

A Safe Bet On Obama's Future

In the course of maintaining *The Obama Timeline* I get my share of emails denouncing my efforts, most of which fall along the lines of, "You're a Nazi and a racist and your mother should have aborted you!"

Occasionally the author of the email is able to complete a sentence or two without the use of vulgarity and profanity—although it seems quite difficult for Obama supporters to avoid the use of UPPERCASE FOR EMPHASIS! Here is one example:

"The term [natural born citizen] is not defined in the Constitution and has never been applied in such a way that it would disqualify Obama. If you believe it does, then you should have taken it to court BEFORE the election. In the aftermath, it's just sour grapes."

Inasmuch as he (or she) managed to avoid references to mass murderers (Hitler and Planned Parenthood), I thought a reply was warranted. This was my response:

Regarding "*The term is not defined in the Constitution...*"

It is correct that the term "natural born citizen" is not defined in the U.S. Constitution. (Nor are hundreds of other terms.) That is, of course, the whole point of wanting the issue to go to the U.S. Supreme Court for a ruling. The Court would then be obligated to review relevant contemporaneous historical documents to determine what James Madison and the other Founding Fathers meant by the term. The Court would also have to recognize that an earlier draft of the document required only that presidents be native born, and that John Jay wrote George Washington to request that the requirement be changed to *natural born* to further remove foreign influence (via the citizenship of the president's parents).

An objective review of the historical use of the term natural born citizen tells us that the Court would have no choice but to rule against Obama, a decision supported by the fact that the natural born citizen clause contains a grandfather exception *that makes no sense whatsoever* if natural born means only native born. *That* is why Obama is fighting the eligibility lawsuits. He can count on his lackeys Sotomayor and Kagan to vote for him and against the Constitution, but he cannot count on all the others—who he so "courageously" insulted during his 2010 State of the Union address. Obama has to keep the issue from the Supreme Court. *If he were confident he would win he would not be fighting the legal challenges.* (One may wonder how Vice President Joe Biden feels about the extraordinary efforts being pursued to keep him from becoming president.)

No court has ever issued a ruling on the merits of the eligibility challenges against Obama. In every case the court has taken the easy way out and denied standing to the plaintiffs. They are afraid to touch the core issue because they know—or at least

suspect—that Obama would lose, and they fear nationwide riots if he were forced out of office. (Of course, they no doubt also fear threats against their own lives.) What they believe is an act to “save the country” is in fact an act to destroy the U.S. Constitution.

“The term is not defined in the Constitution *and has never been applied in such a way that it would disqualify Obama.*”

That is incorrect. All *elected* presidents before Obama were either covered by the grandfather clause in Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 of the U.S. Constitution or were, as required, natural born citizens, with two U.S.-citizen parents. There was one fraudulent occupant of the White House prior to Obama: Chester A. Arthur. Arthur was not an elected president; he was a vice-president who took office because of the assassination of President James Garfield. Arthur hid the fact that his father was not a U.S. citizen at the time of his birth. (His father was naturalized about 15 years after Chester was born.) Like Obama, Chester Arthur was a British citizen at his birth because of his father’s British citizenship. *Arthur went so far as to burn documents in an attempt to prevent the truth from being known.* (Unfortunately for Arthur, Photoshop and Adobe illustrator did not exist in those days, and he did not have talking heads at MSNBC, CNN, NBC, ABC, CBS, and Fox covering for him.) If “natural born citizen” means nothing more than having been born on U.S. soil, without regard to the citizenship of the parents (as Obama supporters claim), then one must ask, “Why did Arthur hide his father’s citizenship? Why did he burn documents?”

”If you believe it [the natural born citizen clause] does [mean that Obama is ineligible to serve as president], then you should have taken it to court BEFORE the election.”

The issue was in fact taken to court before the election, and the courts ruled that the plaintiffs had no standing. Thousands of letters were written to legislators, who ignored the issue because a deal was made between the Republican Party and the Democrat Party: “We’ll let Obama slide if you let McCain slide.” That was why the leftist media tried so hard to get McCain to defeat Romney in the primaries. All the suck-up “maverick” stories were not because the media liked or respected McCain (as became obvious after the primaries were over), they were intended to make McCain the GOP candidate in order to diffuse Obama’s birth issue—and because the Democrats and the leftist media believed McCain would be easier for Obama to defeat than Romney or Huckabee or anyone else. The U.S. Senate even passed a non-binding Resolution 511 which “declared” McCain a natural born citizen, stating in part, “Whereas John Sidney McCain, III, was born to American citizens on an American military base in the Panama Canal Zone in 1936: Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That John Sidney McCain, III, is a ‘natural born Citizen’ under Article II, Section 1, of the Constitution of the United States.” Obama was a co-sponsor of that Resolution. (Interestingly, the resolution noted that McCain was born to American citizens (plural), a statement that does not apply to Obama. In endorsing Resolution 511 Obama therefore foolishly declared himself ineligible to serve as president.)

Obama supporters gloat that the “birthers”—who should more correctly be called “Constitutionalists” because they certainly acknowledge that Obama was born—keep losing lawsuits. Dozens of Obama eligibility lawsuits have been filed, but the lower courts have refused to address the core issue and have simply ruled that the plaintiffs have no standing because they have shown no specific “harm.” Obama has therefore never won his arguments in court, he has avoided having the arguments against him heard in court.

At least one eligibility lawsuit was filed after the election and after Obama resigned his Senate seat but *before* Obama was sworn in as president. He was therefore a private citizen at the time he was served. Obama refused the repeated subpoenas, thus automatically placing himself in default and granting standing to the plaintiffs. Judge David Carter, a U.S. Marine, initially appeared to be sympathetic to the plaintiffs and was proceeding with the case. Then suddenly Carter had a new law clerk—a clerk who just happened to have been an employee of the Perkins Coie law firm team that represented Obama, a team that included Robert Bauer (Obama’s current White House counsel and husband of Anita “I love Chairman Mao” Dunn). At the very next hearing, Carter’s demeanor noticeably changed and he ruled the plaintiffs had no standing. (Somehow they lost standing after Carter got his new law clerk, who some believe actually wrote Carter’s opinion for him. Can you spell i-n-t-i-m-i-d-a-t-i-o-n?)

That lawsuit went to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals a few days ago—and conveniently for Obama the 9th is the most liberal of all the appeals courts. The three-judge panel will also likely rule that the plaintiffs do not have standing in the issue. But one of the plaintiffs was on the 2008 ballot as a presidential candidate of a minor political party, so it is impossible for him *not* to have standing—clearly he was harmed by the presence of an ineligible candidate on the same ballot. (Obama supporters argue that the minor candidates had no chance to win the election anyway, but that is of course irrelevant. Walter Mondale had no chance of defeating Ronald Reagan in 1984 but the election was still held.)

At the 9th Circuit Court Obama was represented by U.S. attorneys paid by the taxpayers. That is clearly improper because he was a private citizen when the action was filed against him. He therefore should use private attorneys paid out of his own pocket. (That issue was raised during the appeal.)

During the appeal the judges suggested that a challenge to a candidate’s eligibility must come early enough in the campaign for the courts to have time to address the issue, but after the election it is an issue for Congress to decide. Of course, when letters are written to Senators and Congressmen, they then reply that it is a matter for the courts to decide—when they even bother to respond to those letters. Astoundingly, the judges in effect stated that if a candidate can hide his fraud long enough to get elected, the nation is stuck with the result. So much for the rule of law.

Assuming the appeal is denied, it will then—financial support permitting—make its way

to the Supreme Court. There, the Justices have already displayed shameless behavior, with the Obama-appointed Sotomayor and Kagan refusing to recuse themselves from other Obama eligibility cases despite their clear conflict of interest. (If a ruling goes against Obama, they lose their jobs because their appointments to the Court would have been illegal.) The Supreme Court refused to hear *Kerchner v. Obama* because no more than three of the Justices would agree to hear the case, and four are needed for the case to proceed. (I will leave it to the reader to decide which of the Justices lack the courage to deal with the issue.)

The entire timeline of events is a shameful episode in the history of the United States. We have an illegal usurper in the White House, who is probably still a citizen of Indonesia. When he returned to Hawaii after having been adopted by his Indonesian step-father, Lolo Soetoro, he almost certainly did not, upon turning age 18, go through the naturalization process to establish U.S. citizenship. If that is the case, Obama is not only ineligible to serve as president, he was ineligible to serve as U.S. Senator from Illinois; Senators need not be natural born citizens, but they must at least be U.S. citizens. (If Obama did go through the naturalization process he became a naturalized U.S. citizen. He would still be ineligible to serve as president but not ineligible to serve as U.S. Senator.)

Toss in campaign fraud—Obama collected illegal foreign contributions during the 2008 campaign and also knowingly set up illegal Internet gambling operations by staging contests where a campaign contribution of \$5 could win the donor a chance to meet with Obama in person—and it is clear the thug-in-chief belongs in jail. The number of laws broken, and the number of people involved in the fraud, could be astounding. After Obama is exposed there may be an indictment under the RICO statute as well as a class action lawsuit demanding a refund of the \$750 million in campaign cash that was illegally collected. Some people donated \$1.00 to his campaign for the sole purpose of being able to have standing and be part of the eventual class action lawsuit—but they’ll have to find a judge with enough integrity and courage to grant standing. Oh, and don’t forget Social Security fraud for using the Social Security number (042-68-4425) of a dead Connecticut man.

I would ask, “Who is willing to bet \$1 million that I am wrong?” but that would be illegal...

Don Fredrick
May 7, 2011