

## CASE CLOSED

### Background

On December 16, 2013 an *obot*<sup>1</sup> who goes by the signature *smrstrauss* wrote three statement replies to the posting of the essay response to **TRUTHFUL COMMENTARY CONCERNING SOME RESPONSES TO AN OPEN LETTER TO [Obama Conspiracy Theories](http://www.obamac Conspiracy Theories)** AT [HTTP://WWW.OBAMACONSPIRACY.ORG/BIRTHEERS-FROM-A-TO-Z/#0](http://www.obamac Conspiracy Theories). The statement responses can be read at [http://righttruth.typepad.com/right\\_truth/2013/12/truthful-commentary.html#comments](http://righttruth.typepad.com/right_truth/2013/12/truthful-commentary.html#comments).

The comments by *smrstrauss* cannot be left to stand without a reply to them. Not replying to the preposterous *smrstrauss* comments would be an insult to the truth and a disregard of the facts. The *smrstrauss* comments are repeated below in Times New Roman Font 12 and indented. The *smrstrauss* grammar discrepancies are noted with (sic: correction). The TRUTHFUL ANSWERS thereto are in Arial Font 12, and not indented.

### The *smrstrauss* Statement Responses and the TRUTHFUL ANSWERS

#### Smrstrauss Statement Response 1

The “born in Kenya” story is the height of the loony side of the birther (sic: Birther) movement. It is based on forgeries like that of Lucas D. Smith, and falsifications—such as the claim that Obama’s Kenyan grandmother (sic: step-grandmother) said that he was born in Kenya— (sic: —) when she actually said right on the same tape that he was born IN HAWAII, and she said in another interview that the first that her family in Kenya had heard of Obama’s birth was in a letter FROM HAWAII.

Lucas D. Smith, a convicted felon, claimed that he went to Kenya and got Obama’s birth certificate at a hospital in Mombasa. But Lucas D. Smith has constantly refused to show proof that he, Smith, had ever gone to Kenya. All that he would have to do would be to show a Kenya stamp on a page of a passport, but Lucas D. Smith has refused to do that, constantly, and he has also constantly

---

<sup>1</sup> Normally the nomenclature *obot*, which is a contraction for *Obama robot*, is capitalized. However, I never capitalize *obot* because an *obot* cannot stand upright due to the fact that there is no spine of veracity in an *obot*’s false statements and behavior. On the other hand, Birther(s) is always capitalized because Birthers stand upright and base their positions on the truth and documented fact. This supports the Birthers’ stature as American Patriots. The author is proud to be a Birther!

refused to say why he will not show that proof. (Moreover, his “birth certificate” uses US date formats [month/day/year] and not the day/month/year format used in Kenya.)

Laying aside for a moment the overwhelming proof that Obama was born in Hawaii, the evidence that Obama was NOT born in Kenya is also very strong. There were a grand total of 21 people who came to the USA from Kenya in 1961. Of these only seven were US citizens. And (Do not begin a sentence with and. It is childish. Barack Hussein Obama and Michelle Obama do this all of the time!) the birther (sic: Birther) myth has always been that Obama’s parents went there and returned by plane, but only one person came to the USA from Kenya in 1961 by plane and that person was, wait for it, NOT a US citizen. And (Do not begin a sentence with and. It is childish. Barack Hussein Obama and Michelle Obama do this all of the time!) Obama’s father did not go to Kenya in 1961 either (making it unlikely that his mother did, since travel late in pregnancy was rare, and even more rare (sic: rarer) without the husband going along). WND has proved with a FOI Act request that Obama senior stayed in Hawaii throughout 1961.

And (Do not begin a sentence with and. It is childish. Barack Hussein Obama and Michelle Obama do this all of the time!) the Kenyan government investigated the “born in Kenya” story, and found that it was not true.

“Jon Chessoni, a first secretary at the Kenyan Embassy in Washington, can’t understand why his office gets so many baseless questions about whether (sic: whether or not [only two choices.] Whether alone is used with more than two choices.) Barack Obama was born in Kenya.

“ (sic: ‘) It’s madness,” (sic: ’) said Chessoni on Monday.“ (sic: ‘) His father, in 1961, would not even have been in Kenya. When this matter first came up, the Kenyan government did its research and confirmed that these are all baseless claims.”” (sic: ’”)

[http://washingtonindependent.com/53654/forged ...](http://washingtonindependent.com/53654/forged...)

## TRUTHFUL ANSWER

The contention by smrstrauss that "(Obama's Kenyan step-grandmother said) right on the same tape that he was born IN HAWAII, and she said in another interview that the first that her family in Kenya had heard of Obama’s birth was in a letter FROM HAWAII." is not the true representation of the situation. It reeks of being selective information and not the complete information. This is often the case when the so-called facts are reported by obots!

We read the following about the taped interview with Obama's step-grandmother at <http://www.wnd.com/2009/08/107524/>.

Sarah Hussein Obama, who is not a blood relative of the president, is the third wife of Obama’s paternal grandfather. According to New York Times columnist Nicholas Kristof, who visited her village during the presidential campaign, the grandmother is illiterate and doesn’t know when she was born. Several news reports say she was born in 1922.

The controversy over the tape centers on the interpreter, who at the end interjects repeatedly that the grandmother said Obama was born in Hawaii.

One of the WND sources who listened to the tape knows Sarah Obama and has met with her in her home village. The other holds a respected position in the Kenyan government.

I listened to the tape over and over again, and I can confirm from Sarah's own confession that Barack Obama was born in Kenya in her presence."

The source said that while Sarah Obama's voice is hard to hear on the recording, "she admits of actually having been there at his birth."

He said that while the people in the room with Sarah Obama "tried as much as they [could] to change the tone of the whole story ... to me it seems someone is coaching her from the background and seemingly trying to guide her on what to say."

The Kenyan government source agreed.

"I have listened to the tape," he said. "The preacher asked whether Barack Obama was born in Mombasa, and the translator asked the same. When she said Mombasa, it was like a surprise, and those there thought she could not have meant to say Mombasa."

The source said that at that point "they began insisting Hawaii was where Barack Obama was born."

Sarah Obama can be heard uttering "Mombasa" in response to McRae's question about where Obama was born.

*To listen to the audio, click [HERE](#) or, if needed, [HERE](#).*

At this point a confused discussion erupts in the background in Kogelo.

McRae explained the disruption as follows:

Though, some few younger relatives, including Mr. Ogombe, have obviously been versed to counter such facts with the purported information from the American news media that Obama was born in Hawaii, Ms. Sarah Hussein Obama was very adamant that her grandson, Senator Barack Husein (sic: Hussein) Obama, was born in Kenya, and that she was present and witnessed his birth in Kenya, not the United States.

When Mr. Ogombe attempted to counter Sarah Obama's clear responses to the question, verifying the birth of Senator Obama in Kenya, I asked Mr. Ogombe, how she could be present at Barack Obama's birth if the Senator was born in Hawaii, but Ogombe would not answer the question, instead he repeatedly tried to insert that, "No, No, No. He was born in the United States!"

But during the conversation, Ms. Sarah Hussein Obama never changed her reply that she was indeed present when Senator Barack Obama was born in Kenya," McRae concluded.

[A second affidavit was sworn by Kenyan Anabaptist minister Rev. Kweli Shuhubia](#), a pseudonym chosen to protect his safety.

Shuhubia, a native evangelist and translator for the Anabaptist churches in Kenya, was present in Kogelo during the taped telephone conversation.

Shuhubia's recollection of the conversation agrees with McRae's and the interpretation of the interview by WND's sources in Kenya.

Bishop McRae asked Ms. Obama specifically, "Were you present when your grandson Barack Obama was born in Kenya?" This was asked to her in translation twice, and both times she specifically replied, "Yes."

It appeared Ms. Obama's relatives and her grandson, handling the translation, had obviously been versed to counter such facts with the purported information from the American news media that Obama was born in Hawaii. Despite this, Ms. Sarah Hussein Obama was very adamant that her grandson, Senator Barack Hussein Obama, was born in Kenya, and that she was present and witnessed his birth in Kenya, not the United States.

When Ms. Obama's grandson attempted to counter his grandmother's clear responses to the question, verifying the birth of Senator Obama in Kenya, Bishop McRae asked her grandson, how she could be present at Barack Obama's birth if the Senator was born in Hawaii, but the grandson would not answer the question, instead he repeatedly tried to insert that, "No, No, No. He was born in the United States!"

Shuhubia also testified in his affidavit that Sarah Obama "never changed her reply" that she was present in person when Senator Obama was born in Kenya.

He further testified that he traveled to Mombasa, Kenya, where he interviewed personnel at Coast Provincial Hospital

"I then had meetings with the Provincial Civil Registrar," he swore. "I learned there were records of Ann Dunham giving birth to Barack Hussein Obama, III, in Mombasa, Kenya, on Aug. 4, 1961.

"I spoke directly with an Official, the Principal Registrar, who openly confirmed the birthing records of Senator Barack H. Obama Jr. and his mother were present, however the file on Barack H. Obama Jr.'s birth in Kenya is top secret," he stated.<sup>2</sup>

## TRUTHFUL ANSWER

Obama's step-grandmother did, indeed, say he was born in Kenya – several times. Yes, she then "corrected" herself to say he was born in Hawaii... but *only after she was forced to do so* by others in the room who coached her and who were upset when she stated the truth about the Kenyan birth. The recording of the interview clearly demonstrates that she was "pressured to change her story."

Concerning Lucas D(aniel) Smith: It is totally possible that Lucas D(aniel) Smith was intending to place himself in the limelight and to make himself important. Such activity is quite like the activity of obots who conduct themselves in the same behavior by selectively presenting their so-called evidence and claiming that they are presenting what actually happened. Respective documents posted by Lucas D(aniel) Smith concerning the claimed birth of Barack Hussein Obama in Mombassa, Kenya on August 4, 1961 can be viewed and read at <http://de.scribd.com/Patriot1980/collections>. The readers are free to make their own opinions. However, of special importance is the following statement on page 31 of <http://de.scribd.com/doc/54035365/Official-Report-from-the-Kenya->

---

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.wnd.com/2009/08/107524/>, accessed on 19 December 2013.

[Parliament-National-Assembly-for-March-25-2010-James-Orengo](#) "If America was living in a situation where they feared ethnicity and did not see itself as a multiparty state or nation, how could a young man born here in Kenya, who is not even a native American, become the President of America?" (See also: <http://de.scribd.com/doc/31498652/Kenyan-Ministers-Orengo-Khalwale-Obama-born-in-Kenya-not-native-American-should-repatriate>)

The statement by James Orengo was made in March, 2010. Mr. Jon Chessoni made his statement on Monday, August 3, 2009, eight months before the above statement in the Kenyan Parliamentary records! Of course, "Jon Chessoni, a first secretary at the Kenyan Embassy in Washington" was acting upon orders he had received from the Kenyan government. Evidently the Kenyan government did no counseling of Mr. Mr. James Orengo!

As reported by <http://www.wnd.com/2011/05/297273/#u2JPTxYZYewxTmZc.99> "Emmanuel Kisombe, the permanent secretary in the Ministry for Immigration and Registration of Persons, has stated:

'We have instructions from the Head of Civil Service and Secretary to the Cabinet carrying out directions of the Cabinet sub-committee on Security and Foreign Relations to investigate and report on efficacy of reports that Senator Barack Obama, the Democratic Party aspirant in the United States could be Kenyan-born.'

Kisombe said the Kenyan government investigation was prompted by 'numerous intelligence reports that [Obama] might have been born in Mombasa at the Lady Grigg Maternity Wing of the Coast Provincial Hospital.'

Kisombe noted that the Kenyan government's inability to find Obama birth records was not conclusive, because 'the information we in the ministry have is that some documents have been removed by unknown persons at unknown dates or are missing from birth registry records thus denting the prospects of uncovering the facts of this matter.'

It is important to realize that because birth records concerning Obama's being born in Kenya cannot be found, because "*some documents have been removed by unknown persons at unknown dates or are missing from birth registry records thus denting the prospects of uncovering the facts of this matter*" does not mean, as smrstrauss contends, "*that the Kenyan government did its research and confirmed that these are all baseless claims.*" This statement is another example of smrstrauss selectively stating something as fact when, indeed, it is not the truth.

Concerning flight travel to and from Kenya in 1961: The statement by smrstrauss that "There were a grand total of 21 people who came to the USA from Kenya in 1961. Of these only seven were US citizens. And the birther) myth has always been that Obama's parents went there and returned by plane, but only one person came to the USA from Kenya in 1961 by plane and that person was, wait for it, NOT a US citizen. And Obama's father did

not go to Kenya in 1961 either (making it unlikely that his mother did, since travel late in pregnancy was rare, and even more rare (sic: rarer) without the husband going along). WND has proved with a FOI Act request that Obama senior stayed in Hawaii throughout 1961." holds true for only 51 weeks of 1961. The Immigration and Naturalization Service travel records for foreign flights into Hawaii from Kenya in 1961 for the week of Obama's birth are missing. (<http://www.birtherreport.com/2012/03/immigration-records-for-foreign-flights.html>.) The statement by smrstrauss is a selective statement that does not tell all of the facts.

\*\*\*

## **Smrstrauss Statement Response 2**

The "two citizen parents are required myth is also wrong. (sic: The "two-citizen-parents-are-required myth")

"What is a natural born citizen? Clearly, someone born within the United States or one of its territories is a natural born citizen." (Senate Judiciary Committee hearing on OCTOBER 5, 2004)--Senator Orrin G. Hatch (R-UT).

"Under the longstanding English common-law principle of jus soli, persons born within the territory of the sovereign (other than children of enemy aliens or foreign diplomats) are citizens from birth. Thus, those persons born within the United States are "natural born citizens" and eligible to be President. Much less certain, however, is whether children born abroad of United States citizens are "natural born citizens" eligible to serve as President ..."---- (sic: --) Edwin Meese, et al, THE HERITAGE GUIDE TO THE CONSTITUTION (2005) [Edwin Meese was Ronald Reagan's attorney general, and the Heritage Foundation is a well-known Conservative organization.]

"Some birthers (sic: Birthers) imagine that there is a difference between being a "citizen by birth" or a "native citizen" on the one hand (sic: hand,) and a "natural born" citizen on the other. "Eccentric" is too kind a word for this notion, which is either daft or dishonest. All three terms are identical in meaning."--- (sic: --) The Wall Street Journal.

The above presentation of the natural born citizen clause by smrstrauss is not correct. It is wrong! The statements there to by Senator Orrin Hatch and Edwin Meese are wrong! The *Wall Street Journal* is wrong! Any definition other than that given by Emmerich de Vattel in the *Law of Nations* is wrong!!! The Founding Fathers knew what the natural born citizen clause meant. They did not have to define it in the *Constitution for the United States of America*. (<http://www.colony14.net/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/thecolonialfrenchconnection.pdf>).

Attention all obots! The truth is as follows:

On the floor of the U.S. House of Representatives in 1862, Congressman John Bingham—the “father of the 14th Amendment”—stated, “All from other lands, who by the terms of [congressional] laws and a compliance with their provisions become naturalized, are adopted citizens of the United States; all other persons born within the Republic, **of parents owing allegiance to no other sovereignty**, are natural born citizens. Gentleman can find no exception to this statement touching natural-born citizens except what is said in the Constitution relating to Indians.”

In 1866 Bingham stated, “Every human being born within the jurisdiction of the United States **of parents not owing allegiance to any foreign sovereignty** is, in the language of your Constitution itself, a natural born citizen.”

Bingham’s definition was never disputed by other Congressmen. (Note: Obama supporters—including attorneys filing briefs with the U.S. Supreme Court—have omitted the words “of parents” when quoting Bingham’s statement, in a shameful and intentional effort to mislead.)

Additionally, all obots are challenged to explain this Constitutional text (Article II, Section 1, Clause 5):

"No Person except a natural born Citizen, **or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution**, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any Person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States."

If "natural born citizen" means nothing more than born on U.S. soil, as obots claim, then what is the purpose of the highlighted "grandfather clause?" If the obots are correct, then it would have been enough for James Madison to have written:

"No Person except a natural born Citizen shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any Person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States."

It is interesting that smrstrauss trusts Edwin Meese and Senator Orrin Hatch more than James Madison and John Bingham. The question to smrstrauss is: Do obots agree with Edwin Meese and Senator Orinn Hatch on all other Constitutional issues as well?

English Common law has nothing to do with the meaning of natural born citizen as it is stated in the *Constitution for the United States of America*. When the Colonies became the United States of America, they were no longer under the rule of English common law.



\*\*\*

### **Smrstrauss Statement Response 3**

Obama has not spend (sic: spent) a single cent to keep anything secret. That story was all made up by birther (sic: Birther) sites. In fact, Obama showed both the Web images and the actual physical copies to the press of both his short form Hawaii birth certificate and his long form Hawaii birth certificate, and the officials of BOTH parties in Hawaii have repeatedly confirmed that they sent both of them to Obama and that all the facts, repeat, ALL the facts, on the copy that the White House put online are EXACTLY the same, that they MATCH, the facts on what they sent to him. (sic: This is a run-on sentence. It is grammatically wrong. The following is correct: In fact, Obama showed both the Web images and the actual physical copies of both his short form Hawaii birth certificate and his long form Hawaii birth certificate to the press. The officials of BOTH parties in Hawaii have repeatedly confirmed that they sent both of them to Obama and that all the facts, repeat, ALL the facts on the copy that the White House put online are EXACTLY the same. They MATCH the facts on what they sent to him.)

#### **TRUTHFUL ANSWER**

The question to smrstrauss is: What is meant by both parties? Political parties, Hawaiian administrative departments? Furthermore, Tim Adams, a former Senior Elections Clerk (2008) of the City and County of Honolulu Elections Commission, has stated in a sworn affidavit, "Senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division told me on multiple occasions that no Hawaii long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate existed for Senator Obama in the Hawaii Department of Health and there was no record that any such document had ever been on file in the Hawaii Department of Health or any other branch or department of the Hawaii government. In addition to the lack of a genuine Hawaii long-form birth certificate Adams notes that there was no evidence that Obama was born at any Hawaiian hospital. Senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division further told me on multiple occasions that Hawaii State government officials had made inquiries about Sen. Obama's birth records to officials at Queens Medical Center and Kapi'olani Medical Center in Honolulu and that neither hospital had any record of Senator Obama having been born there, even though Governor Abercrombie is now asserting and various Hawaii government officials continue to assert Barack Obama, Jr. was born at Kapi'olani Medical Center on Aug. 4, 1961. (<http://freedomoutpost.com/2013/07/hawaii-elections-official-signed-sworn-affidavit-that-obama-long-form-birth-certificate-doesnt-exist/> and supporting information at <http://cdn.freedomoutpost.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/110123adams1.pdf>).



It is true that Barack Hussein Obama did not spend a single cent of his money to keep anything secret. He has spent taxpayer money!

Concerning the information on the *birth certificates*: If the original information is forged, then the copies are also forged! Read: garbage in garbage out!

### **Conclusions:**

There are serious concluding statements.

1. There is no Birther myth. Firstly, the Birther position is that regardless where Barack Hussein Obama was born, he cannot be a natural born citizen! Secondly, his posted birth certificates are fraudulent. Even if they were original, documents, Barack Hussein Obama would not be a natural born citizen because Barack Hussein Obama Sr. was not a United States citizen
2. I am deeply impressed that smrstrauss refers to an article at <http://washingtonindependent.com/53654/forged>. Of course, that source is a bastion of truth and a cathedral of research journalism!
3. Senator Orrin Hatch and Edwin Meese do not have the constitutional authority to change the *Constitution*! No politician has that authority. Barack Hussein Obama does not have that authority.
4. I thank smrstrauss so much for explaining to me what a natural born citizen is according to obots.
5. Perhaps smrstrausse, should re-write Emmerich de Vattel's *Law of Nations* (<http://www.lonang.com/exlibris/vattel/index.html>)
6. Smrstrauss! Read Emmerich de Vattel's *Law of Nations*! Then, and only then, discuss its contents with this author! I have read and studied Emmerich de Vattel's *Law of Nations*! The book is a substantial basis for the ***Constitution for the United States of America***.
7. Perhaps smrstrauss might also want to re-write English law, which had nothing to do with the international law and American law concept of natural born citizen as stated in the *Constitution for the United States of America*, ... at least until smrstrauss, appeared on the international law scene as an expert.
8. To give smrstrauss an exercise in re-writing, this author suggests that smrstrausse start re-writing the legal and true information at <http://www.resonoelusono.com/NaturalBornCitizen.htm>.

9. This author's exchange with smrstrauss, has proven the truth of the Fool's Law which is now repeated for smrstrauss' understanding:

*Answer not a fool according to his folly, lest thou also be like unto him. – Proverbs 26:4 (King James version of the Holy Bible).*

The author has not answered smrstrauss according to smrstrauss' folly. The author has used fact and not selected contrivances.

Sometimes the Fool's Law is modernized by saying

"Never argue with a fool – they will drag you down to their level, and then beat you with experience."

10. Smrstrauss surely has a depth of experience! At the same time there are a number of discrepancies in *smrstrauss'* syntax to lead the author to the conclusion that smrstrauss has possibly received a dumbed-down education.

11. I must paraphrase Samuel Johnson: *Smrstrauss' comments are both good and original; but the parts that are good are not original and the parts that are original are not good.*

12. Smrstrauss has closed the case!

\*\*\*

John8:32

\*\*\*

Frederick William Dame  
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True  
December 20, 2013

\*\*\*

Suggested reading material with thoroughly documented sources:

- The Obama Timeline Part I at <http://www.theobamatimeline.com/id41.html>
- The Obama Timeline Part II at <http://www.theobamatimeline.com/id155.html>
- The Obama File at <http://www.theobamafile.com/>
- AtlasShrugs at <http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/>. Respective Obama information. Use the Archive.