Never Answer.* [11] As a matter of opinion, the military is not interested in justice or even upholding the Constitution. The military are not interested in being patriotic and in standing up for the truth, at least not with respect to this constitutional crisis. If the military authorities were interested in finding out the truth, they would allow LTC Lakin to present evidence in his defense.

In essence, LTC Lakin is taking the patriotic standpoint that if a military order has an illegal source, then the order cannot be legal. It is that simple. The fish stinks from the head down and the present stinking fish is Barack Hussein Obama.

STANDPOINT: COL ONE constructs some situations that could be used as arguments for those who do not want to follow military orders from a superior. After the constructions, COL ONE ironically concludes that such a military organization would be truly great. Such constructions are:

- Sergeant XYZ should have been promoted to Staff Sergeant, not you, Sergeant ABC;
- orders will be followed only if you, my superior, show your qualifications; prove that you have a real officer's commission;
- of course you cannot be promoted to general – you will be kept in your position to keep you under surveillance and to insure that you will be quiet and not raise commotion.

MY COMMENT: My opinion is that these comparisons are crap [12] because they are concerned with situations of jealousy, warped fabrications of cognitive functions, and irresponsible envy, all of which, of course, always exist in the military and other

organizations. They have nothing to do with the legality and natural law logic of the *Constitution for the United States of America*.

STATEMENT: COL ONE argues that the United States military does not support mutiny and coups d'état which is a prime strength of the United States of America.

MY COMMENT: COL ONE's statement is inconclusive because there are logical, politically, sound bases for coups d'état and revolutions that can be conducted for purposes of saving the United States of America from despotism, dictatorship, and evil charlatans, etc. Thomas Jefferson once said that revolutions should occur every now-and-then. [13] According to Jefferson they are good for a healthy republic. Indeed, if Barack Hussein Obama should declare an emergency, regardless of the reason, and call out martial law to be enforced by the military, that would be a coup d'état from the top down and it surely would not save the United States of America from a despot and it would certainly not be supportive of the *Constitution for the United States of America*. It would be an attempt at its destruction, which many think is the goal of Barack Hussein Obama. [14]

Being an ineligible and illegal president, Barack Hussein Obama does not have the legal authority to call out martial law. Yet he would do it in a second. He did not meet the qualifications to be a candidate for president. Yet, he became a candidate, anyway; albeit an ineligible and illegal candidate.

Concerning mutiny, a prime historical example for the United States of America is the Port Chicago Mutiny (that was really not a mutiny because there was no conspiracy) on August 9, 1944, three weeks after the Port Chicago disaster. There were 250/(some sources claim 258) out of 300/320 African-American sailors in an ordnance battalion who refused to load ammunition under the inefficient, indeed, lack of safety measures. There has to be a conspiracy in order for there to be a mutiny. Since there was no conspiracy, there was no mutiny. The sailors said that they would, of course, load the ammunition, but it had to be accomplished under qualitative safety regulations.

Apparently COL ONE considers it okay that a mutiny was conducted to evade the laws governing presidential elections; that Barack Hussein Obama and the Democratic National Committee, under the chairperson Nancy Pelosi, conspired against the Constitution and the American voters and sent 49 official certifications of nomination that Barack Hussein Obama was the Democratic Party candidate for president. Only one certification, that to Hawai'i, contained the correct formulation. All of the other certifications were incorrectly formulated. Nancy Pelosi lied! The certification to Hawai'i, albeit with the correct formulation, was a lie! Yes, believe it or not, the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the Chairperson of the Democratic National Committee ... lied! [15]

Coup-d'état or mutiny, if it is the last step to depose a despot and uphold the *Constitution for the United States of America*, then so be it. [16] COL ONE is forgetting that the real truth and the real supreme strength of the United States of America is the Supreme Law of the Land, the *Constitution for the United States of America*.

STATEMENT: COL ONE maintains that LTC Lakin's stance is equivalent to mutiny and treason; that LTC Lakin dishonors the oath that he took as an officer in the United States Army, since he as the oath-taker swore to obey the orders of officers who rank above him.

MY COMMENT: LTC Lakin's actions are not tantamount to treason and mutiny. The actions of Barack Hussein Obama are exactly treason. LTC Lakin's actions are patriotic. An example of treason is the LCDR Walter Fitzpatrick Case in which LCDR Walter Fitzpatrick has filed allegations of treason against Barack Hussein Obama. [17]

The factual logic of the Constitution is that Barack Hussein Obama is not an eligible and legal president. As a legal consequence, all of his executive orders, signed laws, signed treaties, czar appointments, and federal nominations, like the appointments of Supreme Court Justices Sonia Sotomayor and Elena Kagan can be found to be retroactively illegal by all future American governments. Concerning treaties, even foreign governments do not have to uphold them, in so far as they are a party to any treaty

signed by the ineligible and illegal president Barack Hussein Obama. It is also a fact that all orders that Barack Hussein Obama issues as CINC are unlawful orders. No soldier, and surely no officer have a duty to obey them. Indeed, they have a duty to disobey them! It is also a fact that any person obeying this illegal CINC's unlawful orders can be prosecuted and then be subject to criminal punishment, even for war crimes and crimes against humanity, which the political left considers is the condition of the United States military actions in Iraq and Afghanistan. Therefore, it is a soldier's duty not to obey unlawful orders, perhaps to protect themselves from the baseless arguments and accusations of the political left.

In addition, the oath of a military officer in the United States military forces is:

"I, _____, having been appointed an officer in the Army of the United States, as indicated above in the grade of _____ do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign or domestic, that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservations or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter; So help me God." [18]

The Officer Oath does not "promise to obey the orders of the President" as does the enlisted person's oath.

Furthermore, is the question really mutiny or treason? Let us look at the definitions of these two terms.

Mutiny (*Webster's Dictionary*) *Forcible or passive (conspirative) resistance to lawful authority especially concerted revolt (as of a naval crew) against discipline or a superior officer.*

Treason (*Webster's Dictionary*): 1. *the betrayal of a trust.* 2. *The offense of attempting by overt acts to overthrow the government of the state to which the offender owes allegiance.*

In the *Constitution for the United States of America* we find treason being defined in Article III, Section 3. Treason under the Constitution is very limited.

"Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort. No Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court. The Congress shall have power to declare the Punishment of Treason, but no Attainder [19] of Treason shall work Corruption of Blood, or Forfeiture except during the Life of the Person attainted."

There are only two specific acts that constitute treason: "levying War against [the United States], or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort."

The testimony of two persons to the act must be made or the accused must make a confession in open court. [20]

LTC Lakin's action and LCDR Fitzpatrick's action may be seen as mutiny if Barack Hussein Obama is an eligible and lawful president, or superior officer as CINC. According to the dictionary definition of treason, both LTC Lakin and LCDR Fitzpatrick would be committing treason if Barack Hussein Obama is an eligible and legal President, and is the government. However, Barack Hussein Obama is neither an eligible and legal president, nor is he *the government*.

According to the definition of the Constitution, not obeying orders of the military chain of command could be construed as treason, because doing so could be interpreted as giving aid and comfort to enemies.

The irony of the situation is that Barack Hussein Obama may not legally owe allegiance to the United States of America because he is not a natural born citizen president.

Furthermore, Barack Hussein Obama is not impeachable because he is not an eligible and legal president. The simple fact and logic of the Constitution, Article II, Section 1, Clause 5, attests this logical conclusion. Furthermore, absolutely no president is *the government*. The government is WE THE PEOPLE. Barack Hussein Obama is only illegally present.

According to the questionable, yet very emotional *logic* of COL ONE, the following persons are also traitors because they also have court cases charging Barack Hussein Obama to be an ineligible and illegal president. [21]

Bill Little, Commander (Ret)

Carmen A. Reynolds, Lieutenant Colonel (Ret)

Carroll D. Childers, Major General (ret)

Charles Kerchner, Commander

Connie Rhodes, Captain

David Earl Graef, Lieutenant Colonel

Debra A. Gunnoe, Lieutenant Colonel (Ret)

Fred Herndon, Captain (Ret)

Greg Hollister, Lieutenant Colonel (Ret)

Harry Riley, Colonel (Ret)

Harry Soloman, Lieutenant Colonel (Ret)

Jerry Curry, Major General (Ret)

Jim Cash, Brigadier General (Ret)

John Johnson, 1st Lieutenant (Ret)

Luther B. Neff, Captain (Ret)

Michael A. Trudell, Captain (Ret)

Neil B. Turner, Captain

Paul Vallely, Major General (Ret)

Richard C. Morris, Lieutenant Colonel (Ret)

Stefan Frederick Cook, Major

Thomas McInerney, Lt. General (ret)

William Harker, Commander (Ret)

STANDPOINT: COL ONE voices the suspicion that LTC Lakin did not receive proper legal advice before taking his stance not to obey the order from CINC and that by being sworn into office, CINC is a lawful president.

MY COMMENT: I do not know if LTC Lakin sought competent legal advice. As far as I know he did. But I do not know the quality of the advice. However, one aspect of LTC Lakin's defense could have to do with the source of authority for officers who are prosecutors in a case of court martial, That source of authority is the CINC. In order to give the authority, the CINC must be an eligible and legal CINC. Barack Hussein Obama is neither eligible nor legal to be CINC. [22] The argument is that if the source of authority is illegal, then the court martial is illegal.

STANDPOINT: COL ONE notes that military service members can be held accountable for obeying unlawful orders, but maintains that the content of the order must be unlawful and not the legitimacy of any source of the order in the chain of command, unless there is existing proof of the illegitimacy of the source.

MY COMMENT: This statement skirts the facts and logic. Moreover, the opinion of COL ONE at this instance somewhat reverses the outcome of the Nuremburg Trials after WWII, in which Third Reich perpetrators and personnel were held accountable not just because the content of orders were necessarily unlawful, but most importantly because their source of authority, Adolf Hitler and the NAZI political program, was unlawful because they were not a state under the rule of constitutional law, and the system was against all humanity in its discrimination and racial theories and murderous actions.

There is logical, constitutional proof according to natural law, that Barack Hussein Obama is an ineligible and illegal president. The logic is presented immediately. The logical conclusion and the logic of the Law of Nature and the Supreme Law of the Land, tells us:

-THE MAJOR PREMISE IS: a person must be eligible to be President of the United States.

-THE MINOR PREMISE IS: Barack Hussein Obama's eligibility to be President of the United States is not identified (established, shown to be true, demonstrated, manifested, evinced, documented, backed up, supported, upheld, sustained, affirmed, confirmed or any other synonym).

-THE CONCLUSION IS: The only conclusion is that Barack Hussein Obama cannot be President of the United States of America.

Barack Hussein Obama cannot be President of the United States of America when the logic of the situation says otherwise and the Supreme Law of the Land says otherwise, regardless of his usurpation of the position. The 2008 United States presidential election was and is illegal. It has no constitutional stature.

The order and the content of the order depends upon the legality of its source, in the LTC Lakin case, the CINC, Barack Hussein Obama, who by the factual logic stated above is an ineligible and illegal source CINC.

STATEMENT: COL ONE goes onto state that disobeying an order is justified only if by obeying the order, one would be placing himself in a situation of legal danger.

MY COMMENT: Had LTC Lakin obeyed the deployment order he would have committed the crime of disobeying the Supreme Law of the Land and his oath to uphold the Constitution. All other military officers who obey the illegal CINC Barack Hussein Obama and his orders are committing a crime and concurrently saying that military officers are willing to obey an impostor who has placed himself above the Supreme Law of the Land. That crime is disobedient to the logic and law of the *Constitution for the United States of America*. It is a felony and they are thus in the same legal situation as Barack Hussein Obama. Indeed, they are aiding and abetting a felon.

A **felony** (*Webster's Dictionary*) as it applies to this essay is. d: *a crime for which the punishment in federal law may be death or imprisonment for more than one year.* The word *felony* derives from the Medieval Latin word *fellō*, from Vulgar Latin *fellō†* (unattested), meaning *wicked, malignant*, which in turn comes from Frankish **fillo*; **filljo*, meaning *a person who whips or beats, a scourger*. It may be related to the Latin word *fel*, meaning *gall, an evil poison*, which has its Indo-European word root in the suffixed full-grade form **ghel-n-*, which means *bile*. [23] However this is not one-hundred percent certain. The word *felony* came into the English language in about 1290, at which time it meant *treachery, villainy, crime*.

The unconvicted felon in the title of this essay is Barack Hussein Obama. A felon is a person who commits a felony. An archaic meaning of felon is a person who is evil. Barack Hussein Obama's felons are many. Here is a list [24]:

18 U.S.C. 371 Conspiracy to Commit Offense or to Defraud United States.

"If two or more persons conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. If, however, the offense, the commission of which is the object of the conspiracy, is a misdemeanor only, the punishment for such conspiracy shall not exceed the maximum punishment provided for such misdemeanor."

An analysis by the United States Justice Foundation (USFJ) states that, "As in all conspiracies, there must be two or more persons working in concert to achieve an illegal act, so the president would need a co-conspirator for this statute to apply. The state of Hawaii is being very secretive about the whereabouts or even existence of Mr. Obama's supposed birth certificate. If the officials in charge of keeping these records know of its non-existence, then they would be co-conspirators with the objective of defrauding the United States as to the citizenship status of Barack Obama. There, however, must be an 'in concert' element met, meaning that these officials are withholding the proof at the

direction of Mr. Obama. Is it possible that these officials love Barack Obama so much that they are withholding these documents out of the goodness of their own hearts? Yes, possibly, however unlikely. It is reasonable to infer that the Hawaiian officials are working 'in concert' with Mr. Obama to suppress this information, since each would face both civil and criminal suits, not to mention the loss of furthering their own political goals."

18 U.S.C. 911 False Personation of Citizen of the United States.

"Whoever falsely and willfully represents himself to be a citizen of the United States shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."

The USJF analysis says: "If Mr. Obama is not a natural born citizen, then he must have other proof of United States citizenship. If he has neither of these, then as acting head of state he is holding himself out to be a citizen of the United States, and is therefore liable under this section as well."

18 U.S.C. § 912 False Personation of Officer or Employee of the United States.

"Whoever falsely assumes or pretends to be an officer or employee acting under the authority of the United States or any department, agency or officer thereof, and acts as such, or in such pretended character demands or obtains any money, paper, document, or thing of value, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."

The USFJ analysis is, "Basically this statute calls for 1) fraudulent intent, and 2) an overt act to accomplish the inducement of one giving over a thing of value. If it were found that Barack Obama was not a natural born citizen, as required by the U.S. Constitution Art. II § 1, Clause 5, he will have assumed the office of president fraudulently to obtain money (among other things) by way of his annual salary. The Supreme Court has upheld convictions for False Personations in U.S. v. Lepowitch, (63 S.Ct. 914), and Lamar v. U.S., (36 S.Ct. 535)."

18 U.S.C. 1542 False Statement in Application and Use of Passport.

"Whoever willfully and knowingly makes any false statement in an application for passport with intent to induce or secure the issuance of a passport under the authority of the United States, either for his own use or the use of another, contrary to the laws regulating the issuance of passports or the rules prescribed pursuant to such laws; or

Whoever willfully and knowingly uses or attempts to use, or furnishes to another for use any passport the issue of which was secured in any way by reason of any false statement—Shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 25 years (if the offense was committed to facilitate an act of international terrorism (as defined in section 2331 of this title)), 20 years (if the offense was committed to facilitate a drug trafficking crime (as defined in section 929 (a) of this title)), 10 years (in the case of the first or second such offense, if the offense was not committed to facilitate such an act of international terrorism or a drug trafficking crime), or 15 years (in the case of any other offense), or both."

The USJF analysis says: "To obtain a U.S. passport one must show a valid birth certificate or some other form of identification showing U.S. citizenship. Barack Obama would have to have furnished some sort of birth certificate or other document showing he is a citizen. Of course, even if he was not a natural born citizen, he could show naturalization or some other citizenship papers. However, if these documents are spurious, then he would be guilty pursuant to the first paragraph, and to then use his illegally obtained passport, he would also be guilty under the second paragraph as well."

18 U.S.C. 1621 Perjury.

"Whoever—(1) having taken an oath before a competent tribunal, officer, or person, in any case in which a law of the United States authorizes an oath to be administered, that he will testify, declare, depose, or certify truly, or that any written testimony, declaration, deposition, or certificate by him subscribed, is true, willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material matter which he does not believe to be true; or

(2) in any declaration, certificate, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 of title 28, United States Code, willfully

subscribes as true any material matter which he does not believe to be true; is guilty of perjury and shall, except as otherwise expressly provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. This section is applicable whether the statement or subscription is made within or without the United States."

The USJF analysis says: "Mr. Obama has taken the oath of office of POTUS, in front of Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, John Roberts, in which he promises to 'defend the Constitution'. As an illegal alien, or even a non-natural born citizen, he would be acting as an ineligible president. Furthermore, as an attorney, and a former professor of constitutional law, Barack Obama would have full knowledge of the requirements for an eligible candidate for the office of POTUS. This shows that he has willfully stated that he will and is acting contrary to his presidential oath."

18 U.S.C. 2388(a) Activities Affecting Armed Forces During War.

"(a) Whoever, when the United States is at war, willfully makes or conveys false reports or false statements with intent to interfere with the operation or success of the military or naval forces of the United States or to promote the success of its enemies; or

Whoever, when the United States is at war, willfully causes or attempts to cause insubordination, disloyalty, mutiny, or refusal of duty, in the military or naval forces of the United States, or willfully obstructs the recruiting or enlistment service of the United States, to the injury of the service or the United States, or attempts to do so—Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both."

The USJF analysis says: "Intent is fully at issue here; however, President Obama made it clear during his campaign that his full intent when entering office would be to scale down the conflict with Afghanistan and Iraq, eventually leading to a full withdrawal. His statements of being a natural born citizen to obtain the office of commander in chief were in effort to interfere with the attempts by the former commander in chief's attempt at engaging the enemy in these two countries, for the purpose of national security.

In the case of *Schulze v. U.S.* (259 F. 189) Petitioner was convicted under this statute, and the question of intent was at issue. The court stated, 'It is true that in charging the offense it is unnecessary to allege the intent; the offense being one whose very definition necessarily includes intent. In such a case it is necessary only to aver in apt terms the acts done. The intent will be inferred. The charge is not unlike that of treason, the indictment for which needs go no further than to follow the language of the statute which defines the offense. (*United States v. Greathouse*, 2 Abb.U.S. 364, Fed. Cas. No. 15,254)...

This means that intent is inferred from the act itself. Mr. Obama has already announced that the efforts in Afghanistan will be scaled back, and a full withdrawal is planned for 2011. Furthermore, the announcement of this strategy works to the aid of our enemy, who now knows to sit in caves and wait out the U.S. for only a year or so. This certainly works to interrupt our operations and promote the success of our enemy."

STANDPOINT: COL TWO states that Barack Hussein Obama's birth certificate might contain information that is troubling, but not definitive. COL TWO maintains that the birth certificate might indicate a *home birth*, and the source of the information might be either the mother or grandmother. The Hawai'i Department of Health surely sent someone to check on baby Barack, but this would not necessarily have to be on record. Furthermore, COL TWO has the hunch that if Barack Hussein Obama was really born in Kenya, there would be no official records documenting Stanley Anne Dunham's travel there while pregnant and the return with the infant, because airlines did not maintain passenger lists in those days.

MY COMMENT: I consider these to be mute points because of the non-American citizenship status of Barack Hussein Obama's father and the fact that his mother was not of legal age to pass citizenship on to her son. Nevertheless, an official, Kenyan, long-form birth certificate would be enough documentation to prove that the travel took place.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO opinions that those who really know about the true circumstances of Barack Hussein Obama's birth are now dead. COL TWO finds it irritating that the courts have refused to undertake an examination of the evidence to find out if it is evidence that is definitive. In this regard LTC Lakin deserves no respectful commendation, but rather pity for *falling on his sword*.

MY COMMENT: LTC Lakin deserves commendation, *not for falling on his sword*, but for standing up and patriotically supporting the *Constitution for the United States of America*.

The only possibilities to resolve the constitutional crisis that is Barack Hussein Obama is the United States Supreme Court and the new convening of the United States Congress in January 2011, which could initiate the proper, legal undertakings against Barack Hussein Obama.

The Supreme Court said NO, essentially stating that any foreign politician or person could simply declare that he was really born in Honolulu, Hawai'i, place a forged Certification of Live Birth on the Internet like Barack Hussein Obama did, and then be a candidate for the office of the President of the United States of America, even though that person's parents were never United States citizens, just like Barack Hussein Obama's father. According to their ruling out the importance of the natural born citizen clause, both COL ONE and COL TWO would argue that this situation is okay.

What the United States Congress will undertake remains to be seen. What is true as of this writing is that a large number of Congresspersons and Senators do not know what a natural born citizen is, and they do not care!

Barack Hussein Obama has lied numerous times about his past in order to hide the fact that he is not a natural born citizen of the United States of America. Indeed, Barack Hussein Obama is a chronic liar. [25] Barack Hussein Obama has obfuscated his

ineligibility to be the president. Barack Hussein Obama refuses to release normal documents that politicians acquire during their growing-up and receiving an education.

Here are simple documents that would expose the charlatan Barack Hussein Obama if they were researched, discovered, and made public. [26]

- Original, vault copy birth certificate: **Not released** (attorney's fees are estimated to be over \$2 MILLION now, instead of HI birth certificate fee of under \$20.)
- Certification of Live Birth: **Released: Document Experts state it is a FORGERY**
http://polarik.blogtownhall.com/2008/11/22/obamas_born_conspiracy_obamas_bogus_birth_certificate_exposed!.html
- Obama/Dunham marriage license: **Not released** (if one exists)
- Obama/Dunham divorce: **Not released** (discovered by independent investigators)
- Kindergarten records: **Not released; School claims records are "LOST"**
- Soetoro/Dunham marriage license: **Not released**
- Soetoro adoption records: **Not released**
- Fransiskus Assisi School application: **Not released** (*discovered by independent investigators*)
- Punahou School records: **Not released**
- Soetoro/Dunham divorce: **Not released** (*discovered by independent investigators*)
<http://orlytaitzesq.com/drorlytaitzesq/documentation/SOETORODIVORCE.pdf>
- Selective Service Registration: **Not released (Obtained via Freedom of information act request; received FORGERY?)**
<http://orlytaitzesq.com/drorlytaitzesq/documentation/SSSGov.doc>
- Occidental College records: **Not released**
- Passport: **Not released**, records scrubbed by Obama's terrorism and intelligence adviser, John Brennan. No legal action was ever undertaken. The question arises is it possible to have a United States Passport without providing a birth certificate?
- Columbia College records: **Not released**

- Columbia thesis; "Soviet Nuclear Disarmament"; **Not released**
But an anti-war plan to disarm America article written by Obama was found;
<http://orlytaitzesq.com/drorlytaitzesq/documentation/obamaantimilitary.pdf>
- Harvard College records: **Not released**
- Harvard Law Review articles: **None**
- Illinois Bar Records: **Not released (Barack Hussein Obama lied on his application to become a lawyer in Illinois, stating that he never had any aliases.)**
- Illinois Driver's License Record: **Not released** (*discovered by independent investigators*)
- Baptism certificate: **None**
- Medical records: **Not released**
- Illinois State Senate records: **None**
- Illinois State Senate schedule: **UH..., "LOST"**
- Law practice client list -- **Not released**
- University of Chicago scholarly articles: **None**

STANDPOINT: COL TWO quotes Mark Twain concerning what truth is: "What we don't know isn't the problem. It's what we do know that just ain't so."

MY COMMENT: Mark Twain was correct in his caustic position and I am correct in my logical position.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO states that a scientific education gives a person less trouble in distinguishing belief from what may be truth.

MY COMMENT: I do not doubt it one bit!

STANDPOINT: COL TWO continues and voices the maxim that *real truth is objective to everyone except the insane.*

MY COMMENT: The real truth that is presently in question concerning Barack Hussein Obama's eligibility is the real truth of the *Constitution for the United States of America*, specifically the real truth and infallible logic of Article 2, Section 1, Clause 5.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO further says that what people once generally believed and accepted has often been overturned by the best minds. COL TWO gives Albert Einstein as an example.

MY COMMENT: What was once generally believed and accepted was not necessarily the real truth. It was only an accepted belief, not a real truth. Einstein discovered and formulated the logic of a real truth in $E=mc^2$. I know of no real truth that was ever overturned by Einstein or other scientists.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO formidably says that he is cautious concerning *truths* that can be found on questionable internet sources.

MY COMMENT: I am also wary of questionable Internet sources. However, the sources to which I refer are not questionable. Three most formidable internet sources that come to mind are:

<http://www.colony14.net/id41.html>

<http://www.theobamafile.com/>

<http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/>

I challenge COL TWO, or any reader, to list one or more internet sources to which this author refers that are considered questionable and tell me the reasons for considering them questionable. Moreover, the sources to which I refer can be corroborated by at least three other independent sources. Additionally, I challenge COL TWO to read all of these reports at WorldNetDaily, which is definitely not a questionable Internet source [27], and in order for COL TWO to receive opinions concerning the truth and logic of the Constitution, I challenge COL TWO to read the respective comments to each article listed in note 27.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO succinctly says that he is in doubt about any person who is absolutely sure that everything the person himself says is the absolute truth.

MY COMMENT: So am I. Yet when it is the truth, my position stands upright with backbone.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO is also circumspect of any person (including this present author) who has biased and slanderous arguments.

MY COMMENT: So am I. I was initially unbiased. Yet, when I undertook an examination of Barack Hussein Obama's *Berlin Speech* and *Barack Hussein Obama's Acceptance Speech for the Democratic Party Nomination as Presidential Candidate in the 2008 Presidential Election* and found them to contain over 75% lies and historical inaccuracies, I started asking questions and doing more research. [28]

Knowing the true side of a situation does not mean that a person is biased. There is no sense in being biased against the truth. One is not biased for the truth. One is only morally supportive of the truth because to be non-supportive of the truth would be immoral. The facts of truth are nowhere apparent with Barack Hussein Obama. However, if COL TWO is insinuating that this author slandered anyone in stating his documented positions in his essays, I would like to know what the substance of the slander was. Slander is the utterance of false statements with the intent to discredit. My statements in this essay and other articles that I have written and will continue to write concerning the person that is Barack Hussein Obama are the truths and they are intended to open up other avenues or approaches to the matter at hand: the charlatan Barack Hussein Obama.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO continues the attack by stating that he is suspicious of persons who readily accept as facts only those statements that are consistent with the person's established beliefs without questioning the so-called *facts*.

MY COMMENT: I questioned the facts of the *Constitution for the United States of America*. I know the facts of the Constitution and I know the facts concerning Barack Hussein Obama's forged documents and concocted life, as well as his narcissistic character. [29] If everything is okay with Barack Hussein Obama, then he has spent over \$2 million dollars to keep his own concocted story as a concocted story. I do not believe that such behavior is okay. If you believe it to be okay, COL ONE and COL TWO, then that is your right to do so.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO plunges forward with the statement that he is distrustful of persons who ignore *the elephant in the living room*, e.g., the 22nd Amendment to the Constitution.

MY COMMENT: COL TWO means the 14th Amendment to the Constitution. The 22nd Amendment sets the presidential term limitation. The 14th Amendment deals with citizenship naturalization. The terminology *natural born citizen* does not appear in the 14th amendment. The 14th Amendment has nothing to do with Article II, Section 1, Clause 5. [30] The left would prefer that the dumbed-down electorate believe otherwise. The purpose of the 14th amendment was to give former slavers citizenship via the process of citizenship naturalization.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO then makes a flank attack with the statement that there are well-known conservatives who think that the *birther syndrome* is bunk and foolish, but that he keeps an open mind and that this present author has closed his own mind by self-admission.

MY COMMENT: I know of no great majority of conservatives who consider the *birther positions* to be a bunch of hoodwinking. If such a great majority exists, they are not right in their thinking. The intent of knowing about the official, long-form birth certificate is to provide detailed information concerning Barack Hussein Obama's birth and whether or not it is in agreement with Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 of the Constitution. That is really my position. I do not care where Barack Hussein Obama was born. An official, long-form birth certificate would give the public a lot of clarification concerning his natural

born citizen status. My standpoint is the natural born citizen aspect. If COL TWO wants to call me a *birther* for this standpoint, I accept the appellation, but make it BIRTHER! All of the Founding Fathers were also *birthers* in this regard; indeed, they were the *original birthers*! Furthermore, my being labeled a BIRTHER underscores my pride in being a constitutionalist.

STANDPOINT: In another foray COL TWO says that the courts and Congress have more power of scrutiny than this present author has.

MY COMMENT: The present author does not claim to have the powers of scrutiny of the courts or the United States Congress. I will say that it would not surprise me that I know more about Barack Hussein Obama than they know! I do claim that they have been more than lax in upholding the provisions and natural law logic of the Constitution. Since the majority of the Supreme Court is intentionally avoiding the natural born citizen issue, as Justice Clarence Thomas stated [31], and since the majority of the last Congress was Democratic with an exceedingly large number of communists and socialists masquerading as democrats [32], it does not surprise me that the matter of Barack Hussein Obama's non-natural born citizenship did not become important. Members of Congress who are socialists are named Progressives and Black Caucus. [33] Moreover, the mainstream media could care less about the rule of law of the Constitution. They are only interested in insulting the Republican Party, Sarah Palin, any anyone who is not leftist in the political spectrum.

To say that *embarrassing the President* is a major reason for resisting the pursuit of this Constitutional matter is trivializing the Constitution and its rule of law.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO asks if this present author really is of the opinion that the other side (the Democratic Party) and law enforcement officials would take the question of Barack Hussein Obama's eligibility non-seriously.

MY COMMENT: So far that is what the other side has been doing! It is even worse. They have been hiding behind inaction. They are the constitutional watch dogs that are not barking!

STANDPOINT: COL TWO continues by asking if this author thinks that scuffles over Barack Hussein Obama's birth certificate should be settled by cops.

MY COMMENT: The statement does not justify the seriousness of the constitutional crisis.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO then articulates the piercing question as to whether this present author is ready for Civil War number two.

MY COMMENT: Apparently, if this is what it takes to uphold the Supreme Law of the Land and the logical, real truth of the natural law of the Constitution, then I am not the only person who considers this a possibility. [34] There are activities that are being undertaken that could cause a second civil war or revolutionary activities. Arizona passed an immigration law conforming to the federal law, but the state of Arizona is being sued by the Department of Justice for passing that law. [35] Recently in Texas “A bill that has been pre-filed for the 2011 [Texas] state legislative session creates penalties of up to \$5,000 in fines and up to five years in jail for anyone guilty of the ‘felony’ of attempting ‘to enforce an act, order, law, statute, rule or regulation’” of ObamaCare. “The plan by Texas Rep. Leo Berman, (R-Tyler, Texas), effectively would nullify the federal health care legislation in his state.” [36] These two situations alone could lead to Civil War II.

STANDPOINT: COL TWO poses a final question that hits the heart of this author's involvement as a patriot, for COL TWO asks if this author cares at all, because this present author's positions have nothing to do with the truth. The reality is that Barack Hussein Obama is the president and those combating Barack Hussein Obama could cause Civil War II.

MY COMMENT: Of course this present author cares about the Republic of the United States of America. So do all of the other American patriots who are constitutionalists. That is why we will do all that we can in our power and possibilities to expose Barack Hussein Obama and hold him accountable. This and the other truths of the natural born citizen situation and the constitutional crisis is the reality, just as WE THE PEOPLE are reality!

PROGNOSIS

The General Court Martial verdict on LTC Terrence Lakin will be handed down on 15 December 2010. My prognosis is that LTC Lakin will be found guilty. The verdict on the felon Barack Hussein Obama will probably never be handed down. All government officials are negligent in carrying out their constitutional duties. They have looked the other way, or put their head in the sand, or are so far into Barack Hussein Obama that they cannot see the reality of the constitutional crisis. All of them – city council members, elected sheriffs, courts and elected judges, commissioners, secretaries of state, governors, state and federal representatives and senators – should be SERVED.

[37] Every citizen can SERVE them by doing the following:

- a. Email – directly to them (elected officials) or by COPYING and PASTING the URL www.CRS-Reports.org into the comments section on their website (or whenever you send a free fax via www.NumbersUSA.com, etc.);
- b. Snail-Mail it (registered or not) – just download and print out the 11 pages here: www.CRS-Reports.org;
- c. Delivering the 11 pages in person – ‘SERVING’ them on whomever represents them – at their office or court.

As it is now, all of them can be considered to be traitors to the *Constitution for the United States of America*, particularly members of the United States Congress, the Supreme Court of the United States of America, and the mainstream media.

Finally, all American citizens who hold the *Constitution for the United States of America* in the highest regard should pose the question:

Who is abiding by the law and upholding his oath of office: American Patriot LTC Terrence Lakin, or the unconvicted felon Barack Hussein Obama?

Frederick William Dame
Patriotic, Standfest, and True
10 December 2010

Endnotes and Sources

1. Julius Pokorny, *Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*, A. Franke Verlag, Tübingen und Basel: 2005, entry 829.
2. The complete background information concerning LTC Terry Lakin's position and the General Court Martial can be researched at <http://www.thepostemail.com/>.
3. Any printing of the *Constitution for the United States of America*. It is important to note that *Constitution for the United States of America* is the real title of the document. It is not *Constitution of the United States of America*. The difference in the title is that the use of **for** underscores the fact that the powers come from the people. If the word **of** had been used, it would have insinuated that the powers come from the government. The Founding Fathers were and still are really intelligent. That intelligence has disappeared from the great majority of today's politicians.
4. <http://www.wethepeoplefoundation.org/obama/>.
(Section 301(a) (7) of the Immigration and Nationality Act of June 27, 1952, 66 Stat. 163, 235, 8 U.S.C. §1401(b), Matter of S-F- and G-, 2 I & N Dec. 182 (B.I.A.) approved. (Att'y Gen. 1944).

5. <http://www.colony14.net/id474.html>.
6. See the Indonesian citizenship documentation at <http://www.wethepeoplefoundation.org/PROJECTS/Obama/Evidence/SchoolRecordIndonesia.pdf>. Furthermore, there are at least 46 separate addresses and 16 different social security numbers that Barack Hussein Obama uses or has used. <http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-obama-continues/>. Use of more than one social security number is fraud against the government.
7. <http://usurpador.blogcindario.com/2010/08/00762-new-video-dr-alan-keyes-discusses-his-upcoming-obama-eligibility-lawsuit.html>.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kQc-baC7ZGE&feature=player_embedded#!.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9UmNlnPUo5Q&feature=player_embedded#!.
Explore also the information at <http://loyaltoliberty.com/WordPress/>.
8. <http://fellowshipofminds.wordpress.com/2010/09/04/lakin-court-martial-judge-does-not-want-to-embarrass-obama/>.
9. <http://conservativeamericannews.com/american-grand-jury/did-army-col-denise-r-lind-just-say-the-chain-of-command-stops-at-the-pentagon>.
<http://www.orlytaitzesq.com/?p=13697>.
<http://soldiersforjusticeandpeace.com/2010/09/army-col-denise-r-lind-denied-ltc-terry-lakin-constitutional-rights/>.
10. In my opinion COL Denise Lind's statements are crap!
11. <http://www.colony14.net/id477.html> Privately, I believe that at least 90 percent-plus of the military are only concerned with their paychecks, future promotions, and secure retirements.
12. The term *crap* was often used by the American statesman and second President of the United States of America, John Adams, (1735-1826; 1797-1801) to describe statements that were stupid and had no important content. If the word *crap* was good enough for John Adams, it is good enough for me.
13. Consult the section *When Revolution is the Only Answer* at <http://etext.virginia.edu/jefferson/quotations/jeff1770.htm>.
14. For information concerning the preparation of a coup d'état from the top down, refer to the related article at <http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/21853>; and the video at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0mvP0ArKIGY>.
For the destruction of the Constitution refer to:
<http://www.renewamerica.com/columns/zieve/100617>.

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/2634063/posts>.

<http://freedomist.com/2010/08/16/progressive-interest-groups-pig-set-to-destroy-american-constitution-upadaria-report/>.

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/24388>.

15. http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=rXFwqUi3zR0.
<http://silencedogood2010.wordpress.com/2010/09/26/obama-never-certified-by-hawaii-democratic-party/>.
16. http://cloudfront.mediamatters.org/static/pdf/newsmax-20090929-perry_coup.pdf.
17. <http://americangrandjury.org/american-grand-jury-hands-down-indictment-of-fraud-and-treason-against-obama>.
18. The Officer Oath is based on Title 5, §3331 of the United States Code. See further information here: <http://www.history.army.mil/faq/oaths.htm>.
19. The term *attainder* means *stain or corruption of blood*. In other words a traitor's descendants cannot be marked due to the treason of a forefather.
20. In *Cramer v. United States*, 325 U.S. 1 (1945), we find the following Supreme Court ruling: "[e]very act, movement, deed, and word of the defendant charged to constitute treason must be supported by the testimony of two witnesses.", p. 34. In *Haupt v. United States*, 330 U.S. 631 (1947), the Supreme Court stated that two witnesses are not required to prove intent; neither are two witnesses required to prove that an overt act is treasonable. According to the Supreme Court decision, the two witnesses are required to prove only that the overt act occurred.
21. For these cases see: <http://www.therightsofsideoflife.com/current-lawsuit-listing/eligibility-case-archive/> and
<http://www.therightsofsideoflife.com/2009/02/09/various-other-cases-against-obama/>.
22. <http://www.thepostemail.com/2010/09/19/military-law-manual-authority-to-order-courts-martial-resides-with-the-commander-in-chief/>.
23. Julius Pokorny, *Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*, A. Franke Verlag, Tübingen und Basel: 2005, entry 429.
24. <http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=126137>.
25. As of this writing Barack Hussein Obama has consciously committed 1,816 broken promises, lies, flip-flops, and scandals. <http://conservativeamerican.org/>.
26. <http://www.obamanotqualified.com/>.
27. Consult: http://www.theobamafile.com/index_next_eligibility.html.

THE FULL STORY Is Obama constitutionally eligible to serve? WND's complete archive of news reports on the issue at <http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=98546> and the article links therein,

as well as the articles at The Post and Email at <http://www.thepostemail.com/>, particularly the most recent articles:

- http://www.thepostemail.com/2010/10/31/u-s-congressional-candidate-kesha-rogers-3b_remove-obama-by-invoking-the-25th-amendment/print/.
- <http://www.thepostemail.com/2010/12/07/the-curious-incident-of-the-justices-who-did-not-bark/>.
- <http://www.thepostemail.com/2010/12/09/update-on-columbia-sedition-trial-held-in-harlem-in-may/>.
- <http://www.thepostemail.com/2010/12/07/summary-of-the-support-terry-lakin-rallies-held-in-arizona-on-december-6/>.

Two other non-questionable sources and pro-Constitution standpoints are:

- <http://puzo1.blogspot.com/>. Read all of the articles!
- <http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2010/04/26/educating-the-really-really-confused-about-nbc-gate/>.

28. Read *An Exposé of the Content and Rhetoric of Barack Hussein Obama's Speech A World That Stands as One* at <http://www.colony14.net/id460.html> and *An In-depth Examination of Barack Hussein Obama's Acceptance Speech for the Democratic Party Nomination as Presidential Candidate in the 2008 Presidential Election* at <http://www.colony14.net/id461.html>.

29. *A Psychogram of Barack Hussein Obama The Laughing, Manic Narcissus* at <http://www.colony14.net/id462.html>.

30. http://www.obamanotqualified.com/obama_is_not_a_natural_born_citizen_defined.htm.

<http://www.spartacus.schoolnet.co.uk/USAS14.htm>.

http://www.americanthinker.com/2010/08/birthright_citizenship_and_the.html.

31. <http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=142101>.

32. Check the information at NewZeal, <http://newzeal.blogspot.com/2008/01/obama-file-1-forget-islam-look-at.html>, beginning with file 1 through file 110 and continuing through to the present with <http://newzeal.blogspot.com/2010/12/minnesota-secretary-of-state-mark.html>, as well as <http://newzeal.blogspot.com/2010/04/what-is-keywiki.html>; http://www.keywiki.org/index.php/Main_Page and the subsequent information from there.

33. <http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/13696>.
34. <http://www.humanevents.com/article.php?id=30807>.
35. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/07/06/AR2010070601928.html>.
<http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0710/39413.html>.
36. <http://www.gouverneurtimes.com/atx-front-page-news/13432-state-legislators-announce-plans-to-reject-obamacare.html>.
(<http://www.wnd.com/?pagelid=235757>).
37. For the listing of officials and the serving of notices, see:
<http://www.thepostemail.com/2010/12/09/update-on-columbia-sedition-trial-held-in-harlem-in-may/>.



**Wanted
for
TREASON**

“This man is wanted for treasonous activities against the United States:

- 1. Betraying the Constitution (which he is sworn to uphold):
He is turning the sovereignty of the U.S. over to the communist controlled United Nations.
He is betraying our friends (Georgia, Poland, NATO) and befriending our enemies (Iran, Taliban, Al-Queda).**
- 2. He has been WRONG on innumerable issues affecting the security of the U.S. (the war in Afghanistan, the war in Iraq, Federal stimulus, reckless spending).**
- 3. He has been lax in enforcing illegal immigration and border security.**
- 4. He has given support and encouragement to the Communist and Islamic inspired racials.**
- 5. He has illegally appointed to federal positions, Czars that are un-accountable to the American People nor are Constitutional.**
- 6. He has consistently appointed Anti-Christians to Federal office:
Upholds the Supreme Court in its Anti-Christian rulings.
Socialists, Marxists, and Communists abound in Federal offices.**
- 7. He has been caught in fantastic LIES to the American people (including personal ones like his birth, past, and illegal attempts to seal all documents and proof of his eligibility).**
- 8. He has betrayed the trust and confidence of the American citizens.**
- 9. He has waged an unethical campaign against the news media and anyone that has an opposing view.**
- 10. He has openly lied and mis-represented this country in numerous speeches both here and abroad.**