

## Analyzing The Obot Mind

In researching and writing *The Obama Timeline* over the last four years, I have reviewed more than 30,000 Obama-related online articles, news reports, speeches, and interviews. I examined information from his birth through his childhood in Hawaii and Indonesia, high school, college in California and New York, Harvard law School, his community activism, his entry into Chicago politics, the U.S. Senate... and the election that allowed him to begin his “fundamental transformation” of the United States of America. I reported on the recurring cast of characters in Obama’s life—many of them unsavory—that the media chose to ignore.

The *Timeline* contains the evidence that was kept from the voters when they were starving for information during one of the most important election campaigns in American history. It is impossible to read the *Timeline* without either realizing you were correct *not* to vote for Obama... or wondering why you *did* vote for him.

Day after day new facts are uncovered that reinforce the argument that the current temporary occupant of our Oval Office is a fraud. Yet the Obama supporters—the Obots or Obamatons—simply refuse to examine the evidence... let alone accept it. I have yet to hear even one of them say, “Well, I admit that I *am* suspicious about such-and-such aspects of his past, but I still support Obama because of his ideologies and policies.” Why is that so difficult for them to say even *that*?

As an example of information about Obama’s past that should be viewed with alarm (or at least curiosity), he has used more than one Social Security number (SSN). I do not know *anyone* who has used multiple SSNs during his lifetime, and I suspect almost no one else does either—unless they know illegal immigrants. But this is *not* a “conspiracy theory” and no tin-foil hats are involved. The evidence was gathered by competent private investigators. One of Obama’s tax returns shows an SSN that begins with 042. Those three digits represent Connecticut, a state where Obama never lived or worked. But Obama has *also* used a number that starts with an Ohio prefix, 282, that shows up on records associated with this address: 713 Hart Senate Office Building. Obama never lived or worked in Ohio either. Why, then, are there at least two SSNs for Obama? Do Obama supporters believe there is a *second* person who just happens to have the same name, Barack Hussein Obama, and who listed a federal office building as his address?

The Obots should *at least* have the courage to say, “Well, I admit *that* is suspicious, but I nevertheless support Obama and I will vote for him again.” I would wonder why they still supported him, but at least that statement is a recognition that questions about Obama’s past are worth investigating. But I do not even get that response. Instead, the offended Obots declare, “You’re a Nazi and a racist and your mother should have aborted you!”

I like to think I am a fair-minded person. I am perfectly willing to admit, for example, that George W. Bush had a lot of shortcomings, but I voted for him because I saw Al Gore and John Kerry as worse alternatives. Why, however, is it so incredibly difficult for liberals to admit *any* of Obama’s shortcomings, or express concerns about his hidden

past? The Obama worship has gotten to the point where I believe he could be caught in bed with a live man or a dead woman and the leftists would still support him. In fact, they'd probably congratulate him for coming out of the homosexual and necrophiliac closets, and Democrat National Committee head Debbie Wasserman Schultz would promptly issue a statement denouncing Republicans for opposing post-mortem female sexual rights that are clearly subject to regulation under the commerce clause. (Imagine press secretary Jay Carney doing his best to not call on Jake Tapper, Ed Henry, and Les Kinsolving at the daily White House press briefing.)

Why are people so supportive of Obama, despite his adding more than \$4 trillion to the national debt, blocking much needed oil drilling, signing into law the job-killing monstrosity of ObamaCare, engineering a takeover of Egypt by the radical Muslim Brotherhood, treating Israel and its prime minister with contempt, promising Russia that he will be "more flexible" after the election, and leaving the nation with no more workers employed in January 2012 than were employed in January 2001?

Why do people continue to believe the manufactured story of Obama's past, when he keeps hidden his school records, his passport records, his mother's pre-1965 passport records, his medical records, and released a computer-generated image of a birth certificate that any high school student with minimal computer graphics experience could prove was forged—not to mention a phony Selective Service registration?

There are two reasons for the inability of millions of Americans to abandon their support of Obama. The first is that some are committed socialists (whether they admit it or not) who do not care about Obama's past and his lies. They *want* that "fundamental transformation" of America and they want it *now*. Many of them are former hippies from the '60s and '70s who are now in their own 60s and 70s. They saw Obama as their last chance to see capitalism destroyed in their lifetimes, and they are not about to give up that dream without a fight. They are like Obama and his top leftist confidantes, Valerie Jarrett and David Axelrod. To them, the end justifies the means. All else is irrelevant—even forged birth certificates and stolen Social Security numbers.

The remaining Obama supporters are not "true believers." They simply voted for Obama in 2008 based on emotion rather than reason. It is relatively easy to say, "Well, I voted for George H.W. Bush in 1988 because he said, 'Read my lips; no new taxes,' but then he broke his promise so I didn't vote for him in 1992." That statement means, "The fault was not with *me*, but with Bush."

But to now turn against Obama would mean, for many millions of people, "The fault was not with Obama, but with *me*." That is, "My emotions failed me." In the case of the elder Bush, someone *else* was to blame for their change of opinion. In the case of Obama, they would have to blame *themselves* if they turn against him in 2012. "Bush lied to me in 1992" is far easier to express than, "I made a tragic error of judgment in 2008."

Many people simply cannot accept that the mistake was theirs. Subconsciously, they must resist at all costs the possibility that their emotions failed them, *that their emotions are*

*faulty and cannot be trusted.* (That is one reason why some women remain with men who beat them. To abandon the hideous relationship means they must accept that their emotions failed them, and that is too difficult to accept. As a result, they make excuses for the actions of the men in their lives. “I was a fool” is a statement that is simply impossible for many people to make. To a great extent, women and black voters are the Whitney Houstons to the Democrat Party’s Bobby Brown. They keep getting abused and taken for granted, yet they keep going back for more.)

This emotion-versus-reason aspect of the political situation is why liberals get *so* angry with conservatives who point out Obama’s shortcomings and lies. To the Obots, we are not only criticizing him; *we are criticizing their emotional processes.* If they cannot even admit their flawed emotional judgment mechanism to *themselves*, no one should be surprised that they find it impossible to admit it to others.

Luckily, many people—at least among independent voters—are wising up. They are looking at the situation in 2012 rationally rather than emotionally, as they did in 2008. They are, in fact, realizing that they were conned in 2008. In truth, the fault was not so much with their emotions; they simply encountered a master manipulator of those emotions in Obama. That is, after all, Community Activism 101: “Manipulating the Emotions of the Crowd.”

Obama is a master magician. A few people in the audience know the trick behind the illusion. Most people in the audience do not know the secret, but they nevertheless understand that it is only an illusion and that the magician did not really make the elephant disappear. But others in the audience desperately want to believe that the magician has mysterious powers. They do not want anyone to spoil the trick by telling them it is done with mirrors. They will cover their ears with their hands and sing the *Star-Spangled Banner* to drown out the explanation. *Those are the Obots.* They want the elephant to disappear. The rest of us want a new magician—one who can make the jackass disappear.

I urge the Obots (those who are not socialist “true believers”) to step back, look at everything from a distance, and not be distracted by the magician’s assistants—the Trayvon Martins and the Sandra Flukes. Look instead for the mirrors. Listen to what Obama is saying, but carefully watch what he is doing. Recognize that he is trying to manipulate emotions. He wants black-white conflict because that gets black voters to believe he will be their brave defender against white oppressors. He wants male-female conflict because he wants women to think he is their gallant protector. Obama’s tactics are among the oldest tricks in the political magic book, and millions will fall for them if they are not paying attention. Hopefully, fewer will fall for them in 2012 than fell for them in 2008.

Anyone who believes Obama’s nonsense about Republicans allowing weather satellites to fall from the sky is falling for his emotional tricks. The National Parks will certainly not be closed to tourists. Medicare will not be eliminated. Those are typical Democrat lies: “Those evil Republicans are going to close the libraries! And lay off all the teachers!

And let people die in the streets! And allow garbage to pile up! And close fire houses and let cities burn!” When Obama makes those absurd statements, just relax, take a deep breath, and recognize them for what they are: the scare tactics of a desperate politician, not the wise observations of a statesman.

Use reason, not emotion, when listening to politicians speak. Save emotions for photos of puppies and kittens and Rachmaninoff concertos...

*Don Fredrick*  
*April 7, 2012*