

Frederick William Dame

(Exercising freedom of speech ... as long as it still exists.)

JUST THINKING

Number 1

Introductory Statement

Just Thinking is a new series that I have decided to write and that Don Fredrick of *The Obama Timeline* has been so kind to post. The *raison'être* is to take an item of news and state my own personal *I think* commentary, which will be indicated with the abbreviation **JT and will be highlighted in red**. The *Just Thinking* series will be sporadic and will not necessarily deal with a particular subject. In a *Just Thinking* Number there may be three or four different subject areas that will be presented. I intend to give the readers of *The Obama Timeline* and *Just Thinking*, my personal, non-documented views about themes from the past, themes at hand, and themes of the future. I emphasize that the thoughts presented will be my personal opinions only. Should they be the same or similar to those of the readers, then I am more than happy to be a member of your attuned thought group.

I do not write my essays in the first-person singular (I-form). However, because I do not intend that *Just Thinking* should be an essay format, I will use the I-form. The reader will receive the politically incorrect position that I formulate. I have said at many places, in speeches, and in commentaries, as well as essays, that *political correctness* is a form of lying and even more so, a form of brainwashing. The anti-Americans have used it for the past sixty years and the result has been that American elementary pupils, high schoolers, and so-called university students have been dumbed down! Political correctness uses behavior, ideas, language, and policies in such a manner as to not make any offense regarding age-related situations, belief, culture, disability, gender, ideology, occupation, race, religion, or sexual orientation. This is often executed to the excessive extent that the realities of life are buried in useless phrases. Thus, political correctness is negative. One can be politically incorrect and not be abusive and offensive. There are two good old English sayings: *If the shoe fits, wear it. If one has a different opinion, voice it.* I take the standpoint that *political incorrectness* is positive because it **includes** behavior, language, and ideas that are not constrained by

any perceived properness or regard about expressing bias or offending age-related situations, belief, culture, disability, gender, ideology, occupation, race, religion, or sexual orientation. Political incorrectness is the truth!

All future numbers of *Just Thinking* will have no introductory statement and no conclusion. The format is therefore, *in medias res* – a sort of jumping right into the matter.

I hope that you will enjoy the series, utilize it, and propagandize it and *The Obama Timeline* for the purposes of keeping American patriotism alive.

Frederick William Dame

Patriotic, Steadfast, and True

February 3, 2012.

In the January 2012 issue of *The Obama Timeline* at <http://www.colony14.net/id602.html> we read:

"On Al-Hayat TV, liberal Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg (an appointee of Bill Clinton) gives advice to the Egyptian people on drafting a constitution: 'I can't speak about what the Egyptian experience should be, because I'm operating under a rather old constitution. The United States, in comparison to Egypt, is a very new nation, and yet we have the oldest written constitution still in force in the world. ...You should certainly be aided by all the constitution writing that has gone on since the end of World War II. I would not look to the U.S. Constitution if I were drafting a constitution in the year 2012. I might look at the constitution of South Africa... Canada has a Charter of Rights and Freedoms; it dates from 1982. You would almost certainly look at the European Convention on Human Rights. Yes, why not take advantage of what there is elsewhere in the world?'"

JT: Based on (In)Justice Ruth Bader Ginsberg's logic, since she is operating under an old constitution, and therefore, thinks that is the reason any form of democracy in the new Egypt should not look to the *Constitution for the United States of America* for legal reasoning and legal justice, then no one should look towards (In)Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg for guidance and suggestions. She is also old, not that I have anything against old age. However, I do have

something against an American Supreme Court (In)Justice being so anti-American as to degrade the only living document of freedom that is still present in the world. Unlike the *Constitution for the United States of America*, Ruth Bader Ginsburg has not been able to be a force of stability in the surge of political waves.

American Patriots are very thankful to Lewinsky-Sex-crazed-Cigar-Wielding William Jefferson Clinton for his stupidity in nominating Ruth Bader Ginsburg for the position that she is now deriding and complaining about because she has to operate under an anachronistic piece of paper. Well, she won't have to wait long. Should the dictator Barack Hussein Obama be re-elected in November 2012, there will be no more *Constitution* on which to make judgments. Ruth BaderGinsburg, Sonia Sotomayor, and Elena Kagan will be operating under the confetti of the *Constitution*.

Let us face the situation. All three (In)Justices hate the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Elena Kagan threw it out of the Harvard University law course curriculum. Sonia Sotomayor would rather have the principles of La Raza as her guidelines, and Ruth Bader Ginsburg would rather have Ruth Bader Ginsburg as her own constitution.

These are only three of the myriad reasons why the United States of America is in danger of becoming extinct.

On February 2, 2012, Eric Holder appeared before the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee. He refuses to take responsibility for the Operation Fast and Furious. He also made a very interswe4sting statement. "... I'm not sure exactly how I found out about the term Fast and Furious."

JT: Eric Holder is the Attorney General of the United States of America. It is the Attorney General's job to uphold the laws of the United States of America and thus ensure that America's legal system functions fairly, justly, legally, and properly. Eric Holder evidently understands his position differently. He thinks that his responsibility is to recognize terms. Aha! So Mr. Holder, oops, Holder – the Mr. is reserved for men with a spine – does not know when he found out about the **term** *Fast and Furious*. This means that he is concerned with the terminology and not the illegality of the operation. I think that Holder should immediately be held in contempt of Congress,

arrested, and sequestered in a rubber-padded jail cell. Isn't that where people are kept who are incapable of understanding simple logic?

No one should demand that Eric Holder resign. Eric Holder should be impeached and the process should begin immediately! He is incapable of making that decision because he is no longer *compos mentis*, legally sane. On second thought, *compos mentis* is the complete Barack Hussein Obama regime. Are there enough rubber-padded cells for all of them? If there are not enough of these repositories in the United States of America, perhaps an agreement could be made with Russia to lease some cells in Siberia for a fee until they all pass away into lunatic land.

That would be very much cheaper than what it is costing the United States of America now.

In the Georgia ballot challenge Judge Michael M. Malihi ruled against the plaintiffs. He stated "...Obama is eligible as a candidate for the presidential primary election [in Georgia]."

JT: *Credo quia absurdum. (I believe it because it is absurd.)* Of course, Judge Mahili agrees with the United States Supreme Court decision in *Minor v. Happersett* (1874) which not only stated then, but also states now, that a person born on United States soil to two United States citizen parents is a natural born citizen. At the same time, and unbelievably, in the same breath of his deep feeling and regard for legal equality and the honor of *We the People*, the source of the *Constitution*, Judge Mahili argues that the Court did not state that no one else could *not* be considered a natural born citizen. Don Fredrick of The Obama Timeline uses the same logic in his comment: "Apparently a ham sandwich can be considered a natural born citizen simply because the Supreme Court, in *Minor v. Happersett*, did not specifically state that it could not."

Interestingly enough, Article II, Section I, Clause 5 does not say explicitly that the candidate for the Office of President must be a natural born citizen of the United States of America. It says, "No Person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any person be eligible to that Office who shall not have

attained to the Age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States."

Some idiot libtard will eventually say, "See! It does not say *No Person except a natural born Citizen of the United States of America*, ... Accordingly, Judge Mahili is also saying that any natural born citizen born as a natural born citizen in any country is eligible to be a candidate for the office of the presidency of the United States of America. Let's bring on the world's dictators and make them presidents.

Judge Mahili's logic is tantamount to saying that the United States of America has a *Constitution*, but because the *Constitution* nowhere states that an opinion outside of the framework of the *Constitution* is not valid, although Supreme Court decisions have ruled many an opinion to be invalid, that opinion can be used to undermine the *Constitution*. That is, A says B only. But in saying B only, it does not rule out C, which in its core is opposed to A saying B. Therefore, C has a special standing to counteract and undermine A saying B. Now, Judge Mahili, that is just about as far as one can get into Barack Hussein Obama.

I wonder what pressure was put on Judge Mahili by the Obama Criminals! How much was paid? Just asking! Not accusing!

I suspect that Mahili is a Muslim name. Perhaps that is the answer to this stupid decision. A Muslim cannot, must not, let another Muslim (Obama) down.

I surely hope that you, Judge Mahili, can sleep well. You have provided the Obama Gang with an illogic that they can use against United States laws, particularly the *Constitution for the United States of America ad infinitum*.

With such decisions as Mihili's and with such Supreme Court (In)Justices as Kagan, Sotomayor, and Ginsburg, the judicial system of the United States of America has become a ship of fools. As a matter of fact, such decisions mean that no one has to obey the *Constitution*. Welcome to illegally imposed anarchy!

There is no valuable statement coming from Judge Michael M. Mahili. Indeed, it is a case of judicial dementia!

Judge Michael M. Mahili! I think you should change your name to Judge De Nihilo Nihil. (From Nothing Comes Nothing)

Eric Giunta writes, "This past Sunday, [Catholic] bishops around the United States delivered to their congregations a short pastoral letter urging prayer, fasting, and legislative lobbying against the Obama administration's announcement that all employers, most religious institutions included, will soon have to subsidize their employees' contraceptives, sterilizations, and abortion-inducing drugs. Let me be clear: the bishops are absolutely on-target when they insist that the new regulations are both constitutionally dubious and morally atrocious, and that because these executive rules are unjust laws (an oxymoron if there ever was one) civil disobedience is the only legitimately Christian response to them."

JT. The decision by the Obama regime will eventually put the Catholic Church in a situation of being out of business; if the Catholics do not band together and undertake all legal proceedings to have the Obama regime's decision be declared unconstitutional. However, can that be done when judges argue as Judge Nihili did in the Georgia ballot decision?

This is not the first time that Barack Hussein Obama has taken the Muslim stance that all non-believers must be killed. His repeated stances on providing for abortions at government expense – even if the baby survives, it must be killed – are acts of murder. But who cares? Muslims surely don't care because those whom Barack Hussein Obama targets are not Muslims. The same Barack Hussein Obama stance was taken with his *Rules of Engagement* (of the enemy) in Afghanistan, the Taliban, and even al-Qaida and its associates. The line of reasoning is that they are members of a peaceful religion (!) and must be shown all forms of honor and respect. The NATO forces are allowed to kill the enemy only if the enemy shoots first. The logic in war is to kill the enemy bastards before they kill you! Do not wait to be fired upon! Get them before they even have a chance to breath the fresh air when they come out of their caves! There are oh-so-many dead thousands of American and NATO soldiers who now know this. Barack Hussein Obama has thus caused the unnecessary deaths of more soldiers proportionally than any other American president.

Does Barack Hussein Obama care? Of course not! The important thing is that America respects and honors its enemy. The real enemy is in the Oval Office. Don't honor him! Don't respect him! It is time to get rid of him politically before he continues to cause deaths to more Americans and before he takes away the rights of Catholics to believe their religion. What other religion will be next?

During Barack Hussein Obama's hosting of the National Prayer Breakfast, Congressman Phil Gingrey (R-GA) gets up and leaves, offended by Obama's use of the non-partisan event for partisan rhetoric. According to the *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, a Gingrey spokesman "said he was disappointed, because he wanted to know what was in [Obama's] heart, and not just rhetoric. So he said that he decided to quietly get up and leave because he felt that it wasn't the time or the place, and that [Obama] didn't seem to be aware of the meaning of the breakfast or why so many people came to hear him speak. He was offended by the very tone of the speech."

JT: So! ... Representative Gingrey wants to know what is in Obama's heart. I herewith direct Mr. Gingrey's attention to Dante's *Divine Comedy*, where all of the circles of purgatory are described, and those persons in history and their evilness and the reasons why they are placed in a respective circle of hell. Add all of the evilness together and Mr. Gingrey will have a good idea of what is in Obama's heart. It is a heart with no soul!

Egypt will put 19 individuals on trial before an Egyptian criminal court accusing them of using illegal funds from foreign countries to incite unrest and revolution in Egypt.

JT: What surprising news! Democracy in Egypt is functioning, that is, Islamic Brotherhood democracy, which is another term for Islamic Brotherhood dictatorship. The trials are nothing but a tool of extortion to receive more foreign aid. This should not surprise anyone. Extortion has been with Islam since Mohammed suddenly declared himself a prophet and used it against the local tribes in his region. Extortion, confiscations of land, and the slave trade were his primary sources of wealth. But all of these actions were/are okay because Allah sanctioned/sanctions it.

Allah hop!

Frederick William Dame
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True
February 6, 2012.