

Frederick William Dame

An American Heroine of Truth



FOREWORD

This essay is a portrait of an American Heroine who tells the Truth. Portraits are presented to the viewer, in this case the reader, in a framework with background. Thus portraits receive support. The present essay follows this structure.

Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.

John 8:32, King James Version of the Bible

ETYMOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

HEROINE

The word *heroine* is the female form of the male term *hero*. As such, the etymological development of *heroine* is the same as that of *hero*. According to *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*, the term *hero*, to the degree that the word pertains to a person as referred to in this essay, is

- any person noted for feats of courage or nobility of purpose; especially one who has risked or sacrificed his/her life;
- a person prominent in some event, field or cause by reason of his/her special achievements or contributions;

The Indo-European word stem of *hero(ine)* is **ser-¹** meaning **to protect**. The extended form **sew-* occurs in Latin as *servāre* meaning *to keep, to preserve*. The suffixed lengthened-grade form **sēr-ōw-* in Greek is *hērōs*, meaning *protector*. This appears in Latin as *hērōs* from which we have the English word hero.¹ The earliest English usage

¹ Julius Porkorny, *Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*, I, Francke Verlag, Tübingen und Basel: 2005, p. 910, entry **2. ser**.

of the noun was in the plural form *heroes* and the singular form *heros* that corresponded to the Latin. Sometime in the 1600s *hero* replaced a variant singular form *heroe*. Sometime before 1659 the term *heroine* was *heroína*, meaning *a demi-goddess*. This term was borrowed from the French *héroïne* coming from the Latin *hērōīna*, *hērōīnē*, from the Greek *hērōínē*, the feminine form of *hērōs*.

A *hero* and a *heroine* are persons not only symbolizing superhuman fortitude. They have abilities and courage uncommon in most people. The attitude that persons are admired, even worshipped by their being a *hero(ine)* appears in the 1830s. Such persons exhibit great bravery in the course of action that they take.²

TRUTH

The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines the word *truth* as:

- conformity to knowledge, fact, actuality, or logic;
- fidelity to an original or standard; reality, actuality;
- a statement proven to be or accepted as true;
- sincerity, integrity, honesty.

The Indo-European word stem of *truth* is **deru**, meaning **to be firm or solid, steadfast**. The variant form of **dreu* in Germanic is **triuwaz*, in Old English *trēowe*, meaning *firm, true*. In Old English there is *trēowian*, *trūwian*, meaning *to trust*. In Old English there is also *trēowth* meaning *faith, loyalty, truth*.³

The word *truth* first occurs in English in *Beowulf* in about 725.⁴ Before 1200 the word already meant that *something was consistent with fact or reality*. About 1390 the

² <http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?term=hero>.

³ Julius Porkorny, op. cit., p. 214, entry **deru-**.

⁴ The word *truth* occurs five times in *Beowulf*. Chapter XI, *All Sleep Save One*, line 40; Chapter XVI, *Hrothgar Lavishes Gifts Upon His Deliverer*, line 57; Chapter XXIV, *Beowulf is Double-Conqueror*, line 53; Chapter XXV, *Beowulf Brings His Trophies. – Hrothgar's Gratitude*, line 50; Chapter XL, *The Messenger of Death*, line 30. See <http://www.gutenberg.org/files/16328/16328-h/16328-h.htm#GLOSSARY>, BEOWULF AN ANGLO-SAXON EPIC POEM TRANSLATED FROM THE HEYNE-SOCIN TEXT BY JNO: LESSLIE HALL, Ph. D. (J.H.U.)

meaning of *a faithful person, someone who spoke the truth and remained loyal to the truth* developed. In Old English West Saxon we find the th ending of the word: *triewð*, and in Mercian *treowð*, both meaning *faith, faithfulness, fidelity, loyalty; veracity, quality of being true; pledge, covenant*. In the middle of the 14th century develops the *sense of something that is true*. The meaning of *accuracy, correctness* dates from the 1560s onward.⁵

THE PORTRAIT BACKGROUND

In today's world there is a vast array of news coming from all places over the globe. Just about every hour on the clock some kind of news is surfacing across multiple media platforms. These media platforms can come in many forms such as the world-wide web, social media, televised news broadcasts, radio news, podcast news, newspapers, and academic studies. It is very important to have such media outlets that can effectively relay current and ongoing news to the general public so that they may be fully aware of what exactly is happening in the economy and in the world. Without media outlets to convey news to the general public, everybody would be completely lost and misinformed due to lack of information. Moreover, these media outlets should, indeed, must report the truth and not spin any developing story so that it suits the needs of special, political interest groups or political parties. Not knowing the truth would make it difficult – indeed makes it difficult – for everybody to make life decisions because many of these important decisions are dependent on the accuracy of current events, stories, and news.

Consider the following example: an investor may want to purchase a certain amount of stock in a specific, publically traded company. However, if this given investor is unaware of the current situation and financial standing of that publically traded company in which he/she has an interest, then purchasing stock in that company may turn out to be a bad decision and can easily lead to unfavorable financial losses. Investors will want to know the current news and financial standing of any company in which they have interest before they invest their hard-earned money in the respective

⁵ <http://www.etymonline.com/index.php=truth>.

firm. They can only have such valuable information if the media and news outlets convey it to them accurately, which means truthfully.

In order for any of these media or news outlets to operate successfully in relaying factual and current information to the general public, they require the profound and adequate work of responsible journalists. It is a journalist's duty to seek information relating to research, current events, interviews, and scientific studies. It is also the journalists' duty to report the truthfulness of their findings and not spin their research in any particular political direction.

All of the information obtained from these sources must be fully accurate, indeed, factual. Interviews must be recorded as word-for-word-question-and-answer exchanges, that is, verbatim ... exactly as the interviewer and interviewee speak. It is so important for a journalist to get all of the information accurately recorded and make the facts clear and presentable to the public. This is primarily because anybody who is receiving such information must be able to trust that it was recorded accurately. The general public does not want to be given inaccurate or untruthful information, and they depend on the journalists working for any form of news outlets or media to provide them with the most accurate and factual information on any given subject, story, study, or current event.

There is not, rather, should not be much room for opinion-based news reports in the field of journalism. However, some journalists have a way of relaying information to the general public along with an input of their own perspective, views, and opinions on the information which they are presenting to their audience. This form of journalism does not necessarily mean that the information which is being presented to the audience is in any way inaccurate or based on a certain opinion of the writer. This form of journalism is simply the process of providing accurate and truthful news to an audience, but with the supplement of the journalists' professional opinions about such facts, stories, and news. This method of providing news and information to an audience with a supplemented opinion usually comes in the form of a professional critique. Critiquing of such news and current event stories is extremely popular when the news concerns the politics of America and so-called very important political persons.

THE PORTRAIT



Pamela Geller: Columnist, Editor – <http://PamelaGeller.com>. President – AFDI (American Freedom Defense Initiative), Author, *The Post-American Presidency*, *Obama's War on America* and *Stop Islamization of America*.⁶ Source: <https://twitter.com/pamelageller>.

One very popular and important journalist who is ever present in American politics, sociology, and economics is the political activist Pamela Geller, the founder of the organization Stop Islamization of America. Born in 1958, Pamela Geller resides and works in New York City. She is an American journalist who specializes in many continuing issues with the United States economy, sociology, and the politics of Barack Hussein Obama. Geller's popularity is based on the fact that she is well known for the investigative, truthful reports she writes regarding Islam and jihadists.

Pamela Geller is the founder, editor and publisher of AtlasShrugs.com, now pamelageller.com and President of the American Freedom Defense Initiative (AFDI) and Stop Islamization of America (SIOA). She is the author of *The Post-American*

⁶ Stop Islamization of America sometimes appears as Stop the Islamization of America.

Presidency: The Obama Administration's War on America, with Robert Spencer (Foreword by Ambassador John Bolton) (Simon & Schuster: 2010); *Freedom or Submission: On the Dangers of Islamic Extremism and American Complacency* (e-book, 2013); and *Stop the Islamization of America: A Practical Guide to the Resistance* (WND Books: 2011). She is also a regular columnist for [World Net Daily](#), [the American Thinker](#), [Breitbart.com](#) and other publications.

Pamela Geller is the president of the American Freedom Defense Initiative, which she co-founded with Robert Spencer. This organization is considered to be a hate group, specifically an anti-Muslim hate group. It was the Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) that regarded the American Freedom Defense Initiative as a hate group because Pamela Geller is well known for standpoints against Muslim-militant-terror jihadism that is the core part of Islam. Her Muslim and Islamic issues surrounding the jihad-spread of Islam and Geller's views towards Islam have imposed a lot of controversy relating to her, as well as the topics at hand. There is a large public army of defamers who are constantly baying at her.

Such controversy was very visible in 2013 when the United Kingdom and the British Government denied Pamela Geller an entry into the country because they felt that her being there would portray an unfavorable image due to her views on Muslims and Islam.

Pamela Geller is also a co-author with Robert Spencer of a political book that carried a very controversial title: *The Post American Presidency: The Obama Administration's War on America*, published in 2010. This book became very controversial on a political status primarily because the contents of the book were addressed towards the Barack Hussein Obama administration in the form of thorough critiques regarding the free market economic system, the right to freedom of speech, as well as issues surrounding United States foreign policy.

In her books, articles, and interviews Pamela Geller always makes sure that controversial topics are comprised of factual information obtained through adequate and accurate research. If there is not enough adequate evidence to support any of her

claims, Geller will not bother to address the issue because she only deals with facts whenever she puts a news report together, especially if it is as politically controversial as the ones found in *The Post American Presidency: The Obama Administration's War on America*.

Regardless of the way certain critics may view her as being controversial when it comes to the subject of politics, Pamela Geller has very good reasoning behind her profound work in the field of journalism that actually derives from a most patriotic approach. Pamela Geller and her small staff spend a great deal of time researching and finding the facts on any given political issue that in some way has a significant political impact on the American people, as well as the American economy.

Pamela Geller is not simply voicing her personal opinion on political issues such as Muslim militant extremists and Islam. She is actually conveying information that is based on credible research, given facts, economic statistics, and the truth, which is what the American people want in any kind of news or informative report. It is because of this drive to find and put out the truth about ongoing issues in the country that pertain to the subjects in which she and the American people have an interest, that Geller can be regarded as a true American patriot of political journalism.

For her higher education Pamela Geller attended Hofstra University on Long Island, New York. However, she left the university before she could complete the full length of her college degree. This was because she committed most of her time to conducting research and journalism work at the *New York Daily News*. Pamela Geller carries with her a high acumen for the field of business which is a primary reason for her success in politics as well as journalism. While Pamela Geller was working with the *New York Daily News*, she gained a remarkable amount of experience in the rigorous field of financial analysis where she was able to become fully aware of the economic trends that correlate with any given statistics and financial statuses. Making herself very familiar with such complex information allowed her to relate the results of given financial aspects to the political decisions that were being made by the American federal government, as well as the individual state governments.

Knowing how to make such connections gave Pamela Geller the mental advantage of being able to see how well the federal government was administering the country and making the correct decisions, because all of the consequences of any political decisions made, showed in the financial data which she studied on a continuous basis during her time working at the *New York Daily News*.

Whenever Geller studied specific forms of financial data in the numerous analyses she conducted, she was able to see how well the American tax dollars were being spent, if such tax dollars were being spent appropriately with proper responsibility, and if such expenditures were in accordance with the research and financial data she analyzed. After spending enough time at the *New York Daily News* as an effective financial analyst, Pamela Geller moved on to another venture in the advertisement and marketing field of business. Because she was very familiar with the economic and political trends of business going on in the United States of America, Geller established a respectable news outlet for the *New York Daily News*.

Between the years 1989 and 1994, Pamela Geller published the *New York Observer*, the news outlet she established that was one of her earlier works of journalism in relation to the political subjects which she analyzed and researched. Using the information and experience she had in her familiarity with financial analysis and politics, Pamela Geller used this news outlet to expose the truth about the expenditures of tax dollars, economic trends, and political news to her audience. It was this early work she began in the field of political journalism that gave Pamela Geller the opportunity to become the profound, patriotic American journalist and activist that she is today.

Pamela Geller's success in politics, journalism, and news in her early works gave her a competitive advantage over other journalists and news outlets. Not only did her early experience provide her with the step-up to her current success in journalism, it was the fact that Pamela Geller made a genuine effort to make sure that whatever it was she was inscribing in her news articles consisted of the truth based on evidence, research, and accurate statistics.

In an interview with the *Village Voice*, a tabloid newspaper founded in 1955, Pamela Geller used her perspectives and feelings towards the 9/11 Islamic terror attacks to reason for her capital views on American politics. In 2004, Geller founded *AtlasShrugs*, a website blog that Geller used then, and has since changed to pamelageller.com to produce news articles relating to politics and the economic decisions of the United States Government concerning war, domestic politics, elections, changes in law, and many other topics that relate to American life.

Pamela Geller's entire web blog is dedicated to political news and journalism. There are links to news articles, various categories pertaining to those articles, quotable phrases, books related to the issues she discusses, as well as the press. Geller is able to conduct works of research and journalism on just about any subject, even those existing outside the field of politics. An example of Geller's journalistic work outside of her specialty in politics is the remarkable news reports she does on business. A recent article Pamela Geller wrote was based on the Coca Cola Company and how Zahi Khouri (1938-), the Chairman of the National Beverage Company (Coca Cola) in Ramallah has a desire to boycott the country of Israel.⁷

By the year 2006, the AtlasShrugs blog had already acquired thousands of subscribers who were interested in the news articles on which Geller was working. There was a high and consistent circulation of comments and questions from subscribers surrounding the news articles posted on her web site. AtlasShrugs really gained a high level of interest among users when Pamela Geller reposted a controversial satire of political cartoons that portrayed Muhammad, the self-proclaimed prophet of Islam.

The political satire cartoons were originally posted at the *Jyllands Posten* of Aarhus-Visby, Denmark, which was a very controversial political cartoon contest concerning the caricaturing of Muhammad.⁸ Muslims throughout the world claimed such caricatures were defaming Islam and their prophet Muhammad. These

⁷ <http://pamelageller.com/2015/06/coca-cola-palestine-ceo-urges-boycott-of-israel-cocacola.html/>.

⁸ The cartoons can be viewed at <http://face-of-muhammed.blogspot.de/>.

controversial, political satire cartoons went into circulation throughout the world news media outlets in late 2005.

By 2007, Geller had started another political campaign that stood against and opposed the teaching of the Arabic language in a public school in Brooklyn, New York. This campaign played a significant role that resulted in the resignation of Debbie Almontaser, the principal of the school, Khalil Gibran International Academy.

In a recent article released by the news domain <http://twitchy.com>, Geller posted a report that put shame on the media channel Cable News Network, better known as CNN. She claimed that CNN used the Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) to defame her reputation.⁹

In addition, twitchy.com reported that federal officers took a suspect into custody after the shooting death by the FBI of Usaama Rahim, a 26-year old Black Muslim from the Boston area. Citing *The Boston Globe*, twitchy.com indicated that Rahim, along with another suspect, David Wright, had intentions of beheading a police officer. However, despite these allegations, it was made clear that their initial target was actually Pamela Geller, who is the current President of the American Freedom Defense Initiative. The reason that Geller may have been an initial target was because of her involvement with the Draw Muhammad Art Exhibition and Cartoon Contest that was held in Garland, Texas beginning May 3, 2015.¹⁰

Many other news sources including ABC news posted twitter.com updates regarding the allegations of the terrorists wanting to behead Pamela Geller as CNN claimed in their report. Even Pamela Geller herself reposted the Twitter update from CNN so that her followers could be aware of how CNN was trying to defame her image. There were hundreds of comments posted under the reporting with multiple pro-and-con views. Even *The Washington Post* conducted a story on the same controversial issues surrounding Pamela Geller, the political cartoon contest in Garland, Texas, and the

⁹ <http://twitchy.com/2015/06/03/im-the-hunted-one-pamela-geller-shames-cnn-for-using-southern-poverty-law-center-to-slime-her/>.

¹⁰ <http://twitchy.com/2015/06/03/usaama-rahim-update-intent-was-to-behead-a-police-officer-on-tuesday>.

allegations of the two terrorists who wanted to attack Pamela Geller. *The Washington Post* relayed a video featuring Pamela Geller and her response to the report. According to *The Washington Post*, Pamela Geller undertakes incendiary organization activities.¹¹



The Garland, Texas Draw Muhammad Art Exhibition and Cartoon Contest winner by Bosch Fawstin. Source: <http://pamelageller.com/2015/05/livestream-muhammad-art-exhibit-and-cartoon-contest.html>

Pamela Geller understands that the terrorists wanting to target her is the price she is paying for the exercise of freedom of speech guaranteed by the First Amendment to the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Because she posts political articles that do not concur with what Islam and its followers believe, jihad terrorists single out Pamela Geller as a target. As a result, Pamela Geller has been forced to hire personal security to follow her wherever she goes in fear that any jihadist follower of the cult that is Islam might have intentions of killing her.¹²

¹¹ <http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2015/05/04/why-a-woman-named-pamela-geller-organized-a-prophet-muhammad-cartoon-contest/>.

¹² This author's opinion is that Islam is not a religion. It is an evil cult! Islam has always been an evil cult and will always be an evil cult! Consult <http://gatesofvienna.net/2014/01/five-reasons-why-islam-is-a-cult/>; <http://www.politikarena.net/showthread.php?t=17946>.

Pamela Geller is an inspirational and patriotic journalist. She knows that what she is doing is right for the American people and her audience wherever they are. Pamela Geller makes it not only a point, but an obligation to get the correct information to the general public in the form of news and journalism. Although many organizations and even opposing political parties may disagree with Pamela Geller's true news articles and points of view, she satisfies her audience with the facts and reality of any political situation. It is because she puts so much effort in facts and reality of every piece of investigated information she is presenting that she has such a loyal audience that continues to entrust her and even provide her with accurate items of information that affect the entire United States of America.

Pamela Geller knows that not everybody will want the facts of American politics and economy to be exposed, but she still continues to persevere through all of the obstacles in front of her with the mission and obligation to make such relevant information available to her core audience, as well as the general public. Even though there are terrorist organizations and individuals that want to eliminate her, going as far as wanting to behead her, Geller fights through such fatal and risky challenges to maintain her responsibility to journalism, all the while keeping upright her loyalty to the United States of America.

It is not easy to be a successful, responsible journalist. Many people depend on journalists to continue to get the most accurate, informative reporting published. This requires a lot of risk-taking that can impair one's reputation, name, and even one's life. Pamela Geller, however, has been consistently taking such risks because she will go to all possible loyal and legal limits to publish her correct news information so that her audience will know exactly what is transpiring in America and the world. These are just some of the many reasons that Geller is considered a true American, indeed, a patriot and heroine.

It must be noted that Pamela Geller has opposing views towards what the lamestream media terms radical and political Islam. Concurrently, Pamela Geller realizes that these two etiquettes are two sides of the coin Islam. They cannot be separated! They are mutually supportive!

To be more specific in describing her opposing views towards what she also refers to as political Islam, Pamela Geller argues that *jihadism*, which recognizes military *jihad* and Islamic fundamentalism, actually poses an immediate threat to any society and to civilization. Her expressions and views towards *jihad* and Islam have resulted in her being criticized and referred to as an anti-Muslim racist by Muneer Awad (no birth year), a political activist and attorney of Palestinian-American heritage who is the executive director of the New York City chapter of the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR). Pamela Geller responded to such allegations claimed by Muneer Awad in stating that her views on Islam are not exactly directed towards the Muslim people, but rather to only those specific Muslims who get involved in what she considers to constitute *jihad*. Muneer Awad's criticism and the media support of his positions and accusations against Pamela Geller is a prime example of the twists that the lamestream media undertake in an attempt to discredit Pamela Geller's reputation and responsible journalistic work.

Pamela Geller is simply reporting the truth and facts into the news and media channels. Yet, the simplest item can lead to others with opposing views with growing agitation to the point of trying to defame Pamela Geller's reputation as a superb journalist in the media industry. Despite being accused of racism against the Islamic people, Pamela Geller remains modest on the media outlets and simply states that her work in journalism is simply intended to expose the truth about Islam, which many parties are trying to conceal from the public.

The general public has a right to know the truth about events that are transpiring in the world, especially those pertaining to Islam's actions and propaganda because those specific issues have such an immediate impact on the American economy due to the ongoing war against Islamic terrorism, which consists of various parties who play a role in supporting Islamic terrorism. Pamela Geller is playing her role in the war with the use of effective journalism. People's lives are constantly at risk due to the possibility of a terrorist attack, and the information that Pamela Geller puts out to the public helps to raise the awareness of such important issues. This is another reason why Pamela

Geller is in fact doing the work of an American patriot and, therefore, is regarded as such.

The American Freedom Defense Initiative that Pamela Geller co-founded with Robert Spencer along with support from Anders Gravers Pedersen (1960-), the leader of Stop Islamization of Europe, were the bases originating the Stop Islamization of America (SIOA) campaign.¹³ SIOA is based in Houston, Texas and has launched counter-Islam campaigns throughout the entire nation. These campaigns are very much propagated in subway stations, particularly in New York City. Unfortunately, the existence of SIOA has caused critics, particularly the SPLC to label the American Freedom Defense Initiative as a hate group. It should be noted that anyone and any group that is against the views of the SPLC is considered by them to be a hate group and extreme right. However, Pamela Geller has already made clear that SIOA is not a hate group because it has no racist opposing views.

Pamela Geller fully realizes that labeling SIOA as a hate group is a modus operandi that accuses her and her views of being exactly what the accusers are. Furthermore, SIOA is not extreme right, as claimed by Wikipedia. SIOA is conservative and pro-American. Being extreme right is the same as being fascist. Being extreme left is the same as being communist. If one goes far enough to the extreme right, one comes out on the extreme left and vice-versa.¹⁴ Furthermore, conservatism holds American culture, traditions, virtues, morals, and ethics in high esteem. Leftism degrades American culture, traditions, virtues, mores, and ethics with the goal of destroying them and replacing them with communal, anti-human nature and anti-American pseudo-philosophical attitudes, which in turn can change at a whim. A prime example is the status of abortion in the United States of America. The first pseudo-philosophical attitude of Planned Parenthood was to control the propagation rate of

¹³ Wikipedia is somewhat slanted against Anders Gravers and his political movement and positions: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anders_Gravers_Pedersen; https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stop_Islamisation_of_Europe.

¹⁴ Consult the ADDENDUM on page 25 for a clarification of these three preceding statements.

America's African-Americans with the end goal of exterminating them.¹⁵ Presently the attitude of Planned Parenthood is to use aborted babies as a source of baby parts that provides lucrative monetary gains for Planned Parenthood. Already on 16 April 2015, Pamela Geller reported that Planned Parenthood was "helping sex traffickers get abortions for child sex slaves."¹⁶ Accordingly, aborted babies being the source of baby parts is a natural consequence for Planned Parenthood. Getting baby parts from child, sex slave abortions incur practically no costs because the federal government subsidizes abortions.

Pamela Geller recently posted a debate with London Islamic Imam Anjem Choudary (1967-) on her website regarding Muslim activity against her that called for her decapitation and the Muslim position concerning one's right to freedom of speech. The debate was conducted by Sean Hannity (1961-) of Fox News.¹⁷

Choudary suggested in the debate that Pamela Geller deserved capital punishment by Islamic Sharia law for her involvement in the political cartoon contest that took place in Garland, Texas. Choudary's claims were based on Muhammad's proclamation that anybody who insulted the prophet – and therefore Allah – in any way

¹⁵ Margaret Sanger (1879-1966) started the American Birth Control League in 1921, which became part of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America in 1942. Below are some of Margaret Sanger's standpoints:

1. There must be "a stern and rigid policy of sterilization and segregation to that grade of population whose progeny is already tainted, or whose inheritance is such that objectionable traits may be transmitted to offspring." — *A Plan for Peace, Birth Control Review, April 1932, pages 107-108.*
2. People who are unfit should be sent to "farm lands and homesteads" where "they would be taught to work under competent instructors for the period of their entire lives." — *A Plan for Peace, Birth Control Review, April 1932, pages 107-108.*
3. She was an advocate of a proposal called the [American Baby Code](#). "The results desired are obviously selective births."
4. According to Sanger, the code would "protect society against the propagation and increase of the unfit." — *America Needs a Code for Babies, March 27, 1934, Margaret Sanger Papers, Library of Congress, 128:0312B.*
5. "We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population, if it ever occurs to any of their more rebellious members." (Source: <http://www.dianedew.com/sanger.htm>.)

¹⁶ <http://pamelageller.com/2015/04/must-see-video-planned-parenthood-caught-on-camera-helping-sex-traffickers-get-abortions-for-child-sex-slaves.html/>.

¹⁷ <http://pamelageller.com/2015/05/video-pamela-geller-debates-anjem-choudary-on-hannity.html>.

must be sentenced to death (by decapitation).¹⁸ Anyone who insults Muhammad and thereby Allah becomes an infidel and must be killed.¹⁹



Source: Fox News via <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wYCF4Eg1B4>.

Pamela Geller counter-argued that she should not be sentenced to death because she lives in the United States of America where everybody has a right to practice any religion of their choice and also have the right to practice free speech without any form of penalty. Choudary, of course, did not agree with Pamela Geller's views on civil liberties and the freedom of speech in America. Choudary suggested that anybody who does not follow specifically Islam should be sentenced to death for their disobedience to the prophet. Choudary tried to justify the idea that there was an attempted Islamic terrorist attack on Pamela Geller for her actions concerning the political cartoon contest and that she deserved to die.

Choudary, like anybody else who follows the American constitutional system, or in Choudary's case the British system of legal human rights, has his freedom of speech and freedom to practice his own belief. However, neither Choudary, nor any other

¹⁸ Refer to Quran 8:12 which says, "When your Lord revealed to the angels: I am with you, therefore make firm those who believe. I will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Therefore strike off their heads and strike off every fingertip of them."

¹⁹ http://muslimconverts.com/insulting/punishment_for_those_who_insult_prophet.htm.

Muslim, does not have any right to sentence anybody to death based on their belief. This is against federal law in the United States of America and against all constitutional rights. Pamela Geller sees this as the crux of Islam. Being the so-called message of the moon god Allah via the psychologically disturbed Muhammad, Islam encompasses everything in a person's political and non-political life. This is slavery at its zenith. Of course, the word Islam does mean *obedience*, which is what slaves are supposed to show.

Unfortunately, the attempted Islamic terrorist attack on Pamela Geller did not result in any federal or official protection. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has yet to respond to the attempted Islamic terrorist attack and has failed to provide protection for Pamela Geller. The same is true for the United States Department of Homeland Security. They have failed to provide Pamela Geller with the necessary protection, as well.

This may have developed due to the idea that Pamela Geller abused her freedom of speech – if abuse of freedom of speech is possible – by provoking the Muslims. This idea was suggested by Juan Williams(1954-) on Fox News. Yet, the reality of Islamic life is that a Muslim will charge anyone with provocation when the subject matter and the time is opportune. Juan Williams claimed that Pamela Geller was intentionally provocative and that she was wrong for running the political cartoon contest that was claimed to defame the prophet Muhammad, which in turn defamed Allah. Williams suggested that although he believes in the American freedom of speech, Pamela Geller should not have provoked the Muslim people. At this point, Sean Hannity stated that Williams was a Leftist and labeled him as one of the “I-believe-in-free-speech-but” types of people.

This suggests that although Juan Williams may very well believe in the freedom of speech, he does not believe it should be used in such a provocative way that goes against his own personal beliefs and opinions. Pamela Geller argued that she exercised her freedom of speech throughout the full extent of the law, and that she should not be criticized because it was the Muslim terrorists who tried to assassinate her because of a cartoon. Sean Hannity agreed with Pamela Geller in stating that

everybody has a right to freedom of speech and should not live in fear of any consequences or penalties for practicing the civil rights to which all people in America are legally entitled.



Source: Fox News via http://www.salon.com/2015/05/08/juan_williams_pam_geller_is_no_better_than_your_average_self_promoting_pyromaniac/.

Unfortunately, such presence of basic, human civil rights is not present in other parts of the world, especially in Islamic countries where women and children have limited rights, if any rights. The Muslim belief must be strictly followed in order to avoid being beheaded.

Pamela Geller really displayed her patriotism regarding the developments after the Draw Muhammad Exhibition and Cartoon Contest in Garland, Texas in this debate/interview because she knew full well that there are risks involved when Muslims claim they are being insulted by her political cartoon contest, or any event that is not pro-Islamic. Pamela Geller was showing an example of how to react to what appears in America to be just an ordinary basic human right and natural freedom. She wanted to show that Americans should be ready for an Islamic attack at any time because if they are willing to kill people over something as simple as a political cartoon, then their patience is in fact very low. A by-product of the Draw Muhammad Exhibition and Cartoon Contest is that closet jihadists in America came out of their social camouflage.

A by-product of the debate with Choudary is that Pamela Geller was able to publically show that the Imam is in favor of executing nonbelievers in Islam.

Once again, Pamela Geller took a serious risk-all approach in her dedication to the craft of responsible journalism and getting her message out to the American people. Not many people would be willing to take such a drastic risk and speak up on such a controversial issue that has an effect on every American in the country.

SUPPORT

On 16 July 2015, four United States Marines were killed by a Muslim jihadist in Chattanooga, Tennessee. A fifth American, a sailor, died Saturday, 18 July 2015 as a result of injuries he received in the shooting rampage.



The marines killed in Chattanooga on Thursday. Top, left to right: Gunnery Sergeant Thomas Sullivan and Lance Corporal Skip Wells. Bottom, left to right: Staff Sergeant David Wyatt and Sergeant Carson Holmquist. Photographs: Facebook & Wells Family. Source: <http://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2015/jul/17/chattanooga-shooting-fbi-killing-four-us-marines>.



Petty Officer Randall Smith

Source: <http://edition.cnn.com/2015/07/17/us/chattanooga-tennessee-marines-killed/>.

On 17 July 2015, ISIS (the Islamic State in Syria) celebrated the killings and thanked the martyred *Muhammad Youssef Abdulazeez for his devotion to Islam, Muhammad, and Allah.*²⁰

While government authorities like the Obama Regime and the FBI are searching for any plausible reason for the killings other than Islamic jihad – because in their twisted minds Islam is peaceful and tolerant – Pamela Geller voiced the following truth at WND.com,

“The jihad is here, and every American is a target. Four Marines and a Navy petty officer were shot dead in cold blood and one police officer was wounded last Thursday in Chattanooga, Tennessee. The murderer, Mohammad Youssuf Abdulazeez, was not on any watch lists or any terror suspect lists. He was born in Kuwait, which brings us back to our disastrous immigration policies. Obama is importing hundreds of thousands of Muslims from jihad nations, as well as from ‘moderate’ Muslim countries like Kuwait. Without recognition of the ideology behind these attacks, we are sitting ducks. ...And now we hear that ‘authorities are still scrambling to find a motive for the attack.’ This is more of Obama’s jihad denial. It’s mind-blowing and fatal. And Obama’s calling the Chattanooga jihad mass murderer a ‘lone gunman’ is like calling Hitler a painter. Mohammad Youssef Abdulazeez, the

²⁰ <http://www.cbn.com/cbnnews/us/2015/July/ISIS-Praises-Shooting-of-Four-Marines>.

jihad mass murderer, said, 'We need ...to establish Islam in the world.' And the authorities are scratching their heads? The only difference between the Garland jihad attack and Chattanooga is that we were armed. We are thwarting jihad attacks every week, and law enforcement doesn't know the motive? ...We are in trouble, folks, real trouble. The Obama administration scrubbed jihad and Islam from all counter terror materials and programs. This is the poisonous fruit of that fatal policy change. U.S. law enforcement officials must take it upon themselves to learn about what Islam and jihad are really all about, and strategize about how to stop it accordingly. The mosques must be monitored. Muslim immigration must stop."²¹

Keeping the above truths in mind, read Pamela Geller's opinion of Barack Hussein Obama and the nuclear treaty with the Iranian mullahs:

"Obama's legacy is 'Jihadis with Nukes.' This unending stream of bad news should keep every rational and freedom-loving human being up at night. ...It has even come out that if some rational actor, namely Israel, takes much-needed action to stop nuclear war, America is going to protect and defend Iran. John Kerry warned Israel not to act, saying that if it tried to stop Iran's nuclear program, 'I think that would be an enormous mistake. A huge mistake with grave consequences for Israel and the region, and I don't think it's necessary.' Listening to Kerry try to sell this genocidal war pact is like listening to Joseph Goebbels explain the Jewish problem. Obama's Middle East strategy points to one thing and one thing only—a post-Israel Middle East. There is no other explanation. Meanwhile, Obama released a nuclear scientist [Mojtaba Atarodi (no birth year)] as part of his treasonous 'deal,' but left Americans rotting in Iranian prisons. Left behind are American Christian pastor Saeed Abedini, former Marine Amir Hekmati, Washington Post photojournalist Jason Rezaian and former FBI agent Robert Levinson."

²¹ <http://pamelageller.com/2015/07/pamela-geller-wnd-column-the-jihad-is-here-and-every-american-is-a-target.html/>.

“...Then Iranian Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei vowed to defy American policies after the deal had been signed. John Kerry responded: ‘If it is the policy, it’s very disturbing. It’s very troubling.’ Now he is ‘disturbed?’ Iran is not saying anything different from what it has been saying since 1979. Why is he disturbed now? What did he think would happen when the Obama administration opened the floodgates to a nuclear Islamic Republic of Iran? ...After the agreement was announced, the Saudis said they were going to start a nuclear program as well. Imagine, a nuclear arms race in the Islamic Middle East. What could possibly go wrong? This was easily foreseen. We all talked about it, predicted it, so it cannot have eluded the Obama administration. So why do it? Every answer points to evil.”

“The only thing more evil than the Rouhani-Obama pact is the deception and outright lies told by the Obama administration to the American people to convince us that the inevitable jihad nukes are not only good for us, but that we have no other alternative than to surrender. His contempt for us has no end. The world’s largest state sponsor of terror got everything it wanted. What did the free world get but a ticking time bomb? Once this genie is out of the bottle, there will be no putting it back. The future terror that is guaranteed, actually ensured by this ‘deal,’ is unfathomable. For a president who only cares about optics, it couldn’t look any worse—until they drop the bomb, that is. Jihadis with nukes—that’s Obama’s legacy. And remember, he was desperate for this surrender. He usurped Congress, the American people and our allies. His name will go down in history as one of the most notorious enemies of freedom. Jihad in the White House. This isn’t ill-conceived, this is evil. The U.S. is under siege by a traitorous and malevolent executive branch. Treachery and treason.”²²

There is no male or female news reporter in the mainstream media in the United States of America who would ever have the backbone to voice these truths. Comparing the two statements above we come to the conclusion that Pamela Geller reaches the

²² <http://www.wnd.com/2015/07/obamas-legacy-jihadis-with-nukes/>.

perfect tone in her assessment of Barack Hussein Obama. Her choice of words is not clouded in political correctness. Pamela Geller says what she means and means what she says.

Because Pamela Geller is able to practice responsible journalism with such bravery and maintain her involvement in the media by voicing truths as above, she represents what it means to be an American patriot. From researching and obtaining the necessary true information to construct that message to taking the risks of actually putting the truthful report out in media and news circulation to the general public, Pamela Geller has proven to be one of the most ambitious, responsible, and successful patriots of our time, as were the patriots in the days of the Founding Fathers of America.²³

With the truthful warnings of the American Patriot Paul Revere that "The British are coming", the Colonial Patriots were ready to take a stand against British tyranny and eventually win their war for freedom. With the truthful warnings of the patriot Pamela Geller in the current American crisis, the general population of America is being warned of the evils of Islam, Sharia, and jihad. Without Pamela Geller, Americans would not be thoroughly aware of the anti-American undertakings conducted by Islam.

Pamela Geller is an American heroine because she exhibits great bravery in her fight against anti-Americanism. Pamela Geller has repeatedly shown what it means to be a patriot, and she continues to conduct her work in journalism for that very purpose. The truth is on her side. Pamela Geller will never report a falsity. This is a rare characteristic in modern journalism in the age of the Barack Hussein Obama regime.

Consider the following: Barack Hussein Obama "will appoint Sandra Black, professor of economics at the University of Texas at Austin, to join the three-member White House Council of Economic Advisers. ...'Sandy brings a wealth of expertise on labor market dynamics and social mobility, two of the most important economic issues facing our country today,' said Jason Furman, chairman of the CEA, in a statement. ...

²³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Founding_Fathers_of_the_United_States has detailed information and source material concerning America's Founding Fathers.

Ms. Black is set to replace Betsey Stevenson, who is returning to the University of Michigan.”²⁴ With truthful aplomb Pamela Geller observes, “One thing you have to say about Obama: he is consistent in his relentless, sick and fanatical hatred for Israel. All his appointments and associates—Samantha Power, Robert Malley, Zbigniew Brzezinski—show that, and here is another. Dr. Sandra (Sandy) Black [who claims the Israelis kill “more than two Palestinian children... every week] ... loves Noam Chomsky and is another pro-BDS, self-hating Jew. Her husband, Patrick Youngblood, is a radical with extremist views—he is executive director of the radical anti-Israel group Third Coast Activist.”²⁵

Pamela Geller will never let one down. For this stance alone Pamela Geller deserves all of the support she can get from Americans. There will be many spun media reports. Rest assured Pamela Geller will place the spun reports in the proper perspective. Support Pamela Geller in every legal manner possible. By doing so, you will be on the side of the American Heroine of Truth in the confrontation with the lamestream media that spins the news and you will be supporting Pamela Geller in combating the barbarism of Islam.



Frederick William Dame
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True
July 31, 2015

²⁴ <http://blogs.wsj.com/economics/2015/07/23/obama-names-sandra-black-to-council-of-economic-advisers/>.


²⁵ <http://pamelageller.com/2015/07/obama-names-pro-bds-self-hating-jew-sandra-black-to-his-council-of-economic-advisers.html/>. BDS, or Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions, is pro-Palestinian and demands that Israel return all territory gained in 1967’s Six Day War to the former aggressors. Third Coast Activist claims it is pro-social justice and lobbies for the sustainability of the ecology.

ADDENDUM

This addendum is an *ex-post-facto* explanation of the rationale underlying certain statements on page 14 of the essay. The statements are:

"Being extreme right is the same as being fascist. Being extreme left is the same as being communist. If one goes far enough to the extreme right, one comes out on the extreme left and vice-versa."

These statements are a rendition of the continuance of the horseshoe thesis in political theory postulated by the French philosopher Jean-Pierre Faye (1925-20XX) in his book *Le Siècle des ideologies*.²⁶ It is presented in the following image:



Horseshoe theorists argue that the [extreme left](#) and the [extreme right](#) are closer to each other than either is to the political center.

Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/thumb/b/bc/Political_spectrum_horseshoe_model.svg/250px-Political_spectrum_horseshoe_model.svg.png.

However, neither the rendition above or the image and the explanation of the image are correct. The more exact, logical continuance is that being

²⁶ Jean-Pierre Faye, *Le Siècle des idéologies*, Poche, Paris: 2002, pp. 12-22. See also <http://evene.lefigaro.fr/livres/livre/jean-pierre-faye-le-siecle-des-ideologies-8894.php>.

fascist is the same as being leftist. If a leftist faces reality, the leftist encounters the mirror image of fascism. If the fascist faces reality, the fascist encounters the mirror image of leftism.

The truth is that fascism, of which Hitler's National Socialism²⁷ is an offshoot, is communist and communism is fascist/National Socialist, i.e., the (extreme) political left **is** the (extreme) political right and vice-versa.

In the United States of America, the traditional political view of the Left is a Big Government what will solve all of the problems of the citizens; indeed, even illegal non-citizens. This is the same political view of fascism: a gargantuan government. It is a state religion that "assumes the organic unity of the body politic and longs for a national leader attuned to the will of the people (who have been propagandized and brainwashed into wanting a certain will that has been dictated to them). It is totalitarian in that it views everything as political and holds that any action by the state is justified to achieve the common good. It takes responsibility for all aspects of life, including our health and well-being, and seeks to impose the uniformity of thought and action, whether by force or through regulation and social pressure. Everything, including the economy and religion, must be aligned with its objectives. Any rival identity is part of the 'problem' and therefore defined as the enemy. ... Contemporary American liberalism embodies all of these aspects of fascism."²⁸ This is totally contradictory to the opposite freedom symbolized by the statement *That government is best which governs least*.

The terms *political left* and *political right* have their origins in the French Revolution of 1789. Members of the French National Assembly who supported the monarchy sat on the right side of the president of the French National Assembly and those who supported the revolution sat on the left side of the president of the French National Assembly. In reality, the French Monarchists and the French Radicals were not at opposite ends of the political spectrum as historians would like us to believe. They were both on the same side with different approaches concerning how France was to be governed. The Monarchists wanted to place all power in one individual. The radicals wanted to invest all power in one big government oligarchy. The Monarchists and the Radicals were simply rival groups in the leftist political bloc.

²⁷ Adolf Hitler's NAZI Party was the Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei, abbreviated as NSDAP and known in English as the Nazi Party.

²⁸ Jonah Goldberg, *Liberal Fascism, The Secret History of the American Left, from Mussolini to the Politics of Change*, Broadway Books, New York: 2009, p. 23.

The governing system of the political left is communism (collectivism, socialism), also called Marxism, and in its general use means "a theory or system of social organization in which all property is owned by the community and each person contributes and receives according to their ability and needs." *The Oxford Dictionary* explains communism as that system "established by the Bolsheviks after the Russian Revolution of 1917, and it has generally been understood in terms of the system practised by the former Soviet Union and its allies in eastern Europe, in China since 1949, and in some developing countries such as Cuba, Vietnam, and North Korea. In this form of communism, it was held that the state would wither away after the overthrow of the capitalist system. In practice, however, the state grew to control all aspects of communist society. Communism in eastern Europe collapsed in the late 1980s and early 1990s against a background of failure to meet people's economic expectations, a shift to more democracy in political life, and increasing nationalism such as that which led to the break-up of the Soviet Union."²⁹

The definition of the governing system of the political right has come to mean fascism/National socialism (conservatism, rightism) and in its general use means "extreme right-wing, authoritarian, or intolerant views or practices." *The Oxford Dictionary* explains fascism as follows: the "totalitarian, right-wing, nationalist regime of Mussolini in Italy (1922–43); the regimes of the Nazis in Germany and Franco in Spain were also Fascist. Fascism tends to include a belief in the supremacy of one national or ethnic group, a contempt for democracy, an insistence on obedience to a powerful leader, and a strong demagogic approach."³⁰

Often being associated with liberals, the welfare state, and anti-free market, the term left-wing has historically been applied to so-called extreme movements including communism, socialism, and anarchism.³¹

Often being associated with conservatives, monarchists, and traditionalists, the term right-wing has historically been applied to so-called extreme movements including fascists, nationalist-socialists, and racialists.³²

²⁹ <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/communism>.

³⁰ <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/fascism>

³¹ http://en.rightpedia.info/wiki/Left-wing_politics.

³² Iain McLean and Alistair McMillan, *The Concise Oxford Dictionary of Politics, Right(-wing)...and for extreme right parties racism and fascism*, Oxford University Press, Oxford: 2009, p. 465. Left-wing and right-wing political positions as they apply to terrorism have been examined by Stefan M. Aubrey in *The New Dimension of International Terrorism*, Hochschulverlag AG, Zurich: 2004, chapters 5, 6, 7, pp. 43-144.

These positions of the so-called political left (communism, left-wing) and the so-called political right (fascism/National Socialism, right-wing) were the accepted positions of the general public and academics throughout the last seventy years of the twentieth century and have carried over into the twenty-first century. The intent was then to deceive and still is to deceive.

It is exactly at this presentation of the definitions juncture that the fluidity of what fascism is and to which political pole it belongs becomes muddied, intentionally muddied. It was muddied at its inception, stayed muddied throughout the remainder of the twentieth century and to this day is still muddied in the first fifteen years of the twenty-first century. As long as leftists are intellectually incapable of comprehending that fascism is leftism, the clarity of what fascism is will remain muddy.

The instigator of the formulation of the deception intended to deceive the general public that has been followed by Leftists ever since was Joseph Stalin (1879-1953). Josef Stalin contemplated communism as a world movement. Benito Mussolini (1883-1945) and Adolf Hitler (1889-1945) envisioned their other-named forms of communism as national movements that would turn into international political movements which would eventually conquer the world.

Joseph Stalin (1879-1953, Leader of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union and dictator of the USSR 1924-1953) accepted the view that social democracy was a twin brother of fascism; both depended on each other. This was recognized by the world communist movement.³³ When Stalin gained control of political power in The Soviet Union after the death of Lenin (Vladimir Ilyich Ulyanov, 1870-1924), Stalin's policy on Soviet social economics shifted, thus intensifying class struggle within the Soviet Union. The peasants, particularly the wealthy kulacks (independent farmers) were perceived as enemies of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. They were seen as wreckers and traitors of the system. This was carried over into international communism and as a result Stalin denounced social democrats and dissidents. He termed them fascists.

Under the leadership of the German Chancellor Hermann Müller (1876-1931, Chancellor 1920, 1928-1930) , the Social Democratic Party (SPD) of Germany agreed with the emerging anti-communist parties that "red equals brown."³⁴ The SPD passed repressive legislation against the Communists and upon the shooting of Communist demonstrators in Berlin on May 1, 1929, the Communists were convinced that Social

³³ Klaus Hildebrand, *The Third Reich*, Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon, UK: 1984, p. 106.

³⁴ Adelheid von Saldern, *The Challenge of Modernity: German Social and Cultural Studies, 1890-1960*, University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan: 2002, p. 78. Red is the symbol color for socialism/communism and brown is the symbol color for fascism.

Democrats were "Social Fascists."³⁵ Nevertheless, in 1931, the Communist Party of Germany (KPD) labeled the NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei) "working people's comrades." These two groups formally united and attempted to defeat the SPD government in an ensuing election, denying any differences between Nazism and Social Democracy.³⁶

After the Nazis came to power in Germany, the KPD was outlawed and thousands of its members were arrested and sent to concentration camps. Joseph Stalin then abandoned all connections with social fascism.

In spite of the fact that Benito Mussolini once said that Joseph Stalin was "his very best student,"³⁷ Joseph Stalin viewed Benito Mussolini and Adolf Hitler as well, as deviators from his form of communist ideology as a world movement. Stalin labelled them rightist reactionaries. The naming was intentional. Thus, the groups of the political left and the political right came into being because Stalin's nomenclature separated them from their common origin. The division became history. After World War II it was vogue to consider them as separate, political ideologies. However, in fact they were both the same: communism was fascism/National Socialism and fascism/National Socialism was communism. This original reality has been lost in the historical usages and transitions of the terms.³⁸ Yet, the truth remains that the political left is fascism and fascism is the political left.

Jim, O'Neill, essayist and regular contributor to the *Canada Free Press*, has written very clear essays explaining the rationale behind leftism and fascism: that there is no difference between fascism and leftism.³⁹

The Italian journalist Oriana Fallaci (1929-2006) once described fascism and communism as two soccer teams opposing each other, yet in fact playing for the same side.⁴⁰

³⁵ Martin Kitchen, *A History Of Modern Germany 1800-2000*, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford: 2006, p. 245.

³⁶ Rob Sewell, *Germany: From Revolution to Counter-Revolution*, Fortress Books, Exloermond, Netherlands: 1988. The topic is discussed in detail in chapter 7.


³⁷ Quoted at <http://www.interpretermag.com/stalin-was-a-greater-fascist-than-bandera-or-mussolini-zubov-says/>.

³⁸ For further detail consult Jonah Goldberg, *Liberal Fascism, The Secret History of the American Left, from Mussolini to the Politics of Change*, Broadway Books, New York: 2009, passim.

³⁹ <http://canadafreepress.com/article/left-wing-globalists-posing-as-right-wing-conservatives> and <http://canadafreepress.com/article/left-wing-nazi-brownshirt-bully-boys>.

Playing for the same side can also be visualized by using the image of the Möbius band, a non-orientable, ruled surface with only one side and only one boundary. Anyone can make a Möbius band by taking a paper strip, giving it a half-twist, and then joining the ends of the strip to form a loop. The size of the Möbius band for this presentation is irrelevant. It is important that the reader keep in mind that the Möbius band is only one strip with only one side that allows two separate items to come together on the same plane.

In this exercise consider the Möbius band to be the political spectrum and the designates **leftism** and **fascism** to be ideologies in that spectrum. Fascism is not right wing! Fascism is left wing! Since Stalin's designation of the nomenclature, the left has successfully labelled conservatism as being fascist to take attention away from their own political sorcery.



Source: <http://www.axelwmoeller.de/grafiken/erfolgskurve3.png> without the text and arrows.

If one follows the direction of **leftism**, one will come out in **fascism**. If one follows the direction of **fascism**, one will come out in **leftism**. At one and the same time **leftism** and **fascism** are the same on the same ideological plane in the political spectrum.

Frederick William Dame
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True
October 11, 2016

⁴⁰ Oriana Fallaci, *The Force of Reason*, Rizzoli/Universe International, New York: 2006, p.206.