

Frederick William Dame

**An Open Letter to Margaret Webb Pressler  
and *The Washington Post***

Greetings *Washington Post* Personality:

In *The Washington Post* story of November 23, 2011 titled:

**23 kids adopted from other countries become U.S. citizens  
at a ceremony**

Margaret Webb Pressler presents herself as if she is an expert on the legal principle of *natural born citizen*. She writes the following statement:

“If you were born in this country, or born outside this country to at least one parent who is a U.S. citizen, then you are what’s known as a natural-born citizen, or a citizen from birth. But someone born outside this country to parents who are not U.S. citizens has to go through a process to become a citizen. This person would be known as a naturalized citizen. Both have all the rights, freedoms and protections offered in the U.S. Constitution, but only natural-born citizens can be elected president or vice president of the United States.”<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/kidspost/23-kids-adopted-from-other-countries-become-us-citizens-at-a-ceremony/2011/11/23/gIQAAdPoAXO\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/kidspost/23-kids-adopted-from-other-countries-become-us-citizens-at-a-ceremony/2011/11/23/gIQAAdPoAXO_story.html).

Ms Pressler is ignorant of the content in *The Constitution for the United States of America* and particularly she does not know what a *natural born citizen* is. The term *natural born citizen* is not hyphenated in Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 of the *Constitution*. It is written natural born Citizen (this author's emphasis!). Is Ms Pressler attempting to say that there is a difference between natural-born citizen and natural born Citizen? Sending her possible argument that there is a difference into oblivion where such arguments belong, the undersigned is herewith stating that there is no difference: a natural born citizen is a natural born Citizen! – with or without the hyphen and the capitalization!

I am not amazed at Ms Pressler's standpoint. *The Washington Post* and other lamestream media are into Obama so far where the sun does not shine that *The Washington Post* and its likes will never see the light of truth.

Ms Pressler's statement is not only legally false, it is an outright attempt to re-write international law and it is an outright lie! A *natural born citizen* is a person born on United States soil to *two United States citizen parents*. Being born on United States soil is not sufficient enough to qualify as a natural born Citizen in the United States of America. One's mother and one's father have to be citizens of the United States of America as well. Ms. Pressler is spinning her definition of *natural born citizen* so far to appease Barack Hussein Obama and his goons that she is supporting his breaking of the Supreme Law of the Land. Ms. Pressler is aiding and abetting a traitor! So is *The Washington Post* by not requiring its reporters to write the truth.

In 1862, Congressman John Bingham—the “father of the 14th Amendment”, which does not deal with the status of a natural born Citizen—explicitly stated,

“All from other lands, who by the terms of [congressional] laws and a compliance with their provisions become naturalized, are adopted citizens of the United States; all other persons born within the Republic, of parents [note the plural *parents*] owing allegiance to no other sovereignty, are natural born citizens. Gentleman can find no exception to this statement touching natural-born citizens except what is said in the Constitution relating to Indians.”

Only four years later in 1866 Congressman Bingham (1815-1900 Republican, Ohio) stated,

“Every human being born within the jurisdiction of the United States of parents [notice the use of the plural *parents*] not owing allegiance to any foreign sovereignty is, in the language of your Constitution itself, a natural born citizen.”

Congressman Bingham’s definition was never disputed by other Congressmen. It is extremely obvious that immoral Obama supporters—particularly his taxpayer-money-paid attorneys who have been filing briefs with the United States Supreme Court—have *intentionally* omitted the words “of parents” when they quote Congressman John Bingham’s statement. Perhaps they are doing this because John Bingham was a Republican telling the truth! The intent is not

only shameful. They are aiding and abetting a criminal traitor and they are actively misleading the public.<sup>2</sup>

The United States Supreme Court has even defined what constitutes a *natural born Citizen*. Chief Justice of the Supreme Court Morrison Remick Waite in *Minor v. Happersett* (1875) wrote,

“The Constitution does not, in words, say who shall be natural-born citizens. Resort must be had elsewhere to ascertain that. At common-law, with the nomenclature of which the framers of the Constitution were familiar, it was never doubted that all children born in a country of parents [notice the plural] who were its citizens became themselves, upon their birth, citizens also. These

---

<sup>2</sup> The following links are conclusive. There is not attempt to spin the definition of natural born citizen.

- <http://www.scribd.com/doc/11317148/Kerchner-v-Obama-Congress-DOC-03-Lawsuit-filed-250-am-20-Jan-09-2nd-Amend-filed-09-Feb-2009>
- [http://www.resistnet.com/video/video/show?id=2600775%3AVideo%3A2294616&amp;xgs=1&amp;xg\\_source=msg\\_share\\_video](http://www.resistnet.com/video/video/show?id=2600775%3AVideo%3A2294616&amp;xgs=1&amp;xg_source=msg_share_video)
- <http://www.cnsnews.com/news/article/68189>
- <http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2010/06/more-proof-that-article-ii-natural-born.html>
- <http://puzo1.blogspot.com/2010/04/benjamin-franklin-in-1775-thanks.html>
- <http://jeffersonsrebels.blogspot.com/2010/06/historical-documents-prove-vattels.html>
- <http://undeadrevolution.wordpress.com/2009/09/06/the-meaning-of-natural-born-citizen/>
- <http://www.scribd.com/doc/33807636/A-Dissertation-on-Manner-of-Acquiring-Character-Privileges-of-Citizen-of-U-S-by-David-Ramsay-17899>
- <http://www.scribd.com/doc/31183819/FIVE-ATTEMPTS-TO-RE-DEFINE-NATURAL-BORN-CITIZEN-SINCE-20011>
- <http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2011/03/09/the-house-of-representatives-definition-of-natural-born-citizen-born-of-citizen-parents-in-t>
- <http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2011/03/06/the-obama-administration-quietly-scrubbed-the-foreign-affairs-manual-in-august-2009-to>
- <http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2011/03/17/irli-got-some-splainin-to-do/>
- [http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/03/obamas\\_puddles.html](http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/03/obamas_puddles.html)
- <http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/03/scrub-thon-immigration-reform-law.html>

were natives, or natural-born citizens, as distinguished from aliens or foreigners. Some authorities go further and include as citizens children born within the jurisdiction without reference to the citizenship of their parents. As to this class there have been doubts, but never as to the first.”<sup>3</sup>

For the sake of truthful reporting and the future goal of being a highly respected newspaper (I challenge you to gain this status!), *The Washington Post* and Ms. Pressler must stop evading the issue of Barack Hussein Obama being an illegal usurper. He has also committed a number of felonies.

A **felony** (*Webster's Dictionary*) is: *a crime for which the punishment in federal law may be death or imprisonment for more than one year.* The word *felony* derives from the Medieval Latin word *fellō*, from Vulgar Latin *fellō†* (unattested), meaning *wicked, malignant*, which in turn comes from Frankish *\*fillo*; *\*filljo*, meaning *a person who whips or beats, a scourger*. It may be related to the Latin word *fel*, meaning *gall, an evil poison*, which has its Indo-European word root in the suffixed full-grade form *\*ghel-n-*, which means *bile*.<sup>4</sup> The word *felony* came into the English language in about 1290, at which time it meant *treachery, villainy, crime*.

---

<sup>3</sup> The following sources are also conclusive:

- <http://www.colony14.net/id71.html>
- <http://www.washingtonpost.com/arizona-lawmakers-see-tie-between-birther-bill-and-issue-of-who-is-a-us-citizen-at-birth/2011/03/23/ABIQ6A>
- <http://www.scribd.com/doc/38106776/Irrefutable-Proof-that-Obama-is-Not-Eligible-to-be-President-of-the-United-States-the-Facts-Don-t-Lie>
- <http://www.campaignforliberty.com/blog.php?view=5862>
- <http://nobarack08.wordpress.com/2011/03/26/letter-to-sean-hannity-the-great-great-great-unamerican-traitor/>

<sup>4</sup> Julius Pokorny, *Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*, A. Franke Verlag, Tübingen und Basel: 2005, entry 429.

Barack Hussein Obama is an unconvicted felon. An archaic meaning of felon is a person who is evil. Barack Hussein Obama's felonies are many.<sup>5</sup>

Furthermore, there are various separate addresses and a plethora of different social security numbers that Barack Hussein Obama uses or has used.<sup>6</sup> Use of more than one social security number is fraud against the government. Barack Hussein Obama has committed fraud and treason. Barack Hussein Obama is more than just a criminal. Barack Hussein is the utmost evil traitor.

How does it feel to be an admirer of a criminal and a supporter of treason against the *Constitution for the United States of America*?

With friendly greetings,

Frederick William Dame

Patriotic, Steadfast, and True

A patriot and natural born citizen of the United States of America!

December 12, 2011

---

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=126137>.

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-obama-continues/>  
<http://www.usrecordsearch.com/ssn.htm>;  
<http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/list-of-properties-and-social-security-numbers-associate-with-barack-obama-and-family/>  
<http://www.rense.com/general85/ObamaFEC.html>  
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/21193983/DOC-86-2-Keyes-v-Obama-Affidavit-of-Susan-Daniels-Refiled>