

Frederick William Dame

(Exercising freedom of speech ... as long as it still exists.)

JUST THINKING

Number 3

“Senator Patrick Leahy, Democratic chairman of the Senate foreign aid subcommittee, suggested that he would not favor continuing U.S. military aid to Egypt, even with conditions, if it continued its crackdown on local and U.S.-funded pro-democracy groups. ‘I’m not going to ...say, keep on funding this, funding money that reflects the assumption that they are committed to democracy, if they are not,’ Leahy told Reuters at the Senate. ‘If they think I took a strong stand this year—if things don’t improve, next year will be a lot worse,’ he said. ...Leahy said he had to ‘really fight the [Obama] administration’ ...to get those conditions placed on the aid for fiscal 2012, which began last October and ends September 1.”

JT: I think that Senator Leahy is a bag of wind who wants to look good to his Vermont voters. Leahy should not put his arguments into the conditional tense. He should make statements reflecting the fact that the USA will not give Egypt any money at all. Once the hostages are released, there should be no future talk of foreign aid to Egypt. It is too bad that Senator Leahy did not take a tough stance against the appointments of Sonia Sotomayor and Elena Kagan to the Supreme Court. He assumed that they were also "committed to democracy", which they aren't, or maybe they are, if you take the standpoint that democracy is rule of the mob, which is what America is turning (has turned) into under dictator Obama!

Bill Donahue, head of the Catholic League, states, "Never before, unprecedented in American history, for the federal government to line up against the Roman Catholic Church. This is going to be fought out with lawsuits, with court decisions, and, dare I say it, maybe even in the streets."

JT: One of the first things that should/must happen is that Notre Dame University should rescind the *doctor honoris causus* in law it awarded Obama on May 17, 2009. Obama does not have a doctorate degree from Harvard University. Perhaps he doesn't even have a degree from Harvard. Perhaps his university papers are all forged!!! Is he not the counterfeiter in chief?

At the United Nations, Russia and China veto a weak and toothless resolution calling for Syrian President Bashar al-Assad to step down. Obama's U.S Ambassador to the U.N., Susan Rice, tells Security Council members she is "disgusted with the Russians and the Chinese."

JT: Mr Obamanation will not take any action against Syria. Perhaps he has the same relationship that William Jefferson Clinton supposedly has with Saudi Arabia: Is it possible that Obama and Clinton are on the respective country's pay list? Just asking, not accusing!!!

Don Fredrick reports, "Georgia Secretary of State Brian Kemp accepts Judge Michael Malihi's recommendation that Obama be allowed to appear on the state's March 6 primary ballot. ... Plaintiff Swensson provides ThePostEmail.com with another factor in the Georgia decision: "Keep in mind

that should this judge have given us a favorable decision, the ramifications to the State of Georgia would have been twofold. First, they would have had the costs of fending off the challenge that would be coming from D.C. They did not want that. Secondly, they ran the risk of losing federal funds. The cost for the State of Georgia to do the right thing was overwhelming. They chose the financial aspect over the Constitutional one.”

JT: I think that probably Judge Nihil Nihili received a tip (Who's talking about money?) to decide pro-Obama. The question of costs that might have been incurred by the State of Georgia had Judge Nihil Nihili decided against Obama could have been appealed by a State of Georgia court case against the Obama regime. One point is certain in the Georgia Judge Nihil Nihili case and all other judicial cases against Obama: ***Any judge who does not enforce the law dishonors the law, dishonors the government, dishonors We the People, dishonors him/herself, and dishonors the oath of office!***

Obama's anti-Catholic contraceptives edict.

JT: It is a violation of the first Amendment. Barack Hussein Obama Crap doesn't care. His anti-Catholic position is nothing more than dictatorial coercion aimed at a world religious institution and one of the most sacred beliefs in the world: the belief in human life. What would you expect from a closet Islamist? For Obama only Muslim life is sacred. Everything that Obama wants in this coercive legislation is prohibited by the *Constitution*, if American still has one! The Obama rationale (?) behind the coercive thinking is that Catholics have too many babies. Muslims don't? Obama should force the Council on American Islamic Relations to fund abortions for Muslims.

(perhaps worldwide)! Moreover, Barack Hussein Coercion Obama should require that Muslims eat pork three times a day. That would be good for swine farmers and an example of positive pork barrel politics.

There are Obama women who support the anti-Catholic law. Action must be taken against them. For example, Barack Hussein Coercion Islamist Obama should force the director of Health and Human Services Katherine Sebelius to do all future abortions herself and he should appoint her as Minister of Abortion and Executioner of Life.

He should prohibit Valerie Jarret from breathing, because all that she exudes is foul air.

Barry should prohibit Michelle from eating French Fries and Hamburgers because she is acting as an irresponsible symbol of *fatasses*.

Obama's chief of staff Tina Tschen should be prohibited from promiscuity for life and sign a statement that she hates babies.

And ... The Obama regime should issue an edict that it is against the law for all Americans to have children ... except for Muslims!

Melinda Henneberger of *The Washington Post* says, "I just think the two sides can't hear each other; not that there is anything unusual about that. But I know in my own conversations with friends, I'm saying, 'First Amendment, First Amendment, First Amendment.' And what I hear back is, 'Wow, I had no idea you, you didn't believe in birth control.' You know, this really is seen widely among Catholics and people of other faiths as an attack on religious liberty. Maybe the Founders were wrong to guarantee free exercise of religion in the First Amendment

JT: "Maybe the Founders were wrong to guarantee free exercise of religion in the First Amendment"? I think that based on the number of gray cells a person needs to think straight and logically, Melinda Henneberger is exceedingly deficient in her judgement of the Founding Fathers. Hey, Melinda, I suggest that you live under a state religion and an all-encompassing national law like Islam and Sharia. That would be two controls on your freedom of speech and opinion.

Occupy D.C. describes CPAC as "a who's who of dastardly politicians... another gathering of bigots, media mouthpieces, corrupt politicians, and their 1 percent elite puppet masters," who will "attempt to perpetuate the radical right wing's imperialist ideologies... pursuing its racist, sexist, patriarchal and exploitative agenda."

Occupy DC! Do you want to know who perpetuates the radical imperialist ideologies... pursues its racist, sexist, patriarchal, and exploitative agenda?

...

If you all have the courage, look in a mirror.

Remember this from *Just Thinking Number 2*? In an interview with the Berlin tabloid BZ, Hartloff said that **Sharia law, in a "modern form," would be acceptable in Germany**. In comments published on Friday in the center-left daily *Süddeutsche Zeitung*, he added that using the **Islamic moral code "is certainly conceivable when it comes to questions pertaining to civil law."**

JT: Well, yesterday February 9, 2012, Jochen Hartloff did an Obama. He said in an interview on German television that he did not say what he said. What he said was that he said something else and clarified it as follows: *There is nothing prohibiting thinking about such a situation for Sharia law, but it is all music of the future* (Music coming from Jochen Hartloff? Nothing but cacophony coming from him!) *and that thinking about it now does not mean that it will be instituted into German law in any near future. But we can still think about it. Thinking is not prohibited.* Typical Social Democrat! Freethinking is/will be prohibited if and when Sharia law is observed anywhere.

Jochen Hartloff! View this and you will understand:

Sharia!!!http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3t6zy0eEfFs&feature=player_embedded

In *The Wall Street Journal*, Stephen Moore posts a “Fairness Quiz” for Obama, noting that “...Obama has frequently justified his policies—and judged their outcomes—in terms of equity, justice and fairness. That raises an obvious question: How does our existing system—and his own policy record—stack up according to those criteria?

Is it fair that the richest 1% of Americans pay nearly 40% of all federal income taxes, and the richest 10% pay two-thirds of the tax?

Is it fair that the richest 10% of Americans shoulder a higher share of their country's income-tax burden than do the richest 10% in every other industrialized nation, including socialist Sweden?

Is it fair that American corporations pay the highest statutory corporate tax rate of all other industrialized nations but Japan, which cuts its rate on April 1?

Is it fair that President Obama sends his two daughters to elite private schools that are safer, better-run, and produce higher test scores than public schools in Washington, D.C.—but millions of other families across America are denied that free choice and forced to send their kids to rotten schools?

Is it fair that Americans who build a family business, hire workers, reinvest and save their money—paying a lifetime of federal, state and local taxes often climbing into the millions of dollars—must then pay an additional estate tax of 35% (and as much as 55% when the law changes next year) when they die, rather than passing that money onto their loved ones?

Is it fair that Treasury Secretary Tim Geithner, former Democratic Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle, former Ways and Means Chairman Charlie Rangel and other leading Democrats who preach tax fairness underpaid their own taxes?

Is it fair that after the first three years of Obamanomics, the poor are poorer, the poverty rate is rising, the middle class is losing income, and some 5.5 million fewer Americans have jobs today than in 2007?

Is it fair that roughly 88% of political contributions from supposedly impartial network television reporters, producers and other employees in 2008 went to Democrats?

Is it fair that the three counties with America's highest median family income just happen to be located in the Washington, D.C., metro area?

Is it fair that wind, solar and ethanol producers get billions of dollars of subsidies each year and pay virtually no taxes, while the oil and gas industry—

which provides at least 10 times as much energy—pays tens of billions of dollars of taxes while the president complains that it is "subsidized"?

Is it fair that those who work full-time jobs (and sometimes more) to make ends meet have to pay taxes to support up to 99 weeks of unemployment benefits for those who don't work?

Is it fair that those who took out responsible mortgages and pay them each month have to see their tax dollars used to subsidize those who acted recklessly, greedily and sometimes deceitfully in taking out mortgages they now can't afford to repay?

Is it fair that thousands of workers won't have jobs because the president sided with environmentalists and blocked the shovel-ready Keystone XL oil pipeline?

Is it fair that some of Mr. Obama's largest campaign contributors received federal loan guarantees on their investments in renewable energy projects that went bust?

Is it fair that federal employees receive benefits that are nearly 50% higher than those of private-sector workers whose taxes pay their salaries, according to the Congressional Budget Office?

Is it fair that soon almost half the federal budget will take income from young working people and redistribute it to old non-working people, even though those over age 65 are already among the wealthiest Americans?

Is it fair that in 27 states workers can be compelled to join a union in order to keep their jobs?

Is it fair that nearly four out of 10 American households now pay no federal income tax at all—a number that has risen every year under Mr. Obama?

Is it fair that Boeing, a private company, was threatened by a federal agency when it sought to add jobs in a right-to-work state rather than in a forced-union state?

Is it fair that our kids and grandkids and great-grandkids—who never voted for Mr. Obama—will have to pay off the \$5 trillion of debt accumulated over the past four years, without any benefits to them?"



JT: Now place this image on the wall of a room facing east and bow down before it 24 times a day, 7 days a week and 365 days a year.

Let me know if you feel better!

Frederick William Dame
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True
February 10, 2012.