

FREDERICK WILLIAM DAME

**ANOTHER OBAMA SCREW
HAS BEEN FORCEFULLY TWISTED INTO
THE CASKET OF AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY**

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

Since the supreme screwer in the history of the United States of America usurped political power by becoming the bogus potus, Barack Hussein Obama has undertaken every effort to destroy the American republican system of government and American sovereignty. The Revolutionary War traitor Benedict Arnold was not as evil as Barack Hussein Obama!

The verb *to screw* in the figurative sense means *to use force or guile, or to extort under pressure in order to obtain a goal*. Even in the presidential election campaign of 2008, Barack Hussein Obama made no secret of the fact that he was out to utterly destroy the Republic of the United States of America, internally and externally. Destroying the United States of America externally complements the internal destruction and the internal destruction complements the external destruction. The external stature of America is its sovereignty: the supremacy and complete independence and self-governance of the United States of America.

Normally the phrase is that *nails are hammered into a casket*. However, with respect to the Screwer Par Excellence, screws have been twisted and are still being twisted into America's casket of sovereignty. Each of these Barack Hussein Obama screws has a nomenclature. The following twenty out of a plethora of Obama Screws, not necessarily in any order of their importance, come to mind:

1. Hope.
2. Change.
3. A re-writing of the *Constitution for the United States of America* by not obeying its laws, such as Article II, Section 1, Clause 5.
4. ObamaCare.
5. Trillion dollar deficits.
6. Insulting the United States of America and its legal citizens by bowing to foreign heads of state.
7. Continuation of the goal of establishing a North American Union with Canada and Mexico.
8. Allowing illegal aliens into the country.
9. The porkulus Troubled Asset Relief Program to rescue defaulting banks.
10. Cash for Clunkers.
11. Short-term homebuyer credits.
12. Non enforcement of the security of the United States border between Texas and Mexico and Arizona and Mexico.
13. Starting the move towards a one-world government by signing off on the Financial Stability Board. This would place all firms within and without the United States under international regulation which would amount to a global economic government. The Financial Stability Board agreement states, "We agree to a framework of internationally agreed upon high standards. We will set up a financial stability board with a strengthened mandate to extend regulation and oversight to all systemically important financial institutions, instruments and markets ... to endorse and implement tough new principles on paying compensation and to support sustainable compensation schemes and the corporate social responsibility of ALL firms." The Financial Stability Board overrides the American *Constitution*!
14. Generations Invigorating Volunteerism and Education Act (GIVE Act) concerning forced volunteerism.
15. Organizing for America.
16. Announcement of a one-sided reduction of America's nuclear weapons.
17. Giving the International Police Organization (INTERPOL) and the United Nations legal authority within the United States of America.

18. Pursuing regulations to force an Internet Identification upon American users of the Internet.
19. Government bail-out of private industry, namely General Motors to the amount of 87 billion dollars of taxpayer money and giving control of the company to the automotive union.
20. The continuing attempts to place the United States of America under international climate control administered by the United Nations.

As of March 28, 2011, there has been another screw bored into the coffin of American sovereignty. That screw is named *American Forces Being Volunteered in the Libyan Civil War*. This is the subject matter of this essay critique.

IN MEDIAS RES

There are a plethora of commentaries concerning the volunteering of American Forces to take part in the Libyan Civil War and Barack Hussein Obama's speech on March 28, 2011 justifying **his decision** to become involved. Emphasis is placed on the fact that Barack Hussein Obama personally made the decision for American involvement. It was not made by the United States Congress, which is a stipulation of the *Constitution* when the United States is to go to war. In addition, the War Powers Resolution of 1973 (50 U.S.C.1541-1548) emphatically states that the Executive can send United States Armed Forces into a warring action abroad only by authorization of Congress or in the case of "a national emergency created by attack upon the United States, its territories or possessions, or its armed forces." The Executive is required to notify Congress within 48 hours of committing United States Armed Forces to military action and the War Powers Resolution forbids United States Armed Forces from remaining for more than 60 days, including a further 30 day withdrawal period, without congressional authorization of the use of United States military force or a congressional declaration of war.

The putative president Barack Hussein Obama did not undertake any measure to meet this legal requirement. Perhaps the only immediate leverage the United States Congress has is to defund Barack Hussein Obama's Libyan Civil War adventure. If that

does not stop the Screwer Emperor, then the threat of impeachment, no, indeed, the impeachment of the bogus potus is surely possible because Barack Hussein Obama failed to obey the law of the War Powers Resolution. The failure of the Executive to obey the War Powers Resolution constitutes an impeachable act.

The following excursus is the only sentence-by-sentence commentary (in red) on the Internet of Barack Hussein Obama's justification for his megalomaniacal, personal, only-I-know-what-is-to-be-done decision.

For Immediate Release
March 28, 2011

Remarks by the (Putative) President in Address to the Nation on Libya
National Defense University
Washington, D.C.
7:31 P.M. EDT

THE (PUTATIVE) PRESIDENT: Tonight, I'd like to update the American people on the international effort that we have led in Libya — what we've done, what we plan to do, and why this matters to us.

COMMENT: Does Barack Hussein Obama mean the American people or the legal American citizens? Many illegal aliens now consider themselves to be part of the American people. They are not! Six long weeks and Barack Hussein Obama did nothing. He took no action on the political situation in Libya. However, Barack Hussein Obama did do something. He went on a tour of Latin America with Mrs. Obama and his two daughters. They were welcomed in Brazil with calls of *Obama go home!* The lead in confronting the Libyan crisis was initially taken by Nicholas Sarkozy, the President of France and David Cameron, the Prime Minister of the United Kingdom.

I want to begin by paying tribute to our men and women in uniform who, once again, have acted with courage, professionalism and patriotism.

COMMENT: The phrase *men and women in uniform* is too general. Other professions that wear a uniform are guards, the police, the border patrol – which is non-existent for Barack Hussein Obama because he wants to let as many illegal aliens into the United

States of American as possible – firefighters, etc. Barack Hussein Obama cannot and will not say the *United States Armed Forces* or the *United States Military*. To do so would give some recognition to these parts of the Department of Defense. Barack Hussein Obama has never said the proper phrases. In his mind it would be lowering himself to a level in which he would have to praise the United States of America. When did the "men and women in uniform" act with courage, professionalism, and patriotism? Patriotism would indicate that they were taking action against an enemy who was/is a threat to the United States of America. Moammur Gaddafi never threatened the United States of America during the past six weeks.

They have moved with incredible speed and strength.

COMMENT: This is a misleading of the actual situation. United States Forces were already in the Mediterranean Theater.

Because of them and our dedicated diplomats, a coalition has been forged and countless lives have been saved.

COMMENT: The coalition was formed because French President Nicholas Sarkozy and the British Prime Minister David Cameron took the initiative and Barack Hussein Obama did not want anyone else to have the credit for intervening for the Libyan demonstrators, whoever they really are. As for the "countless lives have been saved," no one really knows. Barack Hussein Obama could have said *I have prevented a massacre in Libya*. It is non-provable claptrap and thus hot air!

Meanwhile, as we speak, our troops are supporting our ally Japan, leaving Iraq to its people, stopping the Taliban's momentum in Afghanistan, and going after al Qaeda all across the globe.

COMMENT: Who does Barack Hussein Obama mean with **we, our**? Only Barack Hussein Obama is speaking. Does he mean his double-faced oratory? American troops are supporting Japan because that is what allies do; they support each other in times of danger. *Leaving Iraq to its own government and citizens* is the correct wording. Stopping the Taliban's momentum is not happening. Barack Hussein Obama's rules of engagement are enemy friendly in Iraq and in Afghanistan. The Taliban will gain in momentum when the withdrawal of troops starts because they will wait for the moment. Barack Hussein Obama is going after al Qaeda all over the globe? This is a new development! Is Barack Hussein Obama going after al-Qaeda and the movement's sympathizers in Africa, in South America, in the United States of America, in Asia, in Europe, in Canada, in Australia, in the now independent states republics of the former Soviet Union? The statement is totally exaggerated to the extent that it is crap!

As Commander-in-Chief, I'm grateful to our soldiers, sailors, airmen, Marines, Coast Guardsmen, and to their families.

COMMENT: Is Barack Hussein Obama grateful because they are not questioning his legality as commander in chief? Every military officer took an oath to uphold the Constitution for the United States of America. Every military officer, except for LTC Terrence Lakin is not upholding that oath. Why should Marines and Coast Guard be capitalized and not the others? All of them should be capitalized for emphasis because they are an important part of the backbone and spine that is American freedom, a backbone and spine that Barack Hussein Obama is steadily working to demolish and destroy.

And I know all Americans share in that sentiment.

COMMENT: This is an outright false statement! The leftists, the progressives, the Obots, and the like, symbolized by Code Pink's Medea Benjamin and Jane Hanoi Fonda, do not have this sentiment.

For generations, the United States of America has played a unique role as an anchor of global security and as an advocate for human freedom.

COMMENT: The role the United States of America has played in the quest for global security and as an advocate of human freedom is not unique. *Unique* means *single* or *solitary*. The role of the United States of America in securing global and human freedom is more than single and solitary. The role was and is decisive. The role was and is and should be exceptional. But Barack Hussein Obama has denied any continuing American exceptionalism by placing the United States of America in harm by a number of his policies as listed under the introductory remarks.

Mindful of the risks and costs of military action, we are naturally reluctant to use force to solve the world's many challenges.

Who is meant with **we**? The United States of America is not reluctant when it is faced with imminent danger. However, the Libyan situation is not imminent danger to America. The real imminent danger is the bogus potus!

But when our interests and values are at stake, we have a responsibility to act.

Who is meant with **our, we**? Barack Hussein Obama's regime? Is Barack Hussein Obama trying to include the American citizens in his narcissistic and egomaniacal, personal decision to make war on Libya? Not one patriotic American is pro-Gaddafi. Not all American citizens are pro-Barack Hussein Obama. What right does Barack

Hussein Obama have to violate the *Constitution for the United States of America* again and not obtain permission from Congress for this military undertaking? Only Congress can decide if an act of war is permissible. True, the executive can wage war, but only after Congress has given its permission to engage in a war. George W. Bush observed the law of the *Constitution* regarding Iraq and Afghanistan. Barack Hussein Obama throws the *Constitution* under the bus and down the drain and into a sewer, where he himself belongs! What are American interests in Libya? To what degree are American interests and values at stake in Libya? Barack Hussein Obama makes a misleading statement hoping that the American sheeple will believe him!

That's what happened in Libya over the course of these last six weeks.

COMMENT: This is an illogical statement because it does not refer to anything relevant concerning Libya that was said before.

Libya sits directly between Tunisia and Egypt – two nations that inspired the world when their people rose up to take control of their own destiny.

COMMENT: The world was not inspired. This is more exaggerating crap! Only the citizens in Egypt were inspired by the uprising in Tunisia, the citizens in Libya were inspired by the uprisings in Tunisia and in Egypt. The protests in Bahrain were not only a result of the uprisings in Tunisia, Egypt, and Libya, but also because the majority of Bahrain's Muslim Shiites have been ruled by the royal family that belong to the minority Sunnites, which has always been backed by the United States. The protests in Yemen followed those in Tunisia and occurred concurrently with those in Egypt. All of these countries where revolts and protests are taking place have one common denominator: dictatorships, corruption, legal injustice, and social injustice. Slowly, but surely stepping into the vacuum is the Islamic Brotherhood, or any affiliate organization that wants to institute Sharia law. The Islamic Brotherhood has already taken a foothold in Egypt. There are no young protestors demanding democratic freedoms. The interim military government is dealing with the Muslim Brotherhood, not with the young western-oriented generation. For the Muslim Brotherhood, regardless of the country, total Islam and Sharia law is the solution to all of these countries' problems. They even believe that Islam and Shari law is the solution to America's problems.

For more than four decades, the Libyan people have been ruled by a tyrant – Muammar Qaddafi.

COMMENT: He is also a son of Islam, Barack Hussein Obama, just like you! Is the illegal pot calling the illegal kettle black? **You took illegal financial contributions from Qaddafi when you were a candidate for the American presidency. *Pecunia non olet!***

He has denied his people freedom, exploited their wealth, murdered opponents at home and abroad, and terrorized innocent people around the world – including Americans who were killed by Libyan agents.

COMMENT: It sounds as if Barack Hussein Obama is talking about his policies in the United States of America. American citizens no longer possess the freedoms they once had. ObamaCare took care of that! Barack Hussein Obama has not yet murdered opponents in the United States, but he has thrown them under the bus, thus *murdering* them professionally. Barack Hussein Obama has terrorized free people around the world, particularly America's allies like Poland, the Baltic states, the Czech Republic, the British government under the Prime Ministers Gordon Brown and David Cameron. And he allowed the Libyan agent who killed Americans over Lockerbie to be set free.

Last month, Qaddafi's grip of fear appeared to give way to the promise of freedom.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama is just stating words. Gaddafi's grip of fear did nothing to allow freedom in his country. Freedom is not a promise. Freedom is an unalienable right.

In cities and towns across the country, Libyans took to the streets to claim their basic human rights.

COMMENT: This is a flowering statement of what really happened. First of all, Libyans took to the streets to demand the resignation of the Prime Minister Baghdadi al-Mahmoudi government. Initially, there were no calls for the overthrow of Gaddafi. The initial rioters were relatives of inmates in the Abu Salim jail in Tripoli, where militant Islamists and government opponents are held.

As one Libyan said, "For the first time we finally have hope that our nightmare of 40 years will soon be over."

COMMENT: This is a true statement. Nevertheless, this is not justification for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War.

Faced with this opposition, Qaddafi began attacking his people.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. Gaddafi began fighting only the rebellious elements, and whether or not these rebellious elements were/are really *his people* remains to be seen.

As (Putative) President, my immediate concern was the safety of our citizens, so we evacuated our embassy and all Americans who sought our assistance.

COMMENT: The use of **my** means it is all about him! As bogus potus Barack Hussein Obama was concerned about the safety of American citizens? The Barack Hussein Obama regime chartered the wrong boat. It was too small to evacuate all Americans in Libya. That is real concern!

Then we took a series of swift steps in a matter of days to answer Qaddafi's aggression. We froze more than \$33 billion of Qaddafi's regime's assets.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Evidently not the United States of America. Barack Hussein Obama has a problem saying the name of the country of which he is the bogus potus. Barack Hussein Obama indicates that 33 billion dollars of Qaddafi's regime were frozen (world-wide?). Not world-wide, but only in the United States of America were the 33 billion dollars frozen. Furthermore, the money is Libyan state money. Switzerland froze the Libyan money in Switzerland and Canada froze the Libyan money in Canada. Evidently, **we** does not include these countries. Is Qaddafi's name on the bank accounts?

Joining with other nations at the United Nations Security Council, we broadened our sanctions, imposed an arms embargo, and enabled Qaddafi and those around him to be held accountable for their crimes.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Other nations also took the initiative in pushing the sanctions through the UN Security Council. The United States of America did not push the initiative for the sanctions alone.

I made it clear that Qaddafi had lost the confidence of his people and the legitimacy to lead, and I said that he needed to step down from power.

COMMENT: The use of **I** means it is all about Barack Hussein Obama. Qaddafi was/is not even now a threat to the United States of America. Why does Barack Hussein Obama not take the same stance concerning Kim Jong Il and his son Kim-Jong-un of North Korea, of Fidel and Ramon Castro of Cuba, or Vladimir Lukashenko of Belorussia, or Mahmud Ahmadinedschad and the Iranian Mullahs, or the military dictators of Myanmar, or the illegal Chinese occupiers of Tibet? Qaddafi was never a legal ruler. As a result he never had and could never have had "the confidence of his people and the legitimacy to lead."

In the face of the world's condemnation, Qaddafi chose to escalate his attacks, launching a military campaign against the Libyan people.

COMMENT: In actuality, the Libyan military launched a campaign against those revolting against Qaddafi.

Innocent people were targeted for killing.

COMMENT: Whether or not the innocent people were targeted, we do not know. However, Qaddafi uses innocent Libyan civilians as shields. At the same time, anti-Qaddafi elements targeted and slaughtered innocent people from Chad, the rebels claiming that they were really Qaddafi operatives.

Hospitals and ambulances were attacked.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. Nevertheless, these are not justifications for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War.

Journalists were arrested, sexually assaulted, and killed.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. In addition, there are many journalists missing and many have been deported. Nevertheless, this is not justification for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War.

Supplies of food and fuel were choked off.

COMMENT: Supplies of food and fuel were not choked off. The supplies have been depleted and cut off. This is a true statement. Nevertheless, these are not justifications for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War.

Water for hundreds of thousands of people in Misurata was shut off.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. Nevertheless, this is not justification for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War. The water was shut off between 24 and 25 February 2011.

Cities and towns were shelled, mosques were destroyed, and apartment buildings reduced to rubble.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. Nevertheless, these are not justifications for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War.

Military jets and helicopter gunships were unleashed upon people who had no means to defend themselves against assaults from the air.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. Nevertheless, these are not justifications for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War. In what way is Libya a threat to United States security?

Confronted by this brutal repression and a looming humanitarian crisis, I ordered warships into the Mediterranean.

COMMENT: I means it is all about him! What about the other regions in Africa and the world where there are brutal repressions and humanitarian crises? It cannot escape the possibility that Barack Hussein Obama is using this illegal action – Congress was not consulted for approval – to divert attention from domestic problems. Furthermore, perhaps Barack Hussein Obama is looking for a scapegoat possibility where he can claim leadership so that his re-election candidacy for 2012 can propagandize that Barack Hussein Obama is a real leader, at least in the minds of the leftists, progressives, Obots, and American sheeple.

European allies declared their willingness to commit resources to stop the killing.

COMMENT: Before Barack Hussein Obama did. This is a true statement. Nevertheless, this is not justification for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War.

The Libyan opposition and the Arab League appealed to the world to save lives in Libya.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. Nevertheless, these are not justifications for the United States of America to become involved in a Libyan Civil War.

And so at my direction, America led an effort with our allies at the United Nations Security Council to pass a historic resolution that authorized a no-fly zone to stop the regime's attacks from the air, and further authorized all necessary measures to protect the Libyan people.

COMMENT: **My** direction ... means it is all about him! The British and French governments wanted to lead a no-fly zone over Libya. However, Barack Hussein Obama said that he must lead it. Barack Hussein Obama could not allow the British and the French to upstage him. It is all about him. Whatever other countries undertake, Barack Hussein Obama will claim the credit.

Ten days ago, having tried to end the violence without using force, the international community offered Qaddafi a final chance to stop his campaign of killing, or face the consequences.

COMMENT: This is really a tough statement by Barack Hussein Obama! If I were Qaddafi I would be shaking all over! By the way, what are the consequences? Indeed, what are the consequences if Qaddafi waits out the Civil War and wins? What international community? Does Barack Hussein Obama mean the United Nations?

Does Barack Hussein Obama mean the international community of true believers of Islam: the Ummah?

Rather than stand down, his forces continued their advance, bearing down on the city of Benghazi, home to nearly 700,000 men, women and children who sought their freedom from fear.

COMMENT: "Women and children who sought their freedom from fear." This is good rhetoric. However, this is still not justification for American involvement in the Libyan Civil War. Furthermore, the reader and American citizens will never know if "Women and children who sought their freedom from fear." It is only a statement by Barack Hussein Obama. There is no way to find out the truth, particularly when Barack Hussein Obama states the truth as lies, lies as truths, and lies as lies!

At this point, the United States and the world faced a choice.

COMMENT: At every point in foreign policy the United States faces a choice. The world did not face a choice. At least three-quarters of the world's countries – at least the dictatorships – could care less what happens in Libya.

Qaddafi declared he would show "no mercy" to his own people.

COMMENT: Qaddafi declared he would show no mercy against those opposing him. Barack Hussein Obama should understand this, since Barack Hussein Obama shows no mercy with his opponents. All one has to do is to google Barack Hussein Obama's remarks concerning the American Tea Party Movement!

He compared them to rats, and threatened to go door to door to inflict punishment.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. However this is not justification for American involvement.

In the past, we have seen him hang civilians in the streets, and kill over a thousand people in a single day.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? This is a true statement. However if this was not a good enough reason to go to war with Libya in the past, then why is it a justification to become involved now?

Now we saw regime forces on the outskirts of the city.

COMMENT: What city? As such, the statement is not accurate. However this cannot be justification to go to war with Libya.

We knew that if we wanted -- if we waited one more day, Benghazi, a city nearly the size of Charlotte, could suffer a massacre that would have reverberated across the region and stained the conscience of the world.

COMMENT: Benghazi? Is this another city? Think logically, Barack Hussein Obama! The world has no conscience concerning Libya. Barack Hussein Obama is using the formulation to play on emotions. The world, or the international community, whatever these terms mean, do not have a conscience concerning Libya, or North Korea, or any other dictatorial country. As a matter of fact, Barack Hussein Obama does not have a conscience concerning Libya. Barack Hussein Obama's conscience concerns himself and whether or not he can allow his narcissistic ego to be upstaged by other leaders of other countries.

It was not in our national interest to let that happen.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama! The United States of America have no interest in Libya. Only Barack Hussein Obama has an interest in Libya and that interest is Barack Hussein Obama and the propagandizing of Barack Hussein Obama at the risk of using United States Forces without Congressional permission, as is necessary under the *Constitution for the United States of America*, to make his person appear positive on the world stage. Article II, Section 2 of the *Constitution* gives the Executive Branch command of the nation's armed forces. Article I, Section 8 gives the Legislative Branch (Congress) the power to declare war. America being a world's conscience is not a calling for the United States of America. It is not part of the *Constitution*. The United States of America is being used by Barack Hussein Obama for his questionable narcissistic conscience. It is a usurpation of power that does not exist in the *Constitution*. However, usurpation of power that does not belong to him is nothing new to Barack Hussein Obama.

I refused to let that happen.

COMMENT: The use of I means that it is all about Barack Hussein Obama. Refused to let what happen – a discussion with the United States Congress or a massacre in Benghazi? Barack Hussein Obama does not have the right to invoke what his dictatorial whims are.

And so nine days ago, after consulting the bipartisan leadership of Congress, I authorized military action to stop the killing and enforce U.N. Security Council Resolution 1973.

COMMENT: Consulting bipartisan leadership is not consulting Congress. It is another ploy to undermine the *Constitution*. Barack Hussein Obama briefed the bipartisan

leadership and then when he was on his *South American vacation*, he went and did what he wanted to do, just as dictators always do. Briefing bipartisan leadership of Congress is not the same as gaining permission from Congress.

We struck regime forces approaching Benghazi to save that city and the people within it.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Does Barack Hussein Obama include himself, **I**, first person singular, in **we**, first person plural? Of course, he does. Barack Hussein Obama is saying **I** as a part of **we**, whoever **we** is, did it!

We hit Qaddafi's troops in neighboring Ajdabiya, allowing the opposition to drive them out.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Does Barack Hussein Obama include himself, **I**, first person singular, in **we**, first person plural? Of course, he does. Barack Hussein Obama is saying **I** as a part of **we**, whoever **we** is, did it!

We hit Qaddafi's air defenses, which paved the way for a no-fly zone.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Does Barack Hussein Obama include himself, **I**, first person singular, in **we**, first person plural? Of course, he does. Barack Hussein Obama is saying **I** as a part of **we**, whoever **we** is, did it! Hitting Qaddafi's air defenses did not pave the way for the no-fly zone. It secured the no-fly zone. United Nations Resolution 1973 paved the way.

We targeted tanks and military assets that had been choking off towns and cities, and we cut off much of their source of supply.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Does Barack Hussein Obama include himself, **I**, first person singular, in **we**, first person plural? Of course, he does. Barack Hussein Obama is saying **I** as a part of **we**, whoever **we** is, did it! Does Barack Hussein Obama mean to claim that he is also a military genius?

And tonight, I can report that we have stopped Qaddafi's deadly advance.

COMMENT: **I** can ... means it is all about him! Who is meant with **we**? Does Barack Hussein Obama include himself, **I**, first person singular, in **we**, first person plural? Of course, he does. Barack Hussein Obama is saying **I** as a part of **we**, whoever **we** is, did it! Qaddafi will not give up as easily as Barack Hussein Obama thinks he will. Qaddafi will do everything to regain lost ground. As of this writing the Qaddafi forces have already retaken the key oil port of Ras Lanuf and have regained lost territory. That is the reason rebel forces want a cease fire, but a cease fire according to their terms!

In this effort, the United States has not acted alone.

COMMENT: This is a false statement! Barack Hussein Obama acted alone without constitutional permission!

Instead, we have been joined by a strong and growing coalition.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Does Barack Hussein Obama include himself, **I**, first person singular, in **we**, first person plural? Of course, he does. Barack Hussein Obama is saying **I** as a part of **we**, whoever **we** is, did it! Without German participation the coalition is not strong!

This includes our closest allies — nations like the United Kingdom, France, Canada, Denmark, Norway, Italy, Spain, Greece, and Turkey — all of whom have fought by our sides for decades.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? **Our** is the third person possessive plural, thereby also including **I**. Who does Barack Hussein Obama mean? If he means the United States of America, the statement is misleading. Spain and Greece have not "fought by (the side of the United States of America) for decades." Turkey has never fought by the side of the United States of America.

And it includes Arab partners like Qatar and the United Arab Emirates, who have chosen to meet their responsibilities to defend the Libyan people.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading crap! The responsibility of Qatar and the United Arab Emirates is dictatorship over their subjects. There is responsibility to defend their people, but only in the context of their *raison d'être*! The intent of the Barack Hussein Obama's statement is to invoke the idea that at least the Arab world has a moral conscience, or at least these two countries have a moral conscience vis-à-vis the Libyan protesters. However, the presence of al-taqiyya is ever present in the Arab world and therefore there is a question as to their having any moral conscience, since al-taqiyya allows every means possible for the furtherance of Islam.

To summarize, then: In just one month, the United States has worked with our international partners to mobilize a broad coalition, secure an international mandate to protect civilians, stop an advancing army, prevent a massacre, and establish a no-fly zone with our allies and partners.

COMMENT: To summarize, then: In just one month, Barack Hussein Obama was upstaged by Prime Minister Cameron of Great Britain, President Sarkozy of France, even Silvio Berlusconi of Italy; Barack Hussein Obama worked?; Barack Hussein Obama

filled out possible National College Basketball Association scores, contacted other countries in South America and made plans to take a *holiday* there with his family; the United Nations volunteered American Forces in the Libyan Civil War; Barack Hussein Obama took initial steps to arm the anti-Gaddafi forces, some of whom are al-Qaeda operatives; Barack Hussein Obama did nothing to prevent massacres inflicted by the opposition forces in Libya; and Barack Hussein Obama took the credit for the implementation of a no-fly zone over Libya that was originally suggested by Great Britain and France. On 17 March 2011 the United Nations authorized a no-fly zone over Libya. As early as the first week in March Great Britain and France began formulating a United Nations resolution for a no-fly zone.

To lend some perspective on how rapidly this military and diplomatic response came together, when people were being brutalized in Bosnia in the 1990s, it took the international community more than a year to intervene with air power to protect civilians.

COMMENT: This is a misstatement of historical fact and as such it is another Barack Hussein Obama lie! NATO first became involved in the Bosnia and Yugoslavian wars in February 1992 when it issued a statement that all belligerents should allow United Nations peacekeeping forces in the countries. On July 10, 1992, NATO foreign ministers agreed at a meeting in Helsinki to assist the United Nations in enforcing its sanctions, namely United Nations Resolutions 713 and 757. On October 9, the United Nations passed resolution 781 that established a no-fly zone over Bosnia-Herzegovina. The time period from February to October is nine months! The air power came thereupon.

It took us 31 days.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **us**? Barack Hussein Obama got on the bandwagon when he realized that the credit would go to Prime Minister David Cameron and President Nicholas Sarkozy!

Moreover, we've accomplished these objectives consistent with the pledge that I made to the American people at the outset of our military operations.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The statement did not come in the form of a pledge. The statement came in the form of a statement. Barack Hussein Obama does not make honorable pledges. A pledge to him is something to be broken!

I said that America's role would be limited; that we would not put ground troops into Libya; that we would focus our unique capabilities on the front end of the operation and that we would transfer responsibility to our allies and partners.

COMMENT: I said that It is all about him! In Barack Hussein Obama's statement from Brazil on March 19, the day United States involvement in Libya began, there is no pledge!

Tonight, we are fulfilling that pledge.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? One cannot fulfill a pledge that was not made!

Our most effective alliance, NATO, has taken command of the enforcement of the arms embargo and the no-fly zone.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? If this is the case then why were the 119 Tomahawk missiles shot into Libya United States missiles and not missiles from other NATO countries? As of March 30, 2011, 191 United States Tomahawks missiles have been fired from United States Navy ships. A United States Tomahawk missile costs \$575,000 dollars giving already as of this date a total of 109,825,000 dollars. Read (Listen to) what Barack Hussein Obama says very carefully! NATO has taken over the command and the United States of America will pay the bill because the leading power in NATO is the United States of America and the commanders are American generals and admirals.

Last night, NATO decided to take on the additional responsibility of protecting Libyan civilians.

COMMENT: NATO said give us United States armed forces!

This transfer from the United States to NATO will take place on Wednesday.

COMMENT: This transfer is another Barack Hussein Obama screw in the coffin of United States sovereignty via the United Nations. Essentially speaking, NATO is the United States of America. There is no reason for the United Nations to volunteer United States Forces to be used by NATO.

Going forward, the lead in enforcing the no-fly zone and protecting civilians on the ground will transition to our allies and partners, and I am fully confident that our coalition will keep the pressure on Qaddafi's remaining forces.

COMMENT: I am confident! It is all about him! Who is meant with **our**? Does Barack Hussein Obama mean his regime's coalition?

In that effort, the United States will play a supporting role -- including intelligence, logistical support, search and rescue assistance, and capabilities to jam regime communications.

COMMENT: Does **our** mean the United States of America? The United States of America will play a supporting role. This means that American sovereignty has been relegated to a supportive notion or really no notion at all!

Because of this transition to a broader, NATO-based coalition, the risk and cost of this operation -- to our military and to American taxpayers -- will be reduced significantly.

COMMENT: As of this writing, the initial cost of the no-fly zone ranges from approximately \$400 million to \$1 billion. The weekly operational costs range from \$30 million to \$300 million. The costs are to be paid for out of the Pentagon's 2011 budget of \$584 billion dollars, a budget that has not yet been approved by Congress! Barack Hussein Obama is spending taxpayer money that has not yet been allocated by Congress. This is another Obama screw! But that is what dictators do. They spend money that is not their own. And they screw up!

So for those who doubted our capacity to carry out this operation, I want to be clear: The United States of America has done what we said we would do.

COMMENT: I want to be clear. It is all about him! Who is meant with **we**? The United States of America has done what my regime, or I and Valerie Jarrett, said we would do? Is it necessary and an immediate need to do what the Barack Hussein Obama regime said would be done? The question: Given that the United States of America can do anything, should that anything be undertaken?

That's not to say that our work is complete.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**?

In addition to our NATO responsibilities, we will work with the international community to provide assistance to the people of Libya, who need food for the hungry and medical care for the wounded.

COMMENT: Very commendable! However what is meant with the international community? The United Nations? the European Union? the Arab League? The Red Cross? The Turkish Half Moon? The Ummah? These are not international communities. This essayist knows of no international communities. Islam and Muslims know only one international community: the Ummah!

We will safeguard the more than \$33 billion that was frozen from the Qaddafi regime so that it's available to rebuild Libya.

COMMENT: Does that mean that the 33 billion dollars will also draw interest? If it is frozen assets, it cannot draw interest because it cannot be part of the international money market dealings.

After all, the money doesn't belong to Qaddafi or to us -- it belongs to the Libyan people.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **us**? Do the accounts have Gaddafi's name on them or the names of members of his family?

And we'll make sure they receive it.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Just like Barack Hussein Obama and the Democratic National Committee has not paid all of its bills for the presidential primaries in 2008?!? Therefore, Barack Hussein Obama will surely make sure that the debts are paid to the creditors!

Tomorrow, Secretary Clinton will go to London, where she will meet with the Libyan opposition and consult with more than 30 nations.

COMMENT: Secretary Clinton will go to London? She did go! Will Barack Hussein Obama play golf? No he did not play golf! He was involved in raising money for his reelection bid in 2012. Barack Hussein Obama delivered remarks at the dedication of the Ronald H. Brown United States Mission to the United Nations Building and delivered remarks at two Democratic National Committee Fund Raisings. The United States involvement in the Libyan Civil War is very important! Who is the opposition Hillary Clinton met in London? Secretary of state Hillary Clinton and bogus potus Barack Hussein Obama want to arm the Libyan rebels, but they do not know who the rebels are, or what the outcome of United States involvement in the Libyan Civil War will be.

These discussions will focus on what kind of political effort is necessary to pressure Qaddafi, while also supporting a transition to the future that the Libyan people deserve -- because while our military mission is narrowly focused on saving lives, we continue to pursue the broader goal of a Libya that belongs not to a dictator, but to its people.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our** and **we**? Barack Hussein Obama has begun a war with no strategy and no goal. If Barack Hussein Obama is so concerned with saving innocent lives, then why does Barack Hussein Obama not get involved in a kinetic humanitarian way with the crises in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian

Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc.? For 42 years Gaddafi has been the accepted but not well-liked leader of Libya. In 2008 he was given the title of *King of the Kings* by over 200 African kings and tribal leaders. The goal that Libya belongs to the Libyans is just as respectful as the goal that the United States of America belongs to *We the People!* Where is your respect, Barack Hussein Obama?

Now, despite the success of our efforts over the past week, I know that some Americans continue to have questions about our efforts in Libya.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? The statement above that Barack Hussein Obama's goal "of a Libya that belongs not to a dictator, but to its people" cannot be the goal of the United States of America because the United States has no national interests in Libya. Barack Hussein Obama does not elaborate on anything that he considers to be a goal. This is the Obama Doctrine: *I will do anything I want to do when I want to do it and where I want to do it without congressional control and my justification for doing it is all that matters because I do not have to elaborate on anything.*

Qaddafi has not yet stepped down from power, and until he does, Libya will remain dangerous.

COMMENT: If Gaddafi is defeated and the Islamists, under whatever name they use, the Muslim Brotherhood or al-Qaeda, the situation will be more than dangerous. Iran's ayatollahs are already claiming that the revolutions in Northern Africa are heralding the appearance of the Mahdi – the Islamic Messiah! They must mean Barack Hussein Obama!

Moreover, even after Qaddafi does leave power, 40 years of tyranny has left Libya fractured and without strong civil institutions.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. *The Statesman's Yearbook*, as well as the online version, presents a different picture.

The transition to a legitimate government that is responsive to the Libyan people will be a difficult task.

COMMENT: This is just as much a difficult task as is the transition of Barack Hussein Obama's illegal regime to a legal, historical status that will never be responsive to *We the People!*

And while the United States will do our part to help, it will be a task for the international community and — more importantly — a task for the Libyan people themselves.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? If the United States of America is meant, then the sentence should read *And while the United States (of America) will do its part ...* . Perhaps Barack Hussein Obama means the United States of America will do Barack Hussein Obama's regime's part.

In fact, much of the debate in Washington has put forward a false choice when it comes to Libya.

COMMENT: Does Barack Hussein Obama mean that any decision other than his decision is a false choice?

On the one hand, some question why America should intervene at all – even in limited ways – in this distant land.

COMMENT: That is a very good question and one that Barack Hussein cannot answer with any attempt at using logic!

They argue that there are many places in the world where innocent civilians face brutal violence at the hands of their government, and America should not be expected to police the world, particularly when we have so many pressing needs here at home.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Is Barack Hussein Obama indicating that the pressing needs facing America can be solved by him alone, particularly when he receives a second term as bogus potus?

It's true that America cannot use our military wherever repression occurs.

COMMENT: It should not use its military force whenever repression in the world takes place. The United States of America should use its military only when it is threatened and for defense purposes. The United States of America was not threatened by Libya.

And given the costs and risks of intervention, we must always measure our interests against the need for action.

COMMENT: For almost two generations the United States of America has had no national interests in Libya.

But that cannot be an argument for never acting on behalf of what's right.

COMMENT: Since Barack Hussein Obama disobeys American laws that have proven themselves to be morally upright and in accordance with human rights for over two hundred years, by what measure does Barack Hussein Obama have the authority to decide what is right?

In this particular country – Libya -- at this particular moment, we were faced with the prospect of violence on a horrific scale.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The same argument can be made with the threatening politics of Iran and the Palestinians against Israel. The same argument can be made with respect to the political situations in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc.

We had a unique ability to stop that violence: an international mandate for action, a broad coalition prepared to join us, the support of Arab countries, and a plea for help from the Libyan people themselves.

COMMENT: Strictly speaking, only a minority of people in Libya asked for assistance.

We also had the ability to stop Qaddafi's forces in their tracks without putting American troops on the ground.

COMMENT: That is the reason why as of this writing Gaddafi's forces have regained a great deal of their lost territory!

To brush aside America's responsibility as a leader and – more profoundly – our responsibilities to our fellow human beings under such circumstances would have been a betrayal of who we are.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our** and **we**? Barack Hussein Obama is using part of the same argument that he denied President George W. Bush in Afghanistan and Iraq! Furthermore, the majority of world countries consider the United States of America to have become a second-rate country since Barack Hussein Obama became bogus potus.

Some nations may be able to turn a blind eye to atrocities in other countries.

COMMENT: The meaning of the phrase *to turn a blind eye* is: *to refuse to acknowledge something that you know to be real*. The United States of America never refuses to acknowledge the reality of existing atrocities. However, this does not mean that the conclusion is to go to war when the United States of America is not threatened.

The United States of America is different.

COMMENT: This statement is unbelievable! At the beginning of his stint as bogus potus Barack Hussein Obama claimed that the United States of America was/is not exceptional.

And as (bogus) President, I refused to wait for the images of slaughter and mass graves before taking action.

COMMENT: I refused It is all about him. The images of slaughter in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc have not caused Barack Hussein Obama to take action. Why not? Are Libyans better people than the others?

Moreover, America has an important strategic interest in preventing Qaddafi from overrunning those who oppose him.

COMMENT: This is a false statement. What is the "important strategic interest"? The United States of America has absolutely no "important strategic interest in preventing Qaddafi from overrunning those who oppose him." Or, ... is the strategic interest Libyan oil (an estimated 41.5 billion barrels) and natural gas (circa 1.31 trillion cubic meters) such that Barack Hussein Obama can force the United States of America to become more dependent on Arab energy resources and thus continue in perpetuity the payment of a dhimmi tax to Arab Muslim states?

A massacre would have driven thousands of additional refugees across Libya's borders, putting enormous strains on the peaceful – yet fragile – transitions in Egypt and Tunisia.

COMMENT: The transitions in Egypt and Tunisia have not really been peaceful. Moreover, that is a problem for the North Africans. That is of no strategic interest of the United States of America. The refugees could also flee across the border to Algeria. However, Barack Hussein Obama does not mention this. He does not want it to happen because the dictator there, the socialist Abdelaziz Bouteflika is an ally of the West. We do not know if "a massacre would have driven thousands of additional refugees across Libya's borders." There is no way to find out!

The democratic impulses that are dawning across the region would be eclipsed by the darkest form of dictatorship, as repressive leaders concluded that violence is the best strategy to cling to power.

COMMENT: The indications are that the so-called democratic impulses are only a ploy to make it easier for Islamists to take control and establish theocracies in these countries.

The writ of the United Nations Security Council would have been shown to be little more than empty words, crippling that institution's future credibility to uphold global peace and security.

COMMENT: With the passage of the resolution that makes it a crime to criticize Islam but not other religions, the United Nations has crippled itself. With the corruption activities of the United Nations under the General Secretary Kofi Anan, the United Nations has crippled itself. Furthermore, why is it important that the writ of the United Nations Security Council be upheld in Libya but not in other countries like Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc.? In reality, United Nations writs are only writing on pieces of paper!

So while I will never minimize the costs involved in military action, I am convinced that a failure to act in Libya would have carried a far greater price for America.

COMMENT: I will never ... I am convinced ... It is all about him! A failure to act would have meant that Barack Hussein Obama would have been upstaged by Great Britain and France. Barack Hussein Obama would not get any credit! Barack Hussein Obama will not "minimize the costs involved in military action" in this statement which absolutely contradicts the statements above that say that Barack Hussein Obama is "Mindful of the risks and costs of military action" ... "given the costs and risks of intervention." Becoming involved in Libya is a less greater price than not becoming involved in Libya? This is a prime Barack Hussein Obama example of steer stooling!

Now, just as there are those who have argued against intervention in Libya, there are others who have suggested that we broaden our military mission beyond the task of protecting the Libyan people, and do whatever it takes to bring down Qaddafi and usher in a new government.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we** and **our**?

Of course, there is no question that Libya – and the world – would be better off with Qaddafi out of power.

COMMENT: The world would surely be better off without Barack Hussein Obama first, Qaddafi second, Islamists third, fourth, fifth, and *ad infinitum*!

I, along with many other world leaders, have embraced that goal, and will actively pursue it through non-military means.

COMMENT: **I.** It is all about him! The statement contradicts Barack Hussein Obama's actions in committing American Armed Forces to conduct war in Libya. This is a prime example of Barack Hussein Obama's two-faced double-speak with a forked tongue speech, for *I decided this. I will undertake involvement in the Libyan Civil War, but I will not see to it that Qaddafi leaves his public office by forceful means!*

But broadening our military mission to include regime change would be a mistake.

COMMENT: There is no rhetorical logic with the use of **But**. If regime change is not the goal then there is no reason to become involved and thus the decision to become involved in the Libyan Civil War shows that the Barack Hussein Obama regime has absolutely no concept of what it should undertake in Libya, let alone North Africa.

The task that I assigned our forces – to protect the Libyan people from immediate danger, and to establish a no-fly zone – carries with it a U.N. mandate and international support.

COMMENT: **I** assigned It is all about him! But it does not carry with it the legal support from Congress as required by the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Therefore, this is another Obama screw in the coffin of American sovereignty.

It's also what the Libyan opposition asked us to do.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **us**? What did the Libyan opposition ask? Did they ask not to receive permission from Congress?

If we tried to overthrow Qaddafi by force, our coalition would splinter.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we** and **our**? What are Tomahawk missiles, if not force? What are future CIA operatives, if not force? Is the sending of 2,200 United States Marines, the 22nd Marine Expeditionary Unit, not force?

We would likely have to put U.S. troops on the ground to accomplish that mission, or risk killing many civilians from the air.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Of course, only a person like George W. Bush does things like that. This essayist has a suggestion: Why doesn't Barack Hussein Obama personally go to Tripoli and slap Gaddafi's hands and say: *Youse a nauddy boy!*

The dangers faced by our men and women in uniform would be far greater.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? Just like in Afghanistan where your rules of engaging the enemy Taliban are saving hundreds of lives ... of the enemy and not the lives of allied soldiers.

So would the costs and our share of the responsibility for what comes next.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? "(T)he costs and ... share of the responsibility for what comes next" would be greater than what? Than they already are? A 14 trillion dollar United States deficit does not bother you Barack Hussein Obama! Why should the costs of becoming involved in the Libyan Civil War in any manner bother you? If it bothered you it would mean that Barack Hussein Obama does have a conscience, which he does not!

To be blunt, we went down that road in Iraq.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Barack Hussein Obama fails to comprehend that there was a mission plan in Iraq and that Iraq did present a threat to not only the United States of America, (harboring of international terrorists) but a threat to the Middle East and other parts of the world.

Thanks to the extraordinary sacrifices of our troops and the determination of our diplomats, we are hopeful about Iraq's future.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our** and **we**? It was more the determination of Great Britain and France!

But regime change there took eight years, thousands of American and Iraqi lives, and nearly a trillion dollars.

COMMENT: A major reason is because the efforts in Iraq were not backed by Democrats and you led the way as the so-called conscience of the Democrats. With a conscience policy such as that of Barack Hussein Obama, who needs enemies!

That is not something we can afford to repeat in Libya.

COMMENT: If it cannot be afforded to be repeated in Libya, then why become involved?

As the bulk of our military effort ratchets down, what we can do -- and will do -- is support the aspirations of the Libyan people.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our** and **we**? The Barack Hussein Obama regime does not know who the Libyan opposition people are!

We have intervened to stop a massacre, and we will work with our allies and partners to maintain the safety of civilians.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? "We have intervened to stop a massacre" is an illogical statement because there is no way of knowing if a massacre would have taken place. If the massacres are committed by the anti-Gaddafi revoltors, and there are surely such massacres, then Barack Hussein Obama's personal intervention will not have stopped them. Maintaining the safety of civilians is honorable. However the revoltors are mostly civilians. Perhaps the only way to maintain their safety is to convince them not to revolt! Gaddafi's forces also wear civilian garb. Thus the situation is that *civilians* are fighting *civilians* but the intervening American Forces must not kill *civilians*!

We will deny the regime arms, cut off its supplies of cash, assist the opposition, and work with other nations to hasten the day when Qaddafi leaves power.

COMMENT: This is in contradiction to the above Barack Hussein Obama statements that "to include regime change would be a mistake" and thus exposes the fact that Barack Hussein Obama does not know what he is doing. Perhaps someone else is pulling Barack-Hussein-The-Puppet-Obama's strings ... Valerie Jarrett?

It may not happen overnight, as a badly weakened Qaddafi tries desperately to hang on to power.

COMMENT: This essayist suspects that it will not happen at all! The reason is that Barack Hussein Obama is not calling the shots. Someone else is trying to make policy. It would be better for the United States of America if Barack Hussein Obama played basketball in the mornings and golf in the afternoons ... every day!

But it should be clear to those around Qaddafi, and to every Libyan, that history is not on Qaddafi's side.

COMMENT: It has been for forty-two years. Furthermore, history does not take sides. History is a philosophical concept of the bygone knowledge of objects and cultural movement through bygone space and time. Barack Hussein Obama does not know what Barack Hussein Obama is *sophomorizing*! Like Barack Hussein Obama's present address, it is a statement with no meaning.

With the time and space that we have provided for the Libyan people, they will be able to determine their own destiny, and that is how it should be.

COMMENT: There are no limits placed on when and where for Libya. The statement is an outright misleading of the public. As such it is another Barack Hussein Obama lie!

Let me close by addressing what this action says about the use of America's military power, and America's broader leadership in the world, under my presidency.

COMMENT: Let **me** close It is all about him! America's military power is being exploited by a usurper. There is no broadening American leadership when American resources are placed under other external organizations (United Nations) and commands (NATO) that have nothing to do with the exercise of American sovereignty. It is Barack Hussein Obama's putative, and illegal presidency!

As Commander-in-Chief, I have no greater responsibility than keeping this country safe.

COMMENT: **I** have ... It is all about him! Barack Hussein Obama is an illegal commander in chief. What country is being referred to? Libya?

And no decision weighs on me more than when to deploy our men and women in uniform.

COMMENT: on **me** .. It is all about him. Who is meant with **our**? The decision weighs on Barack Hussein Obama so much that he decided to be in the spotlight of two Democratic National Committee Fundraisings the next day! The real decision is to decide which spotlight Barack Hussein Obama wants to shine under.

I've made it clear that I will never hesitate to use our military swiftly, decisively, and unilaterally when necessary to defend our people, our homeland, our allies and our core interests.

COMMENT: I've made It is all about him! Who is meant with **our**? American citizens are not threatened. Those who were in Libya were threatened. However it took weeks before they were evacuated. The American homeland is not threatened by Qaddafi, but by Barack Hussein Obama; American allies are not threatened. American core interests are not threatened by Qaddafi, but by Barack Hussein Obama. The statement has to be understood in a different vein: Barack Hussein Obama is a Muslim and thus a believer in Islam. Barack Hussein Obama is the Islamic-Muslim stooge in the Office of Bull in Washington, DC. Barack Hussein has illegal command over a modern army. As a Muslim and a believer in Islam and since birth a member of the Ummah, it is Barack Hussein Obama's first and foremost duty to work for the spread of Islam. Barack Hussein Obama has used his usurped command over the American military swiftly to assure that the Muslim people in North Africa, in this case Libya are defended; to assure that the historical homeland of Islam in North Africa beginning in Tunisia in 647-

648 is secure; to assure that Islamic allies and Islamic core interests are defended! Place this explanation within the framework of the *Ummah*, the Arabic word meaning *community* or *nation*, in the Islamic sense the *community of believers* (*ummat al-mu'minin*), *the whole Muslim world*, and the statement by Barack Hussein Obama is exposed in its double meaning.

That's why we're going after al Qaeda wherever they seek a foothold.

COMMENT: That is why Barack Hussein Obama is supporting al-Qaeda fighters in the anti-Gaddafi rebellion!

That is why we continue to fight in Afghanistan, even as we have ended our combat mission in Iraq and removed more than 100,000 troops from that country.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? What does the Afghanistan War have to do with the Civil War in Libya and the end of the Iraq mission? Barack Hussein Obama makes a statement but the logic of the connection to the subject matter of his speech is missing.

There will be times, though, when our safety is not directly threatened, but our interests and our values are.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? What are the interests in Libya? What are the threatened values in Libya?

Sometimes, the course of history poses challenges that threaten our common humanity and our common security – responding to natural disasters, for example; or preventing genocide and keeping the peace; ensuring regional security, and maintaining the flow of commerce.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama does not comprehend the forces of history. What is common humanity? Surely the Judeo-Christian foundations of the western world are not the same foundations of the pseudo-theocracy of the Islamic world of pseudo-theocratic dictatorships. Surely Oriental and Asian foundations are not identical. Surely there is no common humanity when becoming involved in Libya and not becoming involved in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc. is the policy.

These may not be America's problems alone, but they are important to us.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **us**? Why should Libya be important and the crises in other countries not be important?

They're problems worth solving.

COMMENT: Why is the Libyan Civil War worth solving and the crises in other countries are not worth solving?

And in these circumstances, we know that the United States, as the world's most powerful nation, will often be called upon to help.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Who called? The initial call came from a soldier turned rebel named Nasr Ali who views Barack Hussein Obama as a useless tool. Nasr Ai said, "Bring Bush! Make a no fly zone, bomb the planes."

In such cases, we should not be afraid to act – but the burden of action should not be America's alone.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? America has nothing to fear but Barack Hussein Obama! Barack Hussein Obama has taken it upon himself to make the initial burden America's burden!

As we have in Libya, our task is instead to mobilize the international community for collective action.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Who is meant with the international community? The Ummah?

Because contrary to the claims of some, American leadership is not simply a matter of going it alone and bearing all of the burden ourselves.

COMMENT: That would really make the United States of America exceptional, wouldn't it? Barack Hussein Obama does not want American exceptionalism! At the same time, by what right does the bogus potus force the United States of America to become involved in the Libyan Civil War? So far, as of this date, the United States of America has carried the burden!

Real leadership creates the conditions and coalitions for others to step up as well; to work with allies and partners so that they bear their share of the burden and pay their share of the costs; and to see that the principles of justice and human dignity are upheld by all.

COMMENT: Leadership is the organizational talent a person has to obtain the assistance of groups to achieve a common goal. What is the common goal in Libya? If Great Britain fires only two Tomahawk missiles, then Great Britain has to pay for only

their two Tomahawk missiles. If the United States of America fires 191 Tomahawk missiles, then the United States of America has to pay for 191 Tomahawk missiles. The cost of the ships and the forces is relative to what the countries are expending for the involvement in the Libyan Civil War. Qatar can send two planes and therefore it has to pay for only the cost of the two planes? Furthermore, where is the upholding of justice and dignity in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc.?

That's the kind of leadership we've shown in Libya.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Something cannot be shown that has not come to fruition! There is no way of knowing if the so-called goals will have been achieved because the so-called goals have not really been stated.

Of course, even when we act as part of a coalition, the risks of any military action will be high.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? What wonderful intelligence! Risks of military action are always high in wars, regardless of the type!

Those risks were realized when one of our planes malfunctioned over Libya.

COMMENT: The risk was not realized. The risks were realized before the happening. Soldiers and airmen learn this in their training. The happening of the malfunction made the risk a fact.

Yet when one of our airmen parachuted to the ground, in a country whose leader has so often demonized the United States – in a region that has such a difficult history with our country – this American did not find enemies.

COMMENT: Modern Libya really has a difficult history with the United States of America since Qaddafi's take-over of the country in 1969. The United States supported the independence of Libya as a monarchy in 1951. With the exception of Qaddafi's rule (42 years and counting) and the Barbary Wars in 1801-1805 (United States vs. Morocco and Tripoli) and in 1815, known as the Algerian War, (United States vs. Algeria, Tunis, and Tripoli) (a total of 5 years), there have been approximately 175 years of relatively peaceful relations with Libya, formerly Tripoli. Tripoli came under Ottoman rule in the 16th century. Beginning in 1835 the country came under direct rule of Turkey. In 1911 Italy occupied Tripoli and Turkey sanctioned the occupation by the Treaty of Ouchy in 1912. The British ousted the Italians in the Second World War and the country was placed under British (Tripolitania and Cyrenaica) and French (Fezzan) military

administration under a United Nations directive until 1950. Libya became an independent sovereign kingdom in 1951. Qaddafi usurped his way to power by a military coup in September 1969.

Instead, he was met by people who embraced him.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of what happened. The airman was not embraced. He was welcomed by six Libyan villagers and when the United States rescue mission located the downed airman, they shot and wounded the six Libyan villagers. One child may have to have his leg amputated!

One young Libyan who came to his aid said, "We are your friends. We are so grateful to those men who are protecting the skies."

COMMENT: The young Libyan did not come to the downed pilot's aid. He came to welcome him. That was a mistake because the rescue mission interpreted the situation as enemy hostility, regardless of what the Libyan said. Barack Hussein Obama is rewriting the situation so that he can use it for his purposes. Even as bogus commander in chief, Barack Hussein Obama should know that downed American airmen or soldiers must not be approached by any person except those on the rescue mission.

This voice is just one of many in a region where a new generation is refusing to be denied their rights and opportunities any longer.

COMMENT: Yet the reality of the situation is that the new generation is ready to relinquish its demands for rights and opportunities to religious fanatics like they have done in Egypt.

Yes, this change will make the world more complicated for a time.

COMMENT: Not if the change results in a grand *Ummah*!

Progress will be uneven, and change will come differently to different countries.

COMMENT: What intellectual acuteness! Progress is always uneven and change, whatever that constitutes, is always different depending on the country and the culture. For the United States of America the Barack Hussein Obama change was a thrust backwards and a losing of success in the future.

There are places, like Egypt, where this change will inspire us and raise our hopes.

COMMENT: Who is meant by **us** and **our**? The United States of America does not have to be inspired by anything Arabic or Islamic. The inspiration for the United States

of America is the *Declaration of Independence* and the *Constitution for the United States of America*.

And then there will be places, like Iran, where change is fiercely suppressed.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama had the opportunity to be on the morally right side of the conflict in Iran in June, July, and August of 2009, but Barack Hussein Obama, in his all omnipotent view of how Barack Hussein Obama will change the world, did absolutely nothing! Is change inertia? Therefore, Barack Hussein Obama, has personally contributed to the fierce suppressing of change in Iran!

The dark forces of civil conflict and sectarian war will have to be averted, and difficult political and economic concerns will have to be addressed.

COMMENT: Civil conflict is not necessarily a dark force. It gained American independence from Great Britain. Not all wars and conflicts must be averted. Only Neville Chamberlain and his appeasement of Adolf Hitler thought like Barack Hussein *The Appeaser Obama*.

The United States will not be able to dictate the pace and scope of this change.

COMMENT: Is Barack Hussein Obama mourning this reality ... perhaps because he cannot remain in the spotlight? The United States of America does not dictate anything. Only Barack Hussein Obama dictates!

Only the people of the region can do that.

COMMENT: What region? North Africa? The statement is too inexact!

But we can make a difference.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The *Yes, we can* drool!

I believe that this movement of change cannot be turned back, and that we must stand alongside those who believe in the same core principles that have guided us through many storms: our opposition to violence directed at one's own people; our support for a set of universal rights, including the freedom for people to express themselves and choose their leaders; our support for governments that are ultimately responsive to the aspirations of the people.

COMMENT: I believe It is all about him! Who is meant with **we** and **our**? It is exceedingly doubtful that people of Islam believe in universal human rights. One of those universal human rights is Article 18 of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*

which declares that every person has the right to “change his religion or belief.” However, in Islam there is a death penalty for leaving the so-called only true faith and religion of peace! That is Islam's core principle. It is not the Judeo-Christian principle of choice of religion. Barack Hussein Obama opposes violence aimed at one's own people. That is the reason his leftists, progressives, union thugs, and Obots cried for the murder of the Wisconsin Republicans in the state legislature. The same is happening this day in Ohio! Barack Hussein is two-faced and speaks with a forked tongue! The people in Wisconsin and Ohio chose their legislatures freely! If Barack Hussein Obama believes what he says then he should condemn the actions of his own mafia. Barack Hussein Obama supports "governments that are ultimately responsive to the aspirations of the people". That is why he supports the actions in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc. by taking no action.

Born, as we are, out of a revolution by those who longed to be free, we welcome the fact that history is on the move in the Middle East and North Africa, and that young people are leading the way.

COMMENT: Who is meant by **we**? The intent is to refer to the American Revolution against Great Britain, the War of Independence, begun in 1776. The Colonists did not long for freedom! They demanded freedom and fought for freedom!

Because wherever people long to be free, they will find a friend in the United States.

COMMENT: Just like those people in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, etc.?

Ultimately, it is that faith -- those ideals -- that are the true measure of American leadership.

COMMENT: Perhaps Barack Hussein Obama considers his slant on leadership to be such. However, faith and ideals are not the true measure of any leadership, Barack Hussein Obama! Successful action taken in the name of securing moral goals is the true measure of any leadership, including any leadership that may be present, but is losing its stature in the United States of America. Barack Hussein Obama's goal was the usurpation of Barack Hussein Obama's present illegal position. It was illegal, and thus immoral, and Barack Hussein Obama knows it!

My fellow Americans, I know that at a time of upheaval overseas -- when the news is filled with conflict and change -- it can be tempting to turn away from the world.

COMMENT: Is Barack Hussein suggesting that he is a legal American citizen, perhaps a legal natural born American citizen? At the same time, there is no sense in getting involved in a matter in which the United States of America has no core interest, but in which Barack Hussein Obama personally has an interest: to keep himself in the spotlight!.

And as I've said before, our strength abroad is anchored in our strength here at home.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? Where is Barack Hussein Obama's strength? It lies in being criminal! Since Barack Hussein Obama was illegally sworn into his present office, Barack Hussein Obama has done everything in his power and outside the limits of the *Constitution for the United States of America* and the Congress to weaken the United States of America. There is nothing that Barack Hussein Obama has done that is positive for the United States of America, except playing basketball, going golfing, taking exquisite, costly, vacations, and having parties, all at the expense of the American sheeple taxpayer.

That must always be our North Star -- the ability of our people to reach their potential, to make wise choices with our resources, to enlarge the prosperity that serves as a wellspring for our power, and to live the values that we hold so dear.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? What a wonderful metaphor! What eloquence! The North Star's name is *Polaris*. Due to the precession of equinoxes the so-called North Star changes its position and another North Star occupies its place. For example, in approximately the year 3000 the star *Iota Cephei* will become the new North Star. Therefore, Barack Hussein Obama's metaphor is not static, like he intends it to be in his comparison. Potential can be achieved, but it can also be replaced, hopefully by better potential, which will be possible only in so far as the principles of the *Declaration of Independence* and the *Constitution for the United States of America* are respected and upheld. The wise choices that are the wellsprings of American power are the choices of the Founding Fathers expressed in the *Declaration of Independence* and the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Barack Hussein Obama hates these two documents and is doing everything he can to destroy them, probably because they are totally in conflict with the Koran.

But let us also remember that for generations, we have done the hard work of protecting our own people, as well as millions around the globe.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **us** and **we** and **our**? Barack Hussein Obama! "(T)he hard work of protecting (Americans), as well as millions around the globe" is what makes the United States of America exceptional, something that Barack Hussein Obama has always condemned!

We have done so because we know that our own future is safer, our own future is brighter, if more of mankind can live with the bright light of freedom and dignity.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we** and **our**? More of mankind must not "live with the bright light of freedom and dignity". The whole of mankind, including the *Ummah*, must actively experience the absolute freedom and absolute dignity from oppression and claim absolute freedom and absolute dignity from oppression as their everlasting goals. This, of course, would lead to the destruction of the Ummah! So, Barack Hussein Obama, sell that to your Islamic Brotherhood and the peaceful religion of Islam which is only peaceful for those who are Muslims.

Tonight, let us give thanks for the Americans who are serving through these trying times, and the coalition that is carrying our effort forward.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **us** and **our**? Does Barack Hussein Obama mean American Armed Forces men and women? If he does mean them, why does he not say so with pride?

And let us look to the future with confidence and hope not only for our own country, but for all those yearning for freedom around the world.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **us** and **our**? What rhetoric! People always look to the future for something. Those in the world who are yearning for freedom must also demand it and fight for it. They must be supported by the United States of America. So, Barack Hussein Obama, support the demands for freedom and dignity in Bahrain, the Ivory Coast, Jordan, North Korea, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Yemen, Tibet, Sudan-Darfur, Coptic Christian Egypt, rocket-attacked Israeli communities, and other demands for freedom wherever they may occur.

Thank you.

COMMENT: The tirade of hot air nothingness is ending.

God bless you, and may God bless the United States of America.

COMMENT: When a Muslim like Barack Hussein Obama says this in the same speech in which he uses al-taqiyya, the saying and doing of anything that will promote the cause of Islam, then it is blasphemy!

(Applause)

COMMENT: The audience of sheeple has reacted!

Thank you.

COMMENT: The tirade of hot air nothingness has finally come to a close!

7:58 PM EDT

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The following valid questions and statements must be raised: (Laughing is permitted!)

1. Is the reason the address was given at the National Defense University and not from the White House, which since Barack Hussein Obama's illegal occupation has become the House of Bull, the fact that Barack Hussein Obama wants to underscore his genius as a military leader in defending the United States of America?
2. Is the reason Barack Hussein Obama did not consult the United States Congress according to the law of the *Constitution for the United States of America* because Barack Hussein Obama is intent on destroying the *Constitution* and to emphasize the fact that Barack Hussein Obama is a socialist dictator who does not need a parliament?
3. Is the reason Barack Hussein Obama did not say how long United States Forces will be employed in the Libyan Civil War because Barack Hussein Obama's regime does not have any plan and because time is merely a non-relevant concept?
4. Is the reason that Barack Hussein Obama did not define success because only Barack Hussein Obama can define success depending on what takes place in Libya?
5. Is the reason Barack Hussein Obama did not indicate the financial costs of involvement because money to him, since it is taxpayer money (debt), means nothing to him? Only Barack Hussein Obama is of importance!
6. Is the reason why Barack Hussein Obama issued no clear doctrine because Barack Hussein Obama as a dictator needs no doctrine?

7. Is the reason Barack Hussein Obama did not mention Germany because Germany's non-participation would undermine Barack Hussein Obama's narcissistic position?
8. Is the reason Barack Hussein Obama did not give any warning to Qaddafi because Barack Hussein Obama knows that hot air cannot be a warning?
9. Is the reason Barack Hussein Obama did not give official recognition to the rebels because Barack Hussein Obama's regime does not know who the rebels are?
10. Is the reason Barack Hussein Obama did not name Qaddafi a war criminal because when it comes to the basest, lowest level, criminals support criminals?
11. Barack Hussein Obama says that United States interests are in danger when there are atrocities taking place in the world.
12. Barack Hussein Obama's goal is not to depose Qaddafi except when it is Barack Hussein Obama's goal, which can be reversed at Barack Hussein Obama's will into being a non-goal goal.
13. Barack Hussein Obama will not become involved in Libya as in Iraq or Afghanistan because Libya is Libya, Iraq is Iraq, and Afghanistan is Afghanistan.
14. The United States of America will be successful, except that NATO will be successful!
15. Barack Hussein Obama is a genius in making decisions. When the results of a Barack-Hussein-Obama decision go wrong, it is someone else's faulty decision!
16. Barack Hussein Obama made the decision to intervene in Libya because the United Nations had a writ!
17. Barack Hussein Obama made the decision to intervene in Libya as a true Democrat and Internationalist because he is a humanitarian.
18. Barack Hussein Obama made the decision to intervene in Libya because Libya is not a threat to the United States of America.
19. Barack Hussein Obama made the decision to intervene in Libya because there will be no benefits for the United States of America. Only negative outcomes will result for America and, therefore, Barack Hussein Obama will have taken one more step in destroying the Republic of the United States of America.
20. Barack Hussein Obama has two blind eyes.

STATISTICS

Barack Hussein Obama's address concerning his decision to force United States involvement in the Libyan Civil War has 3,419 words. There are 161 sentences. There are 26 uses of **I**, the first person singular, 4 uses of **my**, first person singular possessive, 68 uses of **we**, third person plural, and 76 uses of **our**, third person plural possessive. This adds up to a total of 174 uses of the first person singular and plural and possessive of the pronouns. This is a ratio of 1.08 uses per sentence. Many times Barack Hussein Obama does not indicate who is meant with **we** and **our**. However, the **I** is always included in the first person plural. There are at least 36 times when the statement means that it is all about him, Barack Hussein Obama. Regarding *all about him*, this author is reminded of a university quip when any person was being boisterous about himself: *Him! Him! F_ _ _ Him!* With the assistance of a teleprompter, Barack Hussein Obama took 27 minutes to deliver the speech. There was only one half-hearted applause

FAZIT

The bottom line is that Barack Hussein Obama's speech on American involvement in the Libyan Civil War is oral flatulence par excellence. Oral flatulence is the major component of all of Barack Hussein Obama's speeches, which are odorous and full of hot air!

Frederick William Dame
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True
April 2, 2011

SELECTED SOURCES

http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/atlas_shrugs/2011/03/al-qaeda-snatched-missiles-in-libya.html

http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/atlas_shrugs/2011/03/obamas-incoherence-syria-and-libya-and-the-middle-east-meltdown.html

<http://gatewaypundit.rightnetwork.com/2011/03/globalist-obama-now-allowing-us-military-to-be-volunteered-by-others-for-international-work/>

http://hosted.ap.org/dynamic/stories/U/US_OBAMA_LIBYA_FACT_CHECK?SITE=AP&SECTION=HOME&TEMPLATE=DEFAULT

<http://iowntheworld.com/blog/?p=68558>

<http://iowntheworld.com/blog/?p=68641>

<http://www.colony14.net/id501.htm> *The Obama Timeline* at Colony 14.net, March Installment.

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/263273/obama-still-murky-libya-victor-davis-hanson>

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/03/28/remarks-president-address-nation-libya>