

Frederick William Dame

THE ARAB SPRING IS OBAMA'S DEATH SENTENCE TO ISRAEL

"I will stand with (the Muslims) in case the political winds shift in an ugly direction."

- Barak Hussein Obama

Introductory Statement

The above quotation by Barack Hussein Obama is from his supposedly self-written book *The Audacity of Hope: Thoughts on Reclaiming the American Dream* (2006). The statement is the answer to the question what he "would do to protect Muslims in America if Americans didn't think before they acted and started attacking every Muslim in the country because of Sept. 11th?" The actual quote from the book is on page 261. The entire passage is as follows:

"Of course, not all my conversations in immigrant communities follow this easy pattern. In the wake of 9/11, my meetings with Arab and Pakistani Americans, for example, have a more urgent quality, for the stories of detentions and FBI questioning and hard stares from neighbors have shaken their sense of security and belonging. They have been reminded that the history of immigration in this country has a dark underbelly; they need specific reassurances that their citizenship really means something; that America has learned the right lessons from the Japanese internments during World War II and that I will stand with them should the political winds shift in an ugly direction."¹

There have been a plethora of interpretations as to what Barack Hussein Obama exactly meant with this statement. This author maintains that it must be understood within the combined framework that first of all, Barack Hussein Obama is not an African-American, if he is at all American, but Arab-American. His deceased father was a Muslim and

¹ Barack Hussein Obama, *The Audacity of Hope: Thoughts on Reclaiming the American Dream*, Crown Publishers, New York: 2006, p. 261. There are strong indications that the book was written by someone other than Barack Hussein Obama, regardless of what the book reviews or Obama himself say.

Barack Hussein Obama was born into the Islamic *Ummah*,² the world community of Muslims. Once born into this group, one is always a Muslim. Islam will always consider Barack Hussein Obama to be a Muslim and any action that he takes that seemingly contradicts Islam will be permissible as long as Islam in the long run is furthered by these actions, whether they be immoral or not. This is the Islamic behavior and liar model called *al-taqiyya*.

Secondly, the title of the book was taken from a sermon delivered in 1990 by his pastor I-hate-god-damn-America Jeremiah Wright. The sermon is titled *The Audacity to Hope*.³ Jeremiah Wright's Trinity United Church of Christ located in Chicago, Illinois, of which he was former pastor before his retirement in 2008, has over 10,000 members. The church clearly recognizes Minister Louis Farrakhan Muhammad, Sr. (born Louis Eugene Walcott; May 11, 1933), who is the leader of the Chicago, Illinois-based Nation of Islam (NOI) as being godly for his lifetime achievements for the cause of Islam and Black liberation theology. The Trinity United Church of Christ states that its mission is to carry on and propagate the religion of James Cone's Black Liberation Theology.⁴ The major preaching of this religion is that especially Whites must embrace Africa and the Muslims of the world, which Cone divides into Muslim races. Whites should be killed at all costs, if they do not ascribe to the embracing of Africa and Islam.

Barack Hussein Obama has often denied that he ever heard such content in Jeremiah Wright's sermons. Albeit, coming out of this framework, Barack Hussein Obama definitely believes in standing up for Muslims and Islam, not only first, but foremost. What Barack Hussein Obama cannot deny is the fact of the stated mission of the Trinity United Church of Christ. The mission of the church is outlined in a pamphlet that is handed out to a person every time one walks into the church. Therefore, the statement means exactly what it says. Barack Hussein Obama's message is not limited to what Barack Hussein Obama will do within the context of American views and behaviors towards Muslims. It encompasses the complete Muslim *Ummah* vis-à-vis the non-Muslim communities and nations.

Let us suppose that about fifteen minutes before giving his speech, Barack Hussein Obama entered the Muslim Prayer Room located in the U.S. Department of State at 2201 C Street, Washington, D.C. The room faces toward Mecca, Saudi Arabia. In the room, Barack Hussein Obama engaged in his very private method of Islamic reflection

² The Koran phrase is *Ummah Wahida*, meaning *one community*.

³ The sermon can be read in its entirety at <http://sweetness-light.com/archive/wrights-sermon-the-audacity-to-hope>.

⁴ <http://www.trinitychicago.org/>.

and posed his very personal question to the Mirror of Islamic Transparency: "Mirror, Mirror, on the wall! Who is the best Muslim of them all?"



(Source: http://righttruth.typepad.com/right_truth/2011/05/arab-spring.html.)

Barack Hussein Obama's pro-Arab-Islam-Muslim stance vis-à-vis Israel is exceedingly apparent in the speech that he gave at the Department of State on May 19, 2011. As with all of Barack Hussein Obama's speeches it insults his adversaries, is full of double-speak, and is underscored with lies that Barack Hussein Obama presents as truths.

The speech has 74 paragraphs, 76 if one sentence is counted as a paragraph. There are 275 sentences in which Barack Hussein Obama uses **I** twenty times, **my** one time, **me** five times, **we** seventy-six times, and **our** forty-one times, a total of 143. There were five times when the audience applauded the anti-Israel speech. As with all of his previous speeches, the House of Bull prefers to use the word *remarks*, the bogus, inexperienced, politician Barack Hussein Obama talks about himself.⁵ It is not always discernible who Barack Hussein Obama means with **we** and **our**. This author takes the standpoint that Barack Hussein Obama means his regime. Not one percent of the speech ties **we** and **our** directly to the United States of America. This is normal for

⁵ Perhaps Barack Hussein Obama has a Freudian-onanistic problem with the self!

Barack Hussein Obama. His speech directions are never in favor of the United States of America, at least the republic that still exists. If the tone is pro-America, then the speech is filled with concepts of changing it from a republic to a socialist/communist form of government. More often than not, Barack Hussein Obama's speeches are in favor of America's adversaries.

In the *Remarks by the (putative) President on the Middle East and North Africa* the tone is always directed at the suffering Palestinians. The sub-conscious blame is placed on Israel. Never does Barack Hussein Obama state that Palestinian suffering is predominately of Palestinian origin.

Particularly with respect to the state of Israel, Barack Hussein Obama attempts to play the peacemaker role,⁶ but in actuality, Barack Hussein Obama is militant vis-à-vis Israel. This author's claim is intentional. Thus, the speech deserves closer scrutiny and commentary (in red) that is substantiated at respective places with appropriate documentation.

The Speech

The White House

(Known as long as it is under the Obama Regime as The House of Bull)

Office of the Press Secretary

(Known as long as it is under the Obama Regime as
The Office of Obama Propaganda and Lies)

For Immediate Release

May 19, 2011

Remarks by the President on the Middle East and North Africa State Department, Washington, DC⁷

12:15 P.M. EDT

⁶ The peacemaker is also the name of the Colt Single Action Army Model P, M1873, SSA, and Colt 45, a single action pistol with a revolving cylinder holding six metallic cartridges. There is no intent by this author to insult the Colt Manufacturing Company by placing its name in the same sentence with Barack Hussein Obama.

⁷ <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/05/19/remarks-president-middle-east-and-north-africa>.



With the assistance of his best speech friend, the Obama TelePrompter, the putative president read as follows (in black). The present author has numbered the paragraphs.

1. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you very much. Thank you. Please, have a seat. Thank you very much. I want to begin by thanking Hillary Clinton, who has traveled so much these last six months that she is approaching a new landmark -- one million frequent flyer miles. I count on Hillary every single day, and I believe that she will go down as one of the finest Secretaries of State in our nation's history.

COMMENT: Who is meant with our? This is the epitomé of a *Thank You* that means nothing. Barack Hussein Obama thanks Hillary Clinton for supporting him, all the while knowing that he would rather have her placed behind bars. Barack Hussein Obama sees in Hillary Clinton a threat to his re-election in 2012. Hillary Clinton cannot go down in history as one of the finest Secretaries of State because her complete service time is nothing more than being an accomplice to a fraud.

2. The State Department is a fitting venue to mark a new chapter in American diplomacy. For six months, we have witnessed an extraordinary change taking place in the Middle East and North Africa. Square by square, town by town, country by country, the people have risen up to demand their basic human rights. Two leaders have stepped aside. More may follow. And though these countries may be a great distance from our shores, we know that our own future is bound to this region by the forces of economics and security, by history and by faith.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Although there are some genuine demands for basic human rights, the driving forces behind the protests in Tunisia and Egypt are the silent, ever-present activity of the Muslim Brotherhood. It is

likely that they will eventually take over the rule in Egypt. The same will assuredly happen in Tunisia. Since Barack Hussein Obama is a strong supporter of the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt, it is not unrealistic to claim that he will always be a supporter of the Muslim Brotherhood and its affiliated organizations regardless of the country in which they are active.⁸ In fact, the toppling of the regimes in Tunisia and Egypt, as well as the attempts in Libya, Syria, Kuwait, and Bahrain, resemble the practical methods to de-stable governments and replace them as described by Gene Sharp in *The Politics of Non-violent Action* and *Waging Nonviolent Struggle: 20th Century Practice and 21st Century Potential*.⁹ George Soros activated these methods with his terminology color revolutions (sometimes with a symbolic flower) in Georgia (a red rose, Rose Revolution, 2003), in Ukraine (color orange, Orange Revolution, 2004), in Kyrgyzstan (yellow tulip, Tulip or Yellow Revolution, 2005). Russia has labeled the Soros-supported coups the velvet revolutions.¹⁰ The Tunisian revolution is the likely Soros-sponsored Jasmine Revolution.¹¹ The revolution in Egypt is the Lotus Revolution.¹²

3. Today, I want to talk about this change -- the forces that are driving it and how we can respond in a way that advances our values and strengthens our security.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Barack Hussein Obama will talk propaganda and lies.

4. Now, already, we've done much to shift our foreign policy following a decade defined by two costly conflicts. After years of war in Iraq, we've removed 100,000 American troops and ended our combat mission there. In Afghanistan, we've broken the Taliban's momentum, and this July we will begin to bring our troops home and continue a transition to Afghan lead. And after years of war against al Qaeda and its affiliates, we have dealt al Qaeda a huge blow by killing its leader, Osama bin Laden.

⁸ <http://www.jpost.com/MiddleEast/Article.aspx?ID=198534&R=R1>.
http://www.palwatch.org/main.aspx?fi=157&doc_id=4603. <http://www.jihadwatch.org/2011/02/obama-backs-muslim-brotherhood-role-in-new-egypt-government.html>.

⁹ Gene Sharp, *The Politics of Non-violent Action* (1973) and *Waging Nonviolent Struggle: 20th Century Practice and 21st Century Potential* (2005), both books published by Porter Sargent Publishers Inc., Manchester, NH.

¹⁰ David Horowitz and Richard Poe, *The Shadow Party How George Soros, Hillary Clinton, and Sixties Radicals Seized Control of the Democratic Party*, Thomas Nelson, Nashville: 2006, Chapter 12, Velvet Revolutions, pp. 231-241.

¹¹ <http://ww4report.com/node/9363>; <http://www.slate.com/id/2281845/>.

¹² <http://arabistikwwwu.blogspot.com/2011/02/agypten-und-die-lotus-revolution.html>.
<http://colorrevolutionsandgeopolitics.blogspot.com/2011/04/wests-silence-over-bahrain-smacks-of.html>.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? These are the reasons why there are no more suicide bombers, no more attacks, no continuing threats, and the complete non-existence of the Taliban and al Qaeda forces in Afghanistan and Pakistan!

5. Bin Laden was no martyr. He was a mass murderer who offered a message of hate — an insistence that Muslims had to take up arms against the West, and that violence against men, women and children was the only path to change. He rejected democracy and individual rights for Muslims in favor of violent extremism; his agenda focused on what he could destroy — not what he could build.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama's presentation of reality is like his character: warped. The truth is that there is a lot of grieving in the Islamic world for their leader and martyr.¹³

6. Bin Laden and his murderous vision won some adherents. But even before his death, al Qaeda was losing its struggle for relevance, as the overwhelming majority of people saw that the slaughter of innocents did not answer their cries for a better life. By the time we found bin Laden, al Qaeda's agenda had come to be seen by the vast majority of the region as a dead end, and the people of the Middle East and North Africa had taken their future into their own hands.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? The complete paragraph is a reversal of what the true conditions are in the Middle East. They still adore bin Laden. They are ready to fight to avenge his death.¹⁴

7. That story of self-determination began six months ago in Tunisia. On December 17th, a young vendor named Mohammed Bouazizi was devastated when a police officer confiscated his cart. This was not unique. It's the same kind of humiliation that takes place every day in many parts of the world — the relentless tyranny of governments that deny their citizens dignity. Only this time, something different happened. After local officials refused to hear his complaints, this young man, who had never been particularly active in politics, went to the headquarters of the provincial government, doused himself in fuel, and lit himself on fire.

¹³ <http://www.greenleft.org.au/node/47561>.

¹⁴ http://www.adl.org/terrorism_america/bin_l.asp. <http://www.jihadwatch.org/2011/05/most-pakistanis-grieve-for-osama-bin-laden.html>. <http://ph.news.yahoo.com/scores-indonesian-youths-vow-avenge-bin-ladens-death-120439496.html>. <http://mybroadband.co.za/vb/content.php/2956-Al-Qaeda-vows-to-avenge-death-of-martyr-Bin-Laden>.

COMMENT: Mohammed Bouazizi, an unemployed university graduate who attempted to make a living with a grocery cart was only one of a multitude of protesting revolvers. He may have sparked off the Tunisian revolution, but the people are not in control if Islamic ideologues are part of the movement.¹⁵ It is possible that Barack Hussein Obama, the CIA, and George Soros are behind the Tunisian revolution, but in the end they will not control it. In *Foreign Policy Journal*, on 18 January 2011, Dr. K. R. Bolton writes about the part played in the Tunisian Revolt by George Soros and the (CIA-linked) National Endowment for Democracy. According to Doctor Bolton:¹⁶

- a. "All of these 'revolts' follow the same pattern.
- b. They are all planned by the Open Society network of George Soros, and the National Endowment for Democracy (NED).
- c. They work in tandem.
- d. The 'colour revolutions' use radio and TV stations.
- e. In the case of Tunisia, Radio Kalima had the support of Soros's organization.
- f. At least ten of the twenty-two directors of NED are also members of the Council on Foreign Relations.
- g. The US Establishment showed no sympathy for Ben Ali at the crucial moment.
- h. Among those unsympathetic to Ben Ali were Elliott Abrams, formerly national security adviser for Middle East affairs and now Council on Foreign Relations Senior Fellow of Middle East Studies, Hillary Clinton, and President Obama.

Looking at the Tunisian recipients for NED grants we find the following, for 2009:

- Tunisia's Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought (AJFFT) \$131,000. 'AJFFT will conduct leadership training workshops, support local youth cultural projects...'
- Association for the Promotion of Education (APES) \$27,000. 'To strengthen the capacity of Tunisian high school teachers...'
- Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies and Training (CEMAREF) \$33,500. 'To train a core group of Tunisian youth activists on leadership and organizational skills...'

2008:

- Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought received \$57,000;
- Center for International Private Enterprise, \$163,205;
- Centre Mohamed Ali de Reserches d'Etudes et de Formation, \$37,800;

¹⁵ <http://www.zeitgeist-online.de/exklusivonline/dossiers-und-analysen/820-mohamed-bouazisis-selbstverbrennung-als-ausloeser-einer-zeitenwende.html>.

¹⁶ (<http://www.iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/article/241573>).

- Tunisian Arab Civitas Institute, \$43,000, aimed at training teachers on the NED ideologies of 'civic values'.
- The NED has promoted in Tunisia ... a revolutionary cadre based on youth and professionals for the overthrow of a regime that is seen as an anomaly in the 'new world order.'

2007:

- AJFFT received \$45,000.
- The Arab Institute for Human Rights received \$43,900 to train teachers in their so-called 'civic values' ideology, focusing on primary schools and training school inspectors.
- The Center for International Private Enterprise (CIPE) received \$175,818 to inculcate free enterprise doctrines among Tunisian businessmen...
- The Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies, and Training received \$38,500 in 2007.
- Also that year: Moroccan Organization for Human Rights (OMDH) \$60,000 - To strengthen a group of young Tunisian attorneys as they mobilize citizens on reform issues.

2006:

- Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought (AJFFT), \$51,000;
- American Center for International Labor Solidarity, \$99,026, the purposes of which were to cultivate relations with Tunisian journalists;
- Arab Institute for Human Rights (AIHR) \$37,500, for the purposes of training a cadre of teachers in 'civic values';
- Committee for the Respect of Freedom and Human Rights in Tunisia (CRLDH) \$70,000, to advocate amnesty for political prisoners; and Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies and Training (CEMAREF) \$39,500."

8. There are times in the course of history when the actions of ordinary citizens spark movements for change because they speak to a longing for freedom that has been building up for years. In America, think of the defiance of those patriots in Boston who refused to pay taxes to a King, or the dignity of Rosa Parks as she sat courageously in her seat. So it was in Tunisia, as that vendor's act of desperation tapped into the frustration felt throughout the country. Hundreds of protesters took to the streets, then thousands. And in the face of batons and sometimes bullets, they refused to go home -- day after day, week after week -- until a dictator of more than two decades finally left power.

COMMENT: It is very difficult to believe that the actions of revolting citizens in Tunisia were spontaneous when the presentation in number 8 is taken into consideration.

9. The story of this revolution, and the ones that followed, should not have come as a surprise. The nations of the Middle East and North Africa won their independence long ago, but in too many places their people did not. In too many countries, power has been concentrated in the hands of a few. In too many countries, a citizen like that young vendor had nowhere to turn — no honest judiciary to hear his case; no independent media to give him voice; no credible political party to represent his views; no free and fair election where he could choose his leader.

COMMENT: Islam is the ruling ideology of the regions in North Africa and the Middle East. It is no wonder that the rulers are autocrats. There is no other system that can co-exist with Islam. Democratic republics are the complete opposite of what Islam as an ideology claims and desires.

10. And this lack of self-determination — the chance to make your life what you will — has applied to the region's economy as well. Yes, some nations are blessed with wealth in oil and gas, and that has led to pockets of prosperity. But in a global economy based on knowledge, based on innovation, no development strategy can be based solely upon what comes out of the ground. Nor can people reach their potential when you cannot start a business without paying a bribe.

COMMENT: In these countries self-determination is not the will of Allah. The will of Allah is written in the book of ideology, the Koran.

11. In the face of these challenges, too many leaders in the region tried to direct their people's grievances elsewhere. The West was blamed as the source of all ills, a half-century after the end of colonialism. Antagonism toward Israel became the only acceptable outlet for political expression. Divisions of tribe, ethnicity and religious sect were manipulated as a means of holding on to power, or taking it away from somebody else.

COMMENT: There are three lies in this paragraph. The West was blamed as a source of all ills because the West consists of non-believers. The autocratic regimes were doing nothing more than carrying out the principles of Islam. Israel received antagonism not because it was the only outlet for political expression, but because according to Islam and the teachings of the Koran, Israel must be extinguished from the face of the earth. According to Islam and the Koran Israel has no right to exist. Dividing along the lines of ethnicity, tribe, and religious sect was not only a means of holding on to power, but also a method advocated by Islam and the Koran to spread Allah's laws according to the interpretations of Mohammed as expressed in his Koran and Mohammed's hadiths, his so-called documented, personal sayings.

12. But the events of the past six months show us that strategies of repression and strategies of diversion will not work anymore. Satellite television and the Internet provide a window into the wider world — a world of astonishing progress in places like India and Indonesia and Brazil. Cell phones and social networks allow young people to connect and organize like never before. And so a new generation has emerged. And their voices tell us that change cannot be denied.

COMMENT: Satellite television, the internet, and cell phones are good for Barack Hussein Obama when they are used to propagandize his policies. However, they should be controlled so that only Barack Hussein Obama's policies are propagandized. See the COMMENT at number 35 and the footnote thereto.

13. In Cairo, we heard the voice of the young mother who said, "It's like I can finally breathe fresh air for the first time."

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? This is because she knew that the Muslim Brotherhood would be rising politically.

14. In Sanaa, we heard the students who chanted, "The night must come to an end. "

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? This is true. The cries were heard but Barack Hussein Obama did nothing and has done nothing.

15. In Benghazi, we heard the engineer who said, "Our words are free now. It's a feeling you can't explain. "

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? This is true, but initially Barack Hussein Obama did nothing. Barack Hussein Obama first took action when David Cameron and Nicolas Sarkozy were in the limelight and not Barack Hussein Obama. His kinetic military action is illegal because it does not have approval of the United States Congress. Wait until the Muslim Brotherhood initiates action and establishes an Iranian-like state. Who is meant with our? In this situation **our** refers to those revolting in Benghazi. There was no meaningful United States strategy in Libya. "Muammar Gaddafi is indisputably a brutal thug. However, unlike the Iranians and Syrians, he did dismantle his nuclear weapons. In fact, the State Department has described him as 'an increasingly valuable partner against terrorism and al-Qaida,' and in 2009 Secretary of State Hillary Clinton stated that the US 'deeply valued' its relationship with Libya and wanted to 'deepen and broaden our cooperation' "¹⁷

¹⁷ <http://docstalk.blogspot.com/2011/04/arab-spring-illusion.html>.

16. In Damascus, we heard the young man who said, "After the first yelling, the first shout, you feel dignity. "

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? This must be the reason why Barack Hussein Obama is so active and vehement in his criticism of the Syrian President Baschar Hafiz al-Assad. We are informed on a daily basis what actions Barack Hussein Obama has taken for the past weeks: NONE. On 18 May 2011 Barack Hussein Obama issued sanctions against the Syrian President and six other Syrians: The sanctions are the freezing of any assets President Assad and others have in American financial institutions and prohibit trade with them."¹⁸ Now that is real bite! The European Union followed up with similar sanctions against Syria on May 24, 2011. That is double bite!

17. Those shouts of human dignity are being heard across the region. And through the moral force of nonviolence, the people of the region have achieved more change in six months than terrorists have accomplished in decades.

COMMENT: Yes! There was and is non-violence in Tunisia! There was and is non-violence in Egypt. There was and is non violence in Yemen. There was and is non-violence in Bahrain. There was and is non-violence in Kuwait. There was and is non-violence in Libya. There was and is non-violence in Syria. There was and is non-violence in Lebanon. There was and is non-violence in Gaza and the West Bank. Everywhere that Barack Hussein Obama looks, there is non-violence. Barack Hussein Obama is such a peaceful putative president! Barack Hussein Obama's best place of non-violence is the golf course!

18. Of course, change of this magnitude does not come easily. In our day and age -- a time of 24-hour news cycles and constant communication -- people expect the transformation of the region to be resolved in a matter of weeks. But it will be years before this story reaches its end. Along the way, there will be good days and there will be bad days. In some places, change will be swift; in others, gradual. And as we've already seen, calls for change may give way, in some cases, to fierce contests for power.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? This is the old winding gramophone calling for CHANGE by the Dope in Chief! It will also be years before the truth about Barack Hussein Obama reaches its end. There are so many illegalities that there may not be enough paper on which to print the real Barack Hussein Obama story.

19. The question before us is what role America will play as this story unfolds. For decades, the United States has pursued a set of core interests in the region: countering

¹⁸ <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/19/world/middleeast/19syria.html>.

terrorism and stopping the spread of nuclear weapons; securing the free flow of commerce and safe-guarding the security of the region; standing up for Israel's security and pursuing Arab-Israeli peace.

COMMENT: Israel's security is now assured by the pro-Arabic and pro-Palestinian policies of Barack Hussein Obama. A Nuclear-free Middle East is now assured by the rough stand that Barack Hussein Obama takes against Iran. Barack Hussein Obama's stance is so formidable that even water and air are stronger.

20. We will continue to do these things, with the firm belief that America's interests are not hostile to people's hopes; they're essential to them. We believe that no one benefits from a nuclear arms race in the region, or al Qaeda's brutal attacks. We believe people everywhere would see their economies crippled by a cut-off in energy supplies. As we did in the Gulf War, we will not tolerate aggression across borders, and we will keep our commitments to friends and partners.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? If American interests are not hostile to people's hopes, then why does Barack Hussein Obama apologize for America whenever he can? Barack Hussein Obama keeps his commitments to his friends and his partners if they are from Chicago, and are shady, illegal characters like Barack Hussein Obama.

21. Yet we must acknowledge that a strategy based solely upon the narrow pursuit of these interests will not fill an empty stomach or allow someone to speak their mind. Moreover, failure to speak to the broader aspirations of ordinary people will only feed the suspicion that has festered for years that the United States pursues our interests at their expense. Given that this mistrust runs both ways — as Americans have been seared by hostage-taking and violent rhetoric and terrorist attacks that have killed thousands of our citizens — a failure to change our approach threatens a deepening spiral of division between the United States and the Arab world.

22. COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? The translation of this steer stooling is: My regime is ready to do what the Arab world wants the United States to do.

23. And that's why, two years ago in Cairo, I began to broaden our engagement based upon mutual interests and mutual respect. I believed then — and I believe now — that we have a stake not just in the stability of nations, but in the self-determination of individuals. The status quo is not sustainable. Societies held together by fear and repression may offer the illusion of stability for a time, but they are built upon fault lines that will eventually tear asunder.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? No, two years ago in Cairo Barack Hussein Obama began humiliating the United States of America in the face of Muslims and apologized to the Islamic world for the existence of the United States of America.¹⁹

24. So we face a historic opportunity. We have the chance to show that America values the dignity of the street vendor in Tunisia more than the raw power of the dictator. There must be no doubt that the United States of America welcomes change that advances self-determination and opportunity. Yes, there will be perils that accompany this moment of promise. But after decades of accepting the world as it is in the region, we have a chance to pursue the world as it should be.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? There is nothing wrong with showing this value for the street vendor. At the same time, Barack Hussein Obama is saying that the Arab street vendor is to be valued more than the Israeli street vendor. The pursuance of the world as it should be in this region will eventually be defined by the Muslim Brotherhood. The West is already being prepared for this outcome and defining.²⁰ The goal of the Islamic Brotherhood is to institute a theocracy, an Islamic Allah-state à la Iran. The movement's founder Hassan al-Banna copied the European fascist movements of Hitler, Franco, and Mussolini, but taught that the world should be controlled by a caliphate and not by Germany. According to Hassan al-Banna there should be an Arabic Islamic world emanating from the Middle East and throughout Europe into the Western World. A contemporary Muslim Brotherhood leader, Mohammed Badie, said that the ultimate defeat of Israel and America could only occur "by raising a jihadi generation that pursues death just as the enemies pursue life."²¹

25. Of course, as we do, we must proceed with a sense of humility. It's not America that put people into the streets of Tunis or Cairo — it was the people themselves who launched these movements, and it's the people themselves that must ultimately determine their outcome.

¹⁹ <http://itmakesenseblog.com/2011/02/04/the-obama-%E2%80%93-soros-egypt-plan-mandates-role-for-muslim-brotherhood/>. <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2011/02/01/whats-behind-the-tumult-in-egypt/2/>.

²⁰ <http://exposingliberalallies.blogspot.com/2011/02/muslim-brotherhood-not-threat-says.html>.
<http://www.stcatharinesstandard.ca/ArticleDisplay.aspx?e=2953707>.
<http://www.thecuttingedgenews.com/index.php?article=51768&pageid=16&pagename=Opinion>.

²¹ <http://mnprager.wordpress.com/2011/02/15/muslim-brotherhoods-cause-raising-a-jihadi-generation-that-pursues-death-just-as-the-enemies-pursue-life/>.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Indications are that it was George Soros and Barack Hussein Obama who launched the movements so that North Africa could be placed in line with Iran and Syria, thus creating a united Muslim Brotherhood in North Africa. See COMMENT at number 3.

26. Not every country will follow our particular form of representative democracy, and there will be times when our short-term interests don't align perfectly with our long-term vision for the region. But we can, and we will, speak out for a set of core principles — principles that have guided our response to the events over the past six months:

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? The United States of America under Barack Hussein Obama has no semblance of being a representative democracy. As a matter of fact, the term is a misnomer. It should be representative republic, since America is not a democracy but a republic. Democracy is mob rule. That is what is present in the Barack Hussein Obama Regime.

27. The United States opposes the use of violence and repression against the people of the region.

COMMENT: This is true. However Barack Hussein Obama says that the United States opposes violence and repression against the people of the region. What is Barack Hussein Obama's present stance? Learning from the past it is the same as Barack Hussein Obama's stance on the Iranian revolts in 2009. It is the same as Barack Hussein Obama's stance on the increasing attacks by the Palestinians on Israel: *Let Hamas and Fatah attack Israel. I do not care about Israel anyway.*

28. The United States supports a set of universal rights. And these rights include free speech, the freedom of peaceful assembly, the freedom of religion, equality for men and women under the rule of law, and the right to choose your own leaders — whether you live in Baghdad or Damascus, Sanaa or Tehran.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama is out of touch with reality. Barack Hussein Obama lists rights that are completely opposed to the teachings of Mohammed and the directives of the Koran. Arab states are ruled by despots who rule with the Koran. According to the despots of the Arab states under Islam, these rights do not exist in their countries.

29. And we support political and economic reform in the Middle East and North Africa that can meet the legitimate aspirations of ordinary people throughout the region.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Barack Hussein Obama is out of touch with reality. The political, economic, indeed, even the banking world of Islamic states is completely different from that of the West.

30. Our support for these principles is not a secondary interest. Today I want to make it clear that it is a top priority that must be translated into concrete actions, and supported by all of the diplomatic, economic and strategic tools at our disposal.

COMMENT: Who is meant with our? Translation: You in the State Department **will do** as I say. You **will** implement political reforms that I want. You **will** implement economic reforms that I want. (And Ben Bernanke will print the money to pay for these reforms!)

31. Let me be specific. First, it will be the policy of the United States to promote reform across the region, and to support transitions to democracy. That effort begins in Egypt and Tunisia, where the stakes are high — as Tunisia was at the vanguard of this democratic wave, and Egypt is both a longstanding partner and the Arab world's largest nation. Both nations can set a strong example through free and fair elections, a vibrant civil society, accountable and effective democratic institutions, and responsible regional leadership. But our support must also extend to nations where transitions have yet to take place.

COMMENT: Who is meant with our? Barack Hussein Obama is stating that he will state something positive but will mean exactly the opposite. In other words, Barack Hussein Obama will tell a specific lie. The real Barack Hussein Obama truth is: The policy of the United States will be to establish a breeding ground for future Islamists and future Islamic Brotherhood organizations. The region will be a vibrant, religious society, accountable to the mullahs, and will have Islamic institutions that will be called democratic institutions so that the West will be happy that the regions are democratic, with responsible religious Islamic leadership in an emerging new caliphate. American support will extend to other nations that want to become member-states of the new Islamic caliphate.

32. Unfortunately, in too many countries, calls for change have thus far been answered by violence. The most extreme example is Libya, where Muammar Qaddafi launched a war against his own people, promising to hunt them down like rats. As I said when the United States joined an international coalition to intervene, we cannot prevent every injustice perpetrated by a regime against its people, and we have learned from our experience in Iraq just how costly and difficult it is to try to impose regime change by force — no matter how well-intentioned it may be.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? This author is totally anti-Gaddafi. Nevertheless, the reality of what happened in Libya, even in Egypt, is not the reality that Barack Hussein Obama says happened. This is a misleading statement. Gaddafi began fighting only the rebellious elements, and whether or not these rebellious elements were/are really *his people* remains to be seen. In actuality, the Libyan military launched a campaign against those revolting against Qaddafi. The United States did not join an international coalition. Barack Hussein Obama dictated that the United States would lead a coalition that was begun by David Cameron and Nicolas Sarkozy. What about the other regions in Africa and the world where there are brutal repressions and humanitarian crises? One cannot escape the possibility that Barack Hussein Obama is using the illegal action in Libya – Congress was not consulted for approval – to divert attention from domestic problems. Furthermore, perhaps Barack Hussein Obama is looking for a scapegoat possibility where he can claim leadership so that his re-election candidacy for 2012 can propagandize that Barack Hussein Obama is a real leader, at least in the minds of the leftists, progressives, Obots, and American sheeple.

33. But in Libya, we saw the prospect of imminent massacre, we had a mandate for action, and heard the Libyan people's call for help. Had we not acted along with our NATO allies and regional coalition partners, thousands would have been killed. The message would have been clear: Keep power by killing as many people as it takes. Now, time is working against Qaddafi. He does not have control over his country. The opposition has organized a legitimate and credible Interim Council. And when Qaddafi inevitably leaves or is forced from power, decades of provocation will come to an end, and the transition to a democratic Libya can proceed.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Barack Hussein Obama took action and circumvented Congress after David Cameron and Nicolas Sarkozy took action.

34. While Libya has faced violence on the greatest scale, it's not the only place where leaders have turned to repression to remain in power. Most recently, the Syrian regime has chosen the path of murder and the mass arrests of its citizens. The United States has condemned these actions, and working with the international community we have stepped up our sanctions on the Syrian regime — including sanctions announced yesterday on President Assad and those around him.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? This author thinks that Barack Hussein Obama is not mentioning his regime in the United States where repression against adversaries includes calling them *racists*. Barack Hussein Obama and Michelle Obama and the regime repellents always call *racist* when their adversaries use logic. Obots Twitter threats of murdering those opposing Barack Hussein Obama.

They issue threats of boycotting like those found on the Obama-oriented Facebook.²² A most-recent example is *We Don't Want Donald Trump to Drive the Indy 500 Race*.²³ Barack Hussein Obama has not yet murdered anyone, but there has been murder suggesting Barack Hussein Obama's involvement.²⁴ Are condemning "these actions, and working with the international community ... stepping up our sanctions on the Syrian regime – including sanctions announced yesterday on President Assad and those around him," so-called Barack Hussein Obama kinetic actions? There was United Nations condemnation of Syria in April 2011. Nothing has taken place. There is no American leadership in the United Nations.

35. The Syrian people have shown their courage in demanding a transition to democracy. President Assad now has a choice: He can lead that transition, or get out of the way. The Syrian government must stop shooting demonstrators and allow peaceful protests. It must release political prisoners and stop unjust arrests. It must allow human rights monitors to have access to cities like Dara'a; and start a serious dialogue to advance a democratic transition. Otherwise, President Assad and his regime will continue to be challenged from within and will continue to be isolated abroad.

COMMENT: Put Barack Hussein Obama on a stallion and let him lead the charge against Syria. Barack Hussein Obama would be the first to retreat and call it a victory.

36. So far, Syria has followed its Iranian ally, seeking assistance from Tehran in the tactics of suppression. And this speaks to the hypocrisy of the Iranian regime, which says it stand for the rights of protesters abroad, yet represses its own people at home. Let's remember that the first peaceful protests in the region were in the streets of Tehran, where the government brutalized women and men, and threw innocent people into jail. We still hear the chants echo from the rooftops of Tehran. The image of a young woman dying in the streets is still seared in our memory. And we will continue to insist that the Iranian people deserve their universal rights, and a government that does not smother their aspirations.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Barack Hussein Obama has allowed Syria to follow its Iranian ally in so far as Barack Hussein Obama never took any action against Iran in 2009 and 2010, or never took any action, except appeasement, against Iran's nuclear research and development of the atomic bomb.

²² Indeed, Barack Hussein Obama wants control of the Internet portals Facebook, Emails, Skype, and Twitter. <http://www.bloomingtoninternetservices.net/big-brother-obama-wants-control-of-your-email-facebook-skype-twitter-accounts/>.

²³ <http://www.digitaljournal.com/article/306377#ixzz1My pbKQy1>.

²⁴ <http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/2014445/posts>.

37. Now, our opposition to Iran's intolerance and Iran's repressive measures, as well as its illicit nuclear program and its support of terror, is well known. But if America is to be credible, we must acknowledge that at times our friends in the region have not all reacted to the demands for consistent change -- with change that's consistent with the principles that I've outlined today. That's true in Yemen, where President Saleh needs to follow through on his commitment to transfer power. And that's true today in Bahrain.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Strong words! The Barack Hussein Obama Regime opposes Iran's intolerance, repression, illicit nuclear program, and support of terror. If America is to be credible, it must get rid of Barack Hussein Obama. With such incredible leadership, credibility will never exist in American politics.

38. Bahrain is a longstanding partner, and we are committed to its security. We recognize that Iran has tried to take advantage of the turmoil there, and that the Bahraini government has a legitimate interest in the rule of law.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? The "Bahraini government has a legitimate interest in the rule of law" is nothing more than ridiculous. If Bahrain is interested in law, then it is the Islamic Sharia law. No other laws are desired. Barack Forked Tongue Hussein Obama knows this. The statement is a prime example of Barack Hussein Obama's chronic lying.

39. Nevertheless, we have insisted both publicly and privately that mass arrests and brute force are at odds with the universal rights of Bahrain's citizens, and we will -- and such steps will not make legitimate calls for reform go away. The only way forward is for the government and opposition to engage in a dialogue, and you can't have a real dialogue when parts of the peaceful opposition are in jail. The government must create the conditions for dialogue, and the opposition must participate to forge a just future for all Bahrainis.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Dialogue is not a tenet of Islam and the Koran. Only strict adherence to their principles and the observance and reverence of Allah and Allah's representatives on earth is allowed. The hard criticisms of Bahrain turn out to be Barack Hussein Obama softness, for a Muslim leader of America cannot harshly criticize a Muslim state that is only following the dictates of the so-called prophet Mohammed and the Koran.²⁵

²⁵ <http://english.irib.ir/analysis/commentaries/item/73802-us-softly-criticizes-bahrain-over-violation-of-human-rights>.

40. Indeed, one of the broader lessons to be drawn from this period is that sectarian divides need not lead to conflict. In Iraq, we see the promise of a multiethnic, multi-sectarian democracy. The Iraqi people have rejected the perils of political violence in favor of a democratic process, even as they've taken full responsibility for their own security. Of course, like all new democracies, they will face setbacks. But Iraq is poised to play a key role in the region if it continues its peaceful progress. And as they do, we will be proud to stand with them as a steadfast partner.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Is Barack Hussein Obama praising the Iraqi politics of former President George W. Bush? If so, Barack Hussein Obama should have kept the allied forces in Iraq. It would be much safer than it now is.

41. So in the months ahead, America must use all our influence to encourage reform in the region. Even as we acknowledge that each country is different, we need to speak honestly about the principles that we believe in, with friend and foe alike. Our message is simple: If you take the risks that reform entails, you will have the full support of the United States.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? America must use all our influence? The influence of the Barack Hussein Obama Regime? The sentence is a grammatical faux pas. Grammatically correct is: American must use all of its influence... . However, Barack Hussein Obama insists on saying our. Therefore, Barack Hussein Obama cannot mean the influence of America, but the influence of whoever *our* refers to, which is definitely the Barack Hussein Obama Regime.

42. We must also build on our efforts to broaden our engagement beyond elites, so that we reach the people who will shape the future — particularly young people. We will continue to make good on the commitments that I made in Cairo — to build networks of entrepreneurs and expand exchanges in education, to foster cooperation in science and technology, and combat disease. Across the region, we intend to provide assistance to civil society, including those that may not be officially sanctioned, and who speak uncomfortable truths. And we will use the technology to connect with — and listen to — the voices of the people.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? The commitments that Barack Hussein Obama made in Cairo were, according to the official line of the United States Department of State:²⁶ This author's comments thereto are in (parentheses).

²⁶ <http://www.uspolicy.be/headline/one-year-after-obama%E2%80%99s-%E2%80%9Cnew-beginning%E2%80%9D-speech-cairo-egypt>.

EXPANDING ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

(For whom? Definitely not for the United States of America. That would be neo-colonialism.)

ENTREPRENEURSHIP

(Capitalism will be turned into world Muslim socialism.)

SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, AND INNOVATION

(The United States will be actively engaged in giving away patents and technology to foreign countries, thus providing them with a base of knowledge that they will use against American technology and innovation.)

EDUCATION & EXCHANGE PROGRAMS

(The United States will pay Arab students to come to America, study, obtain an education for free, and then allow them to establish al-Qaeda, Taliban, and similar terrorist cells for future operations within American borders. This is already happening!)

HEALTH

(Death rates as a result of diseases and AIDS have not been reduced.)

DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

(This is a problem because democracy and human rights are not the goals of Islam and the Koran.)

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM

(The bogus potus declared that people in every country should be free to choose and live their faith based upon the persuasion of the mind, heart, and soul, but he forgot to say that one day they should all be Muslim converts.)

- Charitable Giving: (Barack Hussein Obama will give away as much money as Bern Bernanke can print.)
- Interfaith Engagement: (Christians will be propagandized concerning the peaceful religion of Islam!)

WOMEN'S EQUALITY

(Women now have equality in Arabian countries ... according to male interpretation of the Sharia!)

- Political Empowerment: (Women should be [token] leaders ... but only if Muslim men say so!)
- Economic Inclusion: (Women should be an employee staple of the local economy. Men can drink tea in the tea houses.)

- Maternal and Child Health: (Securing the health of women and children is critical to the proliferation of Islam. Large families that move into other non-Islamic countries is a major weapon, oops, process in Islamizing the world. European countries are experiencing this first-hand.)
- Project in the West Bank: (The majority of the aid to Palestine has been pocketed by the Palestinian leaders.²⁷ But the Israeli Defense Forces have opened a new Health Center for Palestinians in the West Bank.²⁸)
- Targeted States High Impact Project (T-SHIP): Launched in March 2010 in Nigeria, (U.S. taxpayers will pay for abortions in Nigeria and help to establish the groundwork for a Muslim leadership in the elections, which is what happened. The same *modus operandi* took place in the Ivory Coast.)

CONFRONTING VIOLENT EXTREMISM

(Barack Hussein Obama has made American citizens out of terrorists.²⁹ There is now peace in Afghanistan and Barack Hussein Obama accomplished this personally! Barack Hussein Obama (personally!) killed Osama bin Laden in Pakistan and will pay Pakistan approximately 1.5 billion dollars in the next five years! This is nothing more than paying a dhimmi tax to keep Pakistani-based terrorists in Pakistan. Barack Hussein Obama is committed to closing Guantanamo Bay Naval Facility. The reason that it still exists is because Barack Hussein Obama finally realizes its value in keeping terrorists and terrorist suspects under lock and key. Moreover, Barack Hussein Obama is the ilk of a degenerate dictator who would like to keep all of his adversaries, regardless of color or culture in prisons. *Trust is good. Control is better.* - Lenin.)

RESPONSIBLE DRAWDOWN OF FORCES IN IRAQ

(Barack Hussein Obama promises to draw down forces in Iraq. This was already a process and agreement between former President George W. Bush and Iraq. Now Barack Hussein Obama will take the credit.)

EFFORTS TO BRING ABOUT MIDDLE EAST PEACE

(Barack Hussein Obama's Death-to-Israel politics means eventual peace in the Middle East.)

NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION

(The START Treaty with Russia gives Russia an advantage in nuclear warheads.)

²⁷ <http://www.jpost.com/MiddleEast/Article.aspx?id=167194>.
<http://www.islammemo.cc/akhbar/arab/2010/10/10/108589.html?lang=en-us>.

²⁸ <http://idfspokesperson.com/2011/05/18/new-health-service-center-opened-for-palestinians-in-the-west-bank/>.

²⁹ http://www.libertynewsonline.com/article_301_30536.php

Secure Vulnerable Nuclear Weapons and Material (Nothing has been secured under Barack Hussein Obama except lies! He has secured them for himself and posterity!)

Iranian Nuclear Program Prevent Iran from Developing Nuclear weapons. (Of course, we all know that Iran is not developing nuclear weapons!)

What can we learn from Barack Hussein Obama? His commitments are hot air!

43. For the fact is, real reform does not come at the ballot box alone. Through our efforts we must support those basic rights to speak your mind and access information. We will support open access to the Internet, and the right of journalists to be heard — whether it's a big news organization or a lone blogger. In the 21st century, information is power, the truth cannot be hidden, and the legitimacy of governments will ultimately depend on active and informed citizens.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Barack Hussein Obama wants to control the Internet in America. Refer to COMMENT at numbers 13 and 35 with respective footnote. The lamestream media report only what Barack Hussein Obama wants them to report. "Truth cannot be hidden." This is a wonderful statement coming from this Liar in Chief. Barack Hussein Obama's definition of legitimacy in government is when government does what Barack Hussein Obama desires! That is legitimacy enough!

44. Such open discourse is important even if what is said does not square with our worldview. Let me be clear, America respects the right of all peaceful and law-abiding voices to be heard, even if we disagree with them. And sometimes we profoundly disagree with them.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Barack Hussein Obama's regime is the most non-transparent in discourse that the post-American world has ever experienced. Open dialogue à la Barack Hussein Obama means that the others will do as his dialogue (Barack Hussein Obama with himself) says.

45. We look forward to working with all who embrace genuine and inclusive democracy. What we will oppose is an attempt by any group to restrict the rights of others, and to hold power through coercion and not consent. Because democracy depends not only on elections, but also strong and accountable institutions, and the respect for the rights of minorities.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? This is another steer-stooling statement that is the opposite of what Barack Hussein Obama does.

46. Such tolerance is particularly important when it comes to religion. In Tahrir Square, we heard Egyptians from all walks of life chant, “Muslims, Christians, we are one.” America will work to see that this spirit prevails — that all faiths are respected, and that bridges are built among them. In a region that was the birthplace of three world religions, intolerance can lead only to suffering and stagnation. And for this season of change to succeed, Coptic Christians must have the right to worship freely in Cairo, just as Shia must never have their mosques destroyed in Bahrain.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? “Muslims, Christians, we are one.” until the Muslim Brotherhood takes over political power. “Coptic Christians must have the right to worship freely in Cairo,” but they must be forbidden everywhere else. Mosques are being destroyed in Bahrain. Islam is so peaceful!

47. What is true for religious minorities is also true when it comes to the rights of women. History shows that countries are more prosperous and more peaceful when women are empowered. And that’s why we will continue to insist that universal rights apply to women as well as men — by focusing assistance on child and maternal health; by helping women to teach, or start a business; by standing up for the right of women to have their voices heard, and to run for office. The region will never reach its full potential when more than half of its population is prevented from achieving their full potential.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? There will be problems with Islamic and Koran teachings. Empowering women is against Sharia law!

48. Now, even as we promote political reform, even as we promote human rights in the region, our efforts can’t stop there. So the second way that we must support positive change in the region is through our efforts to advance economic development for nations that are transitioning to democracy.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? Where are the nations transitioning to democracy? This author sees only nations transitioning to revolution in order to be usurped by Islam exported from Iran and hardcore Islamists.

49. After all, politics alone has not put protesters into the streets. The tipping point for so many people is the more constant concern of putting food on the table and providing for a family. Too many people in the region wake up with few expectations other than making it through the day, perhaps hoping that their luck will change. Throughout the region, many young people have a solid education, but closed economies leave them unable to find a job. Entrepreneurs are brimming with ideas, but corruption leaves them unable to profit from those ideas.

COMMENT: Is Barack Hussein Obama referring to the United States of America? American families have difficulty putting food on the table. Americans wake up with few expectations and hope that their luck will change. Americans have solid educations, if they did not go to a progressive school! American entrepreneurs are brimming with ideas, but Barack Hussein Obama's Regime corruption does not allow these ideas to materialize and turn into profits. The trade unions come first.

50. The greatest untapped resource in the Middle East and North Africa is the talent of its people. In the recent protests, we see that talent on display, as people harness technology to move the world. It's no coincidence that one of the leaders of Tahrir Square was an executive for Google. That energy now needs to be channeled, in country after country, so that economic growth can solidify the accomplishments of the street. For just as democratic revolutions can be triggered by a lack of individual opportunity, successful democratic transitions depend upon an expansion of growth and broad-based prosperity.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? "It's no coincidence that one of the leaders of Tahrir Square was an executive for Google." This statement leads to further questions: What was Google's involvement in the Tahrir Square uprising? What role is Google playing in other so-called Arab spring revolutions? Who is giving Google orders to participate? Are there connections between George Soros' color revolutions and Google-support of these revolutions?

51. So, drawing from what we've learned around the world, we think it's important to focus on trade, not just aid; on investment, not just assistance. The goal must be a model in which protectionism gives way to openness, the reigns of commerce pass from the few to the many, and the economy generates jobs for the young. America's support for democracy will therefore be based on ensuring financial stability, promoting reform, and integrating competitive markets with each other and the global economy. And we're going to start with Tunisia and Egypt.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? But the first thing Barack Hussein Obama's regime will do is to establish a Barack Hussein Obama Socialist Party and a big, all-encompassing national union.

52. First, we've asked the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund to present a plan at next week's G8 summit for what needs to be done to stabilize and modernize the economies of Tunisia and Egypt. Together, we must help them recover from the disruptions of their democratic upheaval, and support the governments that will

be elected later this year. And we are urging other countries to help Egypt and Tunisia meet its near-term financial needs.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Barack Hussein Obama is going to give them money! This author is going to change his name to Mr. Egypt Tunisia.

53. Second, we do not want a democratic Egypt to be saddled by the debts of its past. So we will relieve a democratic Egypt of up to \$1 billion in debt, and work with our Egyptian partners to invest these resources to foster growth and entrepreneurship. We will help Egypt regain access to markets by guaranteeing \$1 billion in borrowing that is needed to finance infrastructure and job creation. And we will help newly democratic governments recover assets that were stolen.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? This author has a better idea. Sell Egypt and Tunisia to George Soros.

54. Third, we're working with Congress to create Enterprise Funds to invest in Tunisia and Egypt. And these will be modeled on funds that supported the transitions in Eastern Europe after the fall of the Berlin Wall. OPIC will soon launch a \$2 billion facility to support private investment across the region. And we will work with the allies to refocus the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development so that it provides the same support for democratic transitions and economic modernization in the Middle East and North Africa as it has in Europe.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? OPIC is Overseas Private Investment Corporation. Are George Soros, et al. investing in Palestine? Yes! In addition to OPIC, other investors are: the George Soros Economic Development Fund, the United Arab Emirate Crescent Investments, and the Pension Boards of the United Church of Christ (There are ten member churches in Chicago alone!)³⁰ Some other investments in Palestine that Barack Hussein Obama did not make public are:

- Bethlehem, West Bank - Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas has started a landmark \$500 million mortgage finance program and the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC), a U.S. government agency whose mission is to facilitate US private sector investment in less-developed countries, which will provide \$241 million; and the International Finance Corporation (IFC), a member of the World Bank Group, which will provide \$72 million.³¹

³⁰ <http://www.efinancialnews.com/story/2011-02-09/siraj-world-bank-palestine>.
<http://www.ucc.org/find/?radius=10&city=Chicago&stateID=IL>.

³¹ <http://www.opic.gov/news/press-releases/2009/pr060210>.

- In the fiscal Year 2009, the Mortgage Corporation II invested as follows:³²

Region/Country: Africa and the Middle East / West Bank and Gaza

U.S. Sponsor: Cooperative Housing Foundation

Type: Finance

Description: Develop Low-Middle Income Housing Finance Program

Amount: \$99,490,000

Region/Country: Africa and the Middle East / West Bank and Gaza

U.S. Sponsor: Cooperative Housing Foundation

Type: Finance

Description: Develop Low-Middle Income Housing Finance Program

Amount: \$59,690,000

- Total Fund Capitalization: \$80.0 million.³³

In the Cairo Speech on June 4, 2009, Barack Hussein Obama announced that the United States would “launch a new fund to support technological development in Muslim-majority countries.”

OPIC announced its commitment as follows: The Fund will have a significant developmental impact on the Palestinian Territories. The Fund will stimulate the Palestinian Territories’ economy by investing more than 80% of the Fund’s total capitalization in start-ups and the expansion of Small and Middle Enterprises (SMEs) operating in technology oriented sectors. Finally, the Fund anticipates exiting some portfolio companies through initial public offerings (IPOs), the purpose of which is to deepen the Palestinian Territories’ capital market.

- More American taxpayer money has been thrown into the Palestinian sewer than one can realize. Perhaps those Americans who are losing their homes can go to Gaza and get a low-interest loan. Another example is:

Region/Country: Africa and the Middle East / West Bank and Gaza

U.S. Sponsor: Middle East Investment Initiative

Type: Finance

Description: Expand SME Lending Portfolio

Amount: \$8,250,000

³² <http://www.opic.gov/node/2311>. <http://www.opic.gov/node/2310>.

³³ http://www.opic.gov/sites/default/files/docs/siraj_palestine_fund_i.pdf.

Some other interesting financial intricacies for Palestine, a country that is not, and never was, a country.³⁴

- OPIC Board Approves \$455 Million for Five Investment Funds Targeting Middle East & North Africa
- Has a target capitalization of \$500 million. Siraj Palestine Fund I The OPIC Board approved up to \$30 million in financing
- Press Release - harwood - 2010-06-25 08:20. "OPIC Signs Agreement to Support Growth of Palestinian School." (in which anti-Israel Islam will be taught.)
- Press Release: "Bethlehem, Palestine – Robert Mosbacher, Jr., President and CEO of OIC ... is pleased to support the ongoing development of Palestine's human capital."
- Press Release - omni-content - 2009-05-31 09:45. "OPIC Signs Agreement to Provide Political Risk Insurance to Palestinian Businesses."

To be fair, "Established as an agency of the U.S. Government in 1971, OPIC operates on a self-sustaining basis at no net cost to American taxpayers. OPIC services are available for new and expanding business enterprises in more than 150 countries worldwide. To date, OPIC projects have generated \$74 billion in U.S. exports and supported more than 275,000 American jobs."³⁵ Of course, there are costs to the American taxpayers. The initial money must come from somewhere. If it is a government program, it is surely supported in some fashion by taxpayer money. Albeit, Barack Hussein Obama is using OPIC as a financial tool to establish infrastructure in the non-country Palestine whose aim is the eventual destruction of Israel. It would be a real change should Barack Hussein Obama make similar, directed efforts at the support of American infrastructure with so-called no net costs to the taxpayers.

55. Fourth, the United States will launch a comprehensive Trade and Investment Partnership Initiative in the Middle East and North Africa. If you take out oil exports, this entire region of over 400 million people exports roughly the same amount as Switzerland. So we will work with the EU to facilitate more trade within the region, build on existing agreements to promote integration with U.S. and European markets, and open the door for those countries who adopt high standards of reform and trade liberalization to construct a regional trade arrangement. And just as EU membership served as an incentive for reform in Europe, so should the vision of a modern and prosperous economy create a powerful force for reform in the Middle East and North Africa.

³⁴ <http://www.opic.gov/news/newsletter>.

³⁵ <http://www.opic.gov/about-us>.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Trade and Investment Partnership? Does this mean that Barack Hussein Obama will make guarantees that the United States of America will buy Middle East oil *ad infinitum* and not develop American sources of energy? Why not? Barack Hussein Obama has so much understanding when it comes to poor, oil producing countries.

56. Prosperity also requires tearing down walls that stand in the way of progress -- the corruption of elites who steal from their people; the red tape that stops an idea from becoming a business; the patronage that distributes wealth based on tribe or sect. We will help governments meet international obligations, and invest efforts at anti-corruption -- by working with parliamentarians who are developing reforms, and activists who use technology to increase transparency and hold government accountable. Politics and human rights; economic reform.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Prosperity also requires defending one's self, with walls if necessary, against enemies who want to exterminate you. The terminology *corruption of elites*, of course, refers to Yasser Arafat. Barack Hussein Obama knows what corruption is! Barack Hussein Obama will be active in anti-corruption. This is like the pot calling the kettle black! Wherever and whenever Barack Hussein Obama is active, corruption thrives.

57. Let me conclude by talking about another cornerstone of our approach to the region, and that relates to the pursuit of peace.

COMMENT: Who is meant with our? Barack Hussein Obama is going to tell another lie.

58. For decades, the conflict between Israelis and Arabs has cast a shadow over the region. For Israelis, it has meant living with the fear that their children could be blown up on a bus or by rockets fired at their homes, as well as the pain of knowing that other children in the region are taught to hate them. For Palestinians, it has meant suffering the humiliation of occupation, and never living in a nation of their own. Moreover, this conflict has come with a larger cost to the Middle East, as it impedes partnerships that could bring greater security and prosperity and empowerment to ordinary people.

COMMENT: The phrasing is interesting and therein is the contained lie. The Israelis or are always mentioned first. This suggests that the fault of the matter is Israel and that the Arabs/Palestinians are not at fault. Because Israel will not make peace with the Arab/Palestinians, who want to eradicate Israel from the Middle East, Israel must accept the fortune that rockets will be fired at them. The Palestinian Hamas Charter calls for the unequivocal extermination of Israel. Israel does not call for the obliteration of the Palestinians.

59. For over two years, my administration has worked with the parties and the international community to end this conflict, building on decades of work by previous administrations. Yet expectations have gone unmet. Israeli settlement activity continues. Palestinians have walked away from talks. The world looks at a conflict that has grinded on and on and on, and sees nothing but stalemate. Indeed, there are those who argue that with all the change and uncertainty in the region, it is simply not possible to move forward now.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama's regime has worked two years and the Israeli settlement activities still continue. Israel is building on its own land. Therefore, Israel is at fault. As long as the Palestinian authorities, Hamas, Fatah, and Lebanese Hezbollah demand that Israel must cease to exist, there will be no peace.

60. I disagree. At a time when the people of the Middle East and North Africa are casting off the burdens of the past, the drive for a lasting peace that ends the conflict and resolves all claims is more urgent than ever. That's certainly true for the two parties involved.

COMMENT: More urgent than ever is for Barack Hussein Obama to cease to exist as a political being. There was more advancement towards peace in the Middle East under Presidents Reagan, Bush Sr. and Bush Jr. than there will ever be under the putative president Barack Hussein Obama.

61. For the Palestinians, efforts to delegitimize Israel will end in failure. Symbolic actions to isolate Israel at the United Nations in September won't create an independent state. Palestinian leaders will not achieve peace or prosperity if Hamas insists on a path of terror and rejection. And Palestinians will never realize their independence by denying the right of Israel to exist.

COMMENT: Refer to the essay *Comrade Forked Tongue* concerning Barack Hussein Obama talk.³⁶ Knowing that Barack Hussein Obama never misses an opportunity to use double-speak and execute his evil forked tongue with statements that say one thing and mean another, the statement really reads as follows:

For the Palestinians, efforts to delegitimize Israel will not end in failure. Symbolic actions to isolate Israel at the United Nations in September will create an independent state. Palestinian leaders will achieve peace and prosperity if Hamas insists on a path of terror and rejection, because Israel can be exterminated. The Palestinians will realize their independence by denying the right of Israel to exist.

³⁶ <http://www.colony14.net/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/comradeforkedtonguerepaired17jan2011.pdf>.

62. As for Israel, our friendship is rooted deeply in a shared history and shared values. Our commitment to Israel's security is unshakeable. And we will stand against attempts to single it out for criticism in international forums. But precisely because of our friendship, it's important that we tell the truth: The status quo is unsustainable, and Israel too must act boldly to advance a lasting peace.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? With the friendship of the Obama Regime, who needs enemies? The double-speak translation is as follows:

As for Israel, our friendship is non-existent. I and my regime hate you. I as a Muslim am not deeply rooted in a shared history and shared values. I and my regime have no commitment to Israel's security. I and my regime are unshakeable. My regime will stand for attempts to single it out for criticism in international forums. But precisely because of my hate for you, I and my regime will lie to you: The status quo is unsustainable, and Israel must be defeated to achieve a lasting peace.

Taking some statements at face value, we can also experience the following comments: "Our commitment to Israel's security is unshakeable." Unshakeable can also mean that nothing happens!

"And we will stand against attempts to single it out for criticism in international forums." There will be a stance, but it will not be formidable, strong, and convincing.

"But precisely because of our friendship, it's important that we tell the truth:" Barack Hussein Obama never tells the truth!

"The status quo is unsustainable, and Israel too must act boldly to advance a lasting peace." Barack Hussein Obama is going to signal Israel's death.

63. The fact is, a growing number of Palestinians live west of the Jordan River. Technology will make it harder for Israel to defend itself. A region undergoing profound change will lead to populism in which millions of people -- not just one or two leaders -- must believe peace is possible. The international community is tired of an endless process that never produces an outcome. The dream of a Jewish and democratic state cannot be fulfilled with permanent occupation.

COMMENT: The fact is that regardless of how many Palestinians live west of the Jordan River, technology will make it easier for Israel to defend itself. Technological advancements always make the world easier. There is not permanent occupation. The Jewish state is the Jewish state within its present and future, non-Barack-Hussein-Obama-dictated borders. These borders are defensible. Israel cannot withdraw to its

pre-Six-Day-War borders, the borders pre-1967. These borders cannot be defended.³⁷
The Jewish and democratic state is a dream! How reality can suddenly change!!!

64. Now, ultimately, it is up to the Israelis and Palestinians to take action. No peace can be imposed upon them -- not by the United States; not by anybody else. But endless delay won't make the problem go away. What America and the international community can do is to state frankly what everyone knows -- a lasting peace will involve two states for two peoples: Israel as a Jewish state and the homeland for the Jewish people, and the state of Palestine as the homeland for the Palestinian people, each state enjoying self-determination, mutual recognition, and peace.

COMMENT: Peace for the Palestinians is the extermination of Israel. Palestine is a non-country that exists because Marxists and leftist ideologues like Franz Fanon and Edward Said were champions of the belief that Palestinians were subjugated by Israel and that this subjugation symbolized the Western subjugation of people in the developing countries of the Third World. American and European university radicals were nurtured on this pseudo-academic crap in the 1960s and 1970s. It is still philosophized in American and European leftist universities and think tanks. What is Palestine? If you can answer the following questions the reader and even Palestinians and leftists will know what Palestine is.³⁸ But before you try answering the questions read the following:

Genesis 12:3; Genesis 15:18; Joshua 1:4; Ezekiel 35; and Romans 11.

Basic questions about the non-existing country of Palestine.

1. When was Palestine founded?
2. Who founded Palestine?
3. What were Palestine's borders?
4. What was Palestine's capital?
5. What were Palestine's major cities?
6. What constituted the basis of the Palestinian economy?
7. What was the name of the Palestinian currency?

³⁷ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k2hZ6SlSq0&feature=player_embedded.

³⁸ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PTMvyLnCGoY>.

8. Can you choose any date in history and find the appropriate exchange rate of the Palestinian monetary unit against other world units on that date?
9. What was Palestine's form of government?
10. What was Palestine's language?
11. What was Palestine's prevalent religion?
12. What caused Palestine's demise?
13. When did Palestine's demise occur?
14. Have the Palestinians left behind any artifacts?
15. Do you know of a library where one can find a work of Palestinian literature produced before 1967?
16. Was Palestine ever recognized by a country whose existence, at that time or now, leaves no room for interpretation?
17. If the Palestinians are anything other than *refugees* collected from all over the Arab world, if they have a genuine ethnic identity that gives them the right for self-determination, why did they never try to become independent until Arabs suffered defeat by Israel in the 1967 Six Day War?
18. Can you name at least one Palestinian leader before Yasser Arafat?

65. So while the core issues of the conflict must be negotiated, the basis of those negotiations is clear: a viable Palestine, a secure Israel. The United States believes that negotiations should result in two states, with permanent Palestinian borders with Israel, Jordan, and Egypt, and permanent Israeli borders with Palestine. We believe the borders of Israel and Palestine should be based on the 1967 lines with mutually agreed swaps, so that secure and recognized borders are established for both states. The Palestinian people must have the right to govern themselves, and reach their full potential, in a sovereign and contiguous state.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? The 1967 border lines cannot be defended by Israel. The only reason Yasser Arafat accepted the idea of Palestinian autonomy of the Oslo Accords in 1993 was to gain a foothold in Palestine proper. After that the Palestinians demanded statehood.

66. As for security, every state has the right to self-defense, and Israel must be able to defend itself — by itself — against any threat. Provisions must also be robust enough to prevent a resurgence of terrorism, to stop the infiltration of weapons, and to provide effective border security. The full and phased withdrawal of Israeli military forces should be coordinated with the assumption of Palestinian security responsibility in a sovereign, non-militarized state. And the duration of this transition period must be agreed, and the effectiveness of security arrangements must be demonstrated.

COMMENT: "Israel must be able to defend itself — by itself — against any threat." Barack Hussein Obama has just signed off on Israel's death sentence and its non-existence in the future.

67. These principles provide a foundation for negotiations. Palestinians should know the territorial outlines of their state; Israelis should know that their basic security concerns will be met. I'm aware that these steps alone will not resolve the conflict, because two wrenching and emotional issues will remain: the future of Jerusalem, and the fate of Palestinian refugees. But moving forward now on the basis of territory and security provides a foundation to resolve those two issues in a way that is just and fair, and that respects the rights and aspirations of both Israelis and Palestinians.

COMMENT: Once a Palestinian state is established according to the vision of the Arabs and Palestinians, Israel's basic security concerns can never be met. Allowing 8 million and more Palestinians into Israel which has a population of only 7,746,000 would mean political suicide.

68. Now, let me say this: Recognizing that negotiations need to begin with the issues of territory and security does not mean that it will be easy to come back to the table. In particular, the recent announcement of an agreement between Fatah and Hamas raises profound and legitimate questions for Israel: How can one negotiate with a party that has shown itself unwilling to recognize your right to exist? And in the weeks and months to come, Palestinian leaders will have to provide a credible answer to that question. Meanwhile, the United States, our Quartet partners, and the Arab states will need to continue every effort to get beyond the current impasse.

COMMENT: Who is meant with our? Hamas is a political group that does not recognize Israel's right to exist. However, should the Palestinian Fatah recognize Israel's right to exist, that right to exist is not *ad infinitum*. Israel would have a right to exist only so long as Fatah says it has a right to exist, regardless of the contents of any peace treaty or settlement. The Islamic-Arabic concept of peace agreements is that they are only an interim measure to allow the Arabs time to re-gather their forces for another attack at the enemy, in this case, Israel. "Now let me say this" means that a devious lie is coming!

Here is the lie: Obama says that his regime will do everything to go beyond the current impasse. But nothing will happen because the partners are now a quartet with the Arab states. The outcome will be cacophony. On the surface Arab diplomacy is always melodious. Sub-surface it is always cacophony.

69. I recognize how hard this will be. Suspicion and hostility has been passed on for generations, and at times it has hardened. But I'm convinced that the majority of Israelis and Palestinians would rather look to the future than be trapped in the past. We see that spirit in the Israeli father whose son was killed by Hamas, who helped start an organization that brought together Israelis and Palestinians who had lost loved ones. That father said, "I gradually realized that the only hope for progress was to recognize the face of the conflict." We see it in the actions of a Palestinian who lost three daughters to Israeli shells in Gaza. "I have the right to feel angry," he said. "So many people were expecting me to hate. My answer to them is I shall not hate. Let us hope," he said, "for tomorrow. "

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? "I recognize how hard this will be." means that Barack Hussein Obama could care less about the outcome for Israel, as long as it is he who has success in bringing a Palestinian-Arab peace to Palestine, only intermittently known as Israel. Barack Hussein Obama sees it as his calling to be the savior of Palestinians. What happens to Israelis is not even of second-rate concern. Had Hamas not fired rockets at Israel, the father would not have lost his three daughters.

70. That is the choice that must be made — not simply in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, but across the entire region — a choice between hate and hope; between the shackles of the past and the promise of the future. It's a choice that must be made by leaders and by the people, and it's a choice that will define the future of a region that served as the cradle of civilization and a crucible of strife.

COMMENT: There will be a better choice when Barack Hussein Obama is no longer putative president. According to the teachings of Islam and the Koran, society cannot be separated from the words of Allah and Mohammed. Therefore, the hate that is expressed by Mohammed and the Koran is the hope of the Arab-Islamic world. The promise of the future is the shackles of Mohammed's teachings. Free choice is non-existent in Islamic states.

71. For all the challenges that lie ahead, we see many reasons to be hopeful. In Egypt, we see it in the efforts of young people who led protests. In Syria, we see it in the courage of those who brave bullets while chanting, "peaceful, peaceful." In Benghazi, a city threatened with destruction, we see it in the courthouse square where people gather to celebrate the freedoms that they had never known. Across the region,

those rights that we take for granted are being claimed with joy by those who are prying loose the grip of an iron fist.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Hopefulness of the young in Egypt is now being crushed by the ruling military that is supported by the Muslim Brotherhood. Hopefulness of the young in Syria is being killed every day by President Assad with support from Iran. Benghazi may no longer be under constant attack by Gaddafi's forces, but that does not mean that the hopefulness of the youth has the upper hand. The young in these areas may be able to pry themselves loose from a political grip. In the long run, that grip will be replaced by the grip of the ideology Islam as voiced by the prophet Mohammed via the Sharia and the Koran and executed by the Muslim Brotherhood. This will result in a union of government and a religion (?) like in Iran that degrades both the government and the religion, and causes the total control of people.

72. For the American people, the scenes of upheaval in the region may be unsettling, but the forces driving it are not unfamiliar. Our own nation was founded through a rebellion against an empire. Our people fought a painful Civil War that extended freedom and dignity to those who were enslaved. And I would not be standing here today unless past generations turned to the moral force of nonviolence as a way to perfect our union — organizing, marching, protesting peacefully together to make real those words that declared our nation: “We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal.”

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Who is meant with our? The United States of America was founded through the American Revolutionary War against Great Britain and established on the principles written down in *The Declaration of Independence* and the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Barack Hussein Obama is standing where he is and giving this Israeli Death Speech because he violated and is continuing to violate the law of the *Constitution* (Article II, Section 1, Clause 5) that enumerates the qualifications to be a president of the United States of America. That is the truth!

73. Those words must guide our response to the change that is transforming the Middle East and North Africa — words which tell us that repression will fail, and that tyrants will fall, and that every man and woman is endowed with certain inalienable rights.

COMMENT: Who is meant with our? These words are an excellent guide. However Islam, Mohammed, and the Koran do not propagandize the **self-evident truth that all men are created equal**. It is particularly not a self-evident truth regarding Jews. Jews are not and will never be equal to Arabs because Mohammed and the Koran say that they will never be equal. Indeed, they must be exterminated. Barack Hussein Obama

as a Muslim and member of the Ummah should and does know this. Barack Hussein Obama uses the quotation from the American *Declaration of Independence* to placate his critics and show them what magnitude of feeling for his fellow man Barack Hussein Obama has concerning human rights, whereas deep down in his bottomless no-soul pit, Barack Hussein Obama detests Jews and Israel.

74. It will not be easy. There's no straight line to progress, and hardship always accompanies a season of hope. But the United States of America was founded on the belief that people should govern themselves. And now we cannot hesitate to stand squarely on the side of those who are reaching for their rights, knowing that their success will bring about a world that is more peaceful, more stable, and more just.

COMMENT: Who is meant with we? Barack Hussein Obama's straight line, however, is Israel's destruction. Barack Hussein Obama's concept of the world is more instability, more injustice, and more non-peace because socialism /communism has never proved that it functions. Socialism/communism exterminates its adversaries, just like the Islamic teaching in the Koran advocates the extermination of all of those peoples who are not Muslim.

75. Thank you very much, everybody.

COMMENT: Thank you for listening to my death sentence for Israel.

76. Thank you.

COMMENT: I said THANK YOU. You can now place adoration on me and kiss my feet! I am your Wahhabism leader in the United States of America.³⁹

END 1:00 P. M. EDT

³⁹ Wahhabism is a religious movement in Islam that advocates the purging of Islam from the impurity of branch beliefs and the spread of Islam throughout the world. It is the only allowed form of Islam in Saudi Arabia due to the fact that it was developed in the 18th century by Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab from Najd, Saudi Arabia.

Closing Statement

In Don Fredrick's *The Obama Timeline* for the month of May 2011, at Colony14.net, there are many valuable presentations and comment thereto of views by major internet and non-internet journalists and commentators that prove that Barack Hussein Obama's speech on May 19, is the present zenith of a Barack-Hussein-Obama-anti-Israel policy that was already voiced in campaign for the United States Senate. Barack Hussein Obama has always been extremely clear in his anti-Israel statements. He always covered himself by stating that they were misinterpreted or that they were the fault of someone else on his staff. It is documented by Debbie Schlussel that already in his senatorial campaign in 2004, Barack Hussein Obama "denounced Israel's fence—which he called a 'wall' and 'barrier to peace' – to keep out terrorists and favored working with Yasser Arafat."⁴⁰ The wall-as-a-barrier-to-peace metaphor has come full circle in Barack Hussein Obama's May 19 speech. Barack Hussein Obama always blames others for the controversies he initiates. The same process took place in his address to the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) on May 22, 2011, when he remarked – Barack Hussein Obama is always making remarks – more than half-way through the speech that "My position has been misrepresented several times"⁴¹ Yet in his following clarification there was no clarification. The positions that this author maintains in this commentary critique are equally applicable to what Barack Hussein Obama said in his Remarks at the AIPAC Policy Conference on May 22, 2011. His words were full of forked-tongue utterances, double talk, and falsities. Barack Hussein Obama's intent at the AIPAC Conference was nothing more fishing for votes and financial support from the American Jewish Community for his re-election in 2012. It is pitiful that the AIPAC sheeple applauded his forked-tongue statements.

Concerning anti-Semitism wherever it occurs, Jennifer Rubin of the Washington Post writes, "... it seems the White House isn't terribly engaged on the issue. For if the president is not raising the issue with leaders, not giving speeches in the countries where the problem exists, and not employing tangible carrots and sticks to impact the conduct of governments, the U.S. really has no effective policy to combat anti-Semitism."⁴²

⁴⁰ <http://www.debbieschlussel.com/3356/exclusive-obamas-nation-of-islam-staffers-edward-said-inflexible-jews-causing-mid-east-conflict-an-obama-insider-reveals-the-real-barack/>.
<http://www.debbieschlussel.com/3610/obama-pawns-off-controversial-statements-on-low-level-staff-hmmm-where-have-we-heard-this-before/>.

⁴¹ <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/05/22/remarks-president-aipac-policy-conference-2011>.

⁴² http://voices.washingtonpost.com/right-turn/2011/01/hannah_rosenthal_and_combattin.html.

An excellent presentation of Barack Hussein Obama's sentence of death to Israel is Caroline Glick's article Obama's Abandonment of America in which she sees Barack Hussein Obama's speech as having two aims:

1. "Quite simply, Obama's speech represents the effective renunciation of the US's right to have and to pursue national interests. Consequently, his speech imperils the real interests that the US has in the region - first and foremost, the US's interest in securing its national security."
2. "Conceptually and substantively, Obama abandoned the US alliance with Israel. The rest of his words - security arrangements, demilitarized Palestinian state, and the rest of it - were nothing more than filler to please empty-headed liberal Jews in America so they can feel comfortable signing checks for him again."⁴³

Barack Hussein Obama's anti-Jewish rhetoric is aimed at Israel, the only functioning, independent, sovereign republic with a democratic form of government in the Middle East. Examples of this non-ceasing rhetoric can be found at a number of internet sites.

The most recent high-profile responses to Barack Hussein Obama are from Nobel Prize Laureate Elie Wiesel,⁴⁴ President of the World Jewish Congress, Ronald Lauder,⁴⁵ and former Congressman and former Mayor of New York City, the Honorable Ed Koch.⁴⁶ Even the media in Australia considers Barack Hussein Obama's anti-Israel hysteria dangerous and destructive. If the Israel-hating policies of the Barack Hussein Obama Regime are being reported in Australia, then his tirades do not enjoy just regional reception, for Australia has a formidable Islamic population and Muslim insurgency problem.⁴⁷

Barack Hussein Obama is teaming up with Israel's enemies. In Barack Hussein Obama telling Israel that its must stop the occupation of Palestinian territory, he is telling the world that the Gaza Strip and the West Jordan Land was always Palestinian, which it never was. When Barack Hussein Obama speaks of his commitment to the right of Jews to exist, he is telling the Palestinians and the Arabs that Israel does not have the

⁴³ <http://www.carolineglick.com/e/2011/05/obamas-abandonment-of-america.php>.

⁴⁴ http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note_id=381726563142.

⁴⁵ <http://www.haaretz.com/news/ronald-lauder-s-letter-to-obama-1.284322>.

⁴⁶ <http://www.rjchq.org/Blog/BlogDetail.aspx?ID=b9d11c45-adca-41b1-8b2f-7b84b63e756c>.

⁴⁷ <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/opinion/obamas-anti-israeli-hysteria-dangerous-and-destructive/story-e6frg6zo-1225846153221>.

right to exist, only Jews do. The following are anti-Israel standpoints in Barack Hussein Obama's politics vis-à-vis Israel.

- Non-acceptance of Israel building legitimate settlements
- Appeasement of the Arabic politics of driving Israel into the Mediterranean Sea. Barack Hussein Obama's views lead the Arabs and the Palestinians to believe that is a possible reality.
- There is no strong stance against radical Islam (Was there was ever a non-radical Islam?)
- Premature withdrawal of American troops from Iraq, thus leading to defeat and no victory and a foothold of anti-Israel elements in Iraq.
- Subversion of President Hamid Karzai's Afghanistan government, particularly through the rules of engagement that allow the Taliban to conduct meaningful attacks which will eventually lead to the establishment of anti-Israeli elements in Afghanistan.
- Presenting no stance against Turkey, a NATO nation that is advancing towards becoming an Islamic state which would be another Mediterranean threat to Israel's existence.
- Silent advocating of Hezbollah's control over Lebanon.
- Refusal to support the Green, democratic movement in Iran in 2009 and to oust the anti-Israel mullahs.
- Refusal to demand that Israel's arch enemy, Iran's Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, be deposed from power.
- Support of the Muslim Brotherhood, which is the best organized Islamic movement in North Africa and the oldest movement in the Middle east.
- Belief that the Muslim Brotherhood is North Africa's future as voiced by Barack Hussein Obama's Director of National Intelligence James R. Clapper who claimed that the Brotherhood was "largely secular." At a Senate hearing in February

2011, James Clapper said the Brotherhood had no “specific agenda” and was “heterogeneous,” consisting of multiple political wings.⁴⁸

Israel is being surrounded by Muslim Brotherhood organizations and policy-related Islamic dictators: Hamas in Gaza, Hezbollah in Lebanon, Baschar Hafiz al-Assad in Syria and the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt. Supporting them all is Iran and its threat of bombing Israel out of existence. Barack Hussein Obama's counter policy is telling Israel that it cannot depend on his regime and will have to defend itself without any allies.⁴⁹ Those in the United States of America and in Barack Hussein Obama's Regime who support him, particularly in his anti-Israel politics, are nothing more than Jew-haters and Obama saliva lickers and swallowers!

The kingdom of Saudi Arabia is not mentioned in Barack Hussein Obama's verbal holocaust speech against the Jewish nation. There are a number of reasons for the cradle of Islam not being mentioned:

- The Saudi king and government are anti-revolutionary reform.
- The Saudi king and government are anti-democratic.
- The Saudi King and government assist Kuwait and Bahrain in sweeping the revolutionary uprisings clean.
- Barack Hussein Obama as a member of the Ummah cannot voice any political opinion that is opposed to the opinion of the King of Saudi Arabia to whom Barack Hussein Obama bowed in 2009 as a sign of humility, respect, and obedience. The Saudi king is the leader of Wahhabism, the movement to spread Islam throughout the world.
- The primary reason that Saudi Arabia was left out of the speech is because the Barack Hussein Obama Regime and the Saudi Arabian Regime are conducting a large weapons deal and are silently negotiating defense agreements.⁵⁰


The Islamic world that surrounds Israel is threatening Israel's existence daily.

⁴⁸ <http://blogs.abcnews.com/thenote/2011/02/director-of-national-intelligence-james-clapper-muslim-brotherhood-largely-secular.html>.

⁴⁹ <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2011/feb/17/obamas-anti-israel-agenda/>.

⁵⁰ <http://www.businessweek.com/ap/financialnews/D9NAC2D00.htm>. This is a truthful German source: <http://www.heise.de/tp/artikel/34/34796/1.html>

Barack Hussein Obama in the disguise of hope and self-determination is doing nothing except offering Israel a drink of water from the Arabic Spring.



(Source: <http://robertariail.com/2011/05/18/poisoning-the-arab-spring/>. The cartoon is used by permission of Mr. Robert Ariail, Editorial Cartoonist. Mr. Ariail has a treasure of political cartoons that are straight to the point. Mr. Ariail's Homepage is <http://robertariail.com/>.)

Frederick William Dame
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True

May 24, 2011

(Author's Note: I have tried to locate the cartoonist of the cartoon on page 3 via internet searches, but all searches turned out negatively. The only source that I have is the source listed under that cartoon. Should the cartoonist at some time contact me and request that I remove the cartoon from the essay, I shall do so and post a corrected pdf document.)