

MITT ROMNEY MUST NOT BE PRESIDENT

According to William Jacobson in the very precisely-written-content-correct essay titled *Clarity* at http://righttruth.typepad.com/right_truth/,

"We are on a path to nominate someone who campaigned against Reagan, campaigned against the Contract with America, campaigned against those who are pro-Life, campaigned against 2nd Amendment rights, campaigned against conservatism, and designed and enacted the precursor to Obamacare from which he will not back away."¹

These are six concise reasons why a Republican Party candidate other than Mitt Romney should be President of the United States of America. This author believes that there are some other prime grounds why Mitt Romney should not be the next President. The arguments have to do with

1. Mitt Romney being wishy-washy
2. Mitt Romney and the natural born citizen clause
3. Mitt RomneyCare in Massachusetts
4. Mitt Romney being a politically correct idiot regarding Islam and jihad

The following is a presentation of these areas at some length so that the reader can be in a better position to decide whom s/he will support in the 2012 Republican Party primary for the presidential election. As was the case with Barack Hussein Obama, it is the presidential primary that inevitably indicates the possible future of the United States of America. With Barack Hussein Obama, there is no positive future. With Mitt Romney, the American future will not be remarkably different.

¹ http://righttruth.typepad.com/right_truth/2012/01/clarity.html

1. Mitt Romney is a RINO, a **R**epublican In **N**ame **O**nly.

There is no political action that Mitt Romney has taken in his political career that proves that he is conservative, or even close to being conservative, to say nothing of being a Republican. Conservatism and the free market economy are what made the United States of America a great nation. It was not leftist politics or progressivism. Even the progressivism of Theodore Roosevelt (1858-1919, President 1901-1909) was conservative when compared to the protest-progressive movement under the dictatorship of Barack Hussein Obama. In the 2008 presidential primary, Mitt Romney flipped more than he flopped and flopped more than he flipped. He was more liberal than conservative, then more conservative than liberal on abortion,² then more conservative than liberal, then more liberal than conservative on illegal immigration,³ and the same regarding the right to bear arms,⁴ etc.⁵ These positions mean that Mitt Romney wants to transform America, also. Those who want to transform America hate America, as the conduct of Barack Hussein Obama proves. This process of transformation is destruction that has been with American politics for over one hundred years. In the 1912 presidential election campaign, the staunch progressive Democrat Woodrow Wilson (1856-1924, President 1913-1921) stated, “all that Progressives ask or desire is permission...to interpret the *Constitution* according to the Darwinian principle.” Translated into English this statement means that the *Constitution for the United States of America* should be expanded in its powers to promote an expansion of government powers. What was blocking the expansion, according to Woodrow Wilson was that “some citizens of this country have never got beyond the *Declaration of Independence*. ... The *Declaration of Independence* did not mention the questions of our day.”⁶ This neither was the

² http://www.boston.com/news/politics/politicalintelligence/2007/12/photo_appears_t.html

³ <http://www.romneyexposed.com/2011/10/06/romney-bashes-perry-on-immigration-issues-hides-liberal-immigration-record/>

⁴ <http://gunowners.org/a010912.htm>

⁵ <http://spectator.org/archives/2010/11/18/the-unorthodox-frontrunner>

⁶ <http://www.heritage.org/initiatives/first-principles/primary-sources/woodrow-wilson-asks-what-is-progress>

intent of the *Declaration of Independence*, nor was it the intent of the *Constitution*. The intent was explicitly stated by the Republican President John Calvin Coolidge Jr. (1872-1933, President 1923–1929) on the 150th anniversary of the *Declaration of Independence* in 1926.

"It is often asserted that the world has made a great deal of progress since 1776, that we have had new thoughts and new experiences which have given us a great advance over the people of that day, and that we may therefore very well discard their conclusions for something more modern. But that reasoning cannot be applied to this great charter.

If all men are created equal, that is final. If they are endowed with inalienable rights, that is final. If governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, that is final. No advance, no progress can be made beyond these propositions.

If anyone wishes to deny their truth or their soundness, the only direction in which he can proceed historically is not forward, but backward toward the time when there was no equality, no rights of the individual, no rule of the people. Those who wish to proceed in that direction cannot lay claim to progress. They are reactionary. Their ideas are not more modern, but more ancient, than those of the Revolutionary Fathers."⁷

According to President Coolidge, backwards is the direction of the Democratic Party.

This author adds that no advance and no progress beyond the provisions of the *Declaration of Independence* and the *Constitution for the United States of America* are necessary to live in freedom.

⁷ <http://teachingamericanhistory.org/library/index.asp?document=41>

What Mitt Romney says at his campaign stops and in his speeches is always in line with the majority opinion of the people in the audience. When that majority opinion changes with another audience, Mitt Romney's opinion and political stance change.⁸ There are two prime examples of this chameleon behavior: Mitt Romney's position on gay rights and global warming. Concerning gay rights, Mitt Romney has been sometimes very active pro-gay rights as when he opposed the Boy-Scouts-of-America ban on homosexuals; sometimes he has been elusive, sometimes he has been non-committal. If anything, his positions are confusing and not straightforward.⁹ Mitt Romney is on record as a supporter of global warming and cap-and-trade policies that should be introduced worldwide, when the objective, scientific investigations show that global warming and the statistics thereto are gravely false in their conclusions.¹⁰

2. Natural born citizenship.

Voters should be more interested in Mitt Romney's constitutional qualifications than in his wealth. Mitt Romney has the same problematic, constitutional question to answer that Barack Hussein Obama has never legally answered and will never legally answer. Indeed, when does Barack Hussein Obama operate legally? The question:

Is Mitt Romney a natural born citizen according to the presidential qualifications of Article 2, Section 1, Clause 5 of the *Constitution for the United States of America*? That clause says, "No Person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of

⁸http://online.wsj.com/article/SB120182471883733637.html?mod=opinion_main_review_and_outlooks

⁹

http://www.nytimes.com/2007/09/08/us/politics/08romney.html?_r=2&hp=&oref=slogin&pagewanted=print

http://www.nytimes.com/2007/09/08/us/politics/08romney.html?_r=2&hp=&oref=slogin&pagewanted=print

¹⁰ http://www.ontheissues.org/2008_GOP_Super_Tuesday.htm
http://www.wnho.net/global_warming.htm

President; neither shall any person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States."

The internationally accepted definition of a natural born citizen is a person whose parents (both!) are citizens of the country,¹¹ in this case the United States of America, when the child is born. Mitt (Willard) Romney was born on March 12, 1947 in Detroit Michigan to Lenore and George Wilcken Romney (1907-1995) who was born in a Mormon Colony in Mexico. George Romney was the Republican governor of Michigan from 1963 to 1969. When George Romney was running against Richard M. Nixon for the Republican Party's nomination as presidential candidate, there were voices from political journalists and the Democratic Party that questioned George Romney's natural born citizenship status. This indicates that Democratic Party politicians and the mainstream media at that time definitely knew the legal definition of natural born citizen. It also proves that the breaking of the constitutional law by the Barack Hussein Obama presidential primary campaign, the Democratic Party, and the Democratic National committee, as well as the United States court system is a direct action of treason against the *Constitution for the United States of America* and *We the People*.

In the *Congressional Record* (House of Representatives), June 14, 1967, Pinckney G. McElwee of the District of Columbia Bar Association stated:

"I find no proper legal or historical basis on which to conclude that a person born outside of the United States could ever be eligible to occupy the Office of the President of the United States. In other words, in my opinion, Mr. George Romney of Michigan is ineligible to become President of the United States

¹¹ The concept and internationally recognized definition is taken directly from Emmerich de Vattel's *Droit des gens; ou, Principes de la loi naturelle appliqués à la conduite et aux affaires des nations et des souverains* (in English, *The Law of Nations or the Principles of Natural Law Applied to the Conduct and to the Affairs of Nations and of Sovereigns*), Book I, Chapter XIX, paragraph 212.

because he was born in Mexico and is, therefore, not a natural-born citizen as required by the United States Constitution."¹²

George Romney was born in Mexico and under Mexican law had Mexican citizenship, regardless of the citizenship/nationality of the parents. Was Mexican citizenship George Romney's status when Mitt Romney was born? To date no documents have been found that prove that George Romney became an American citizen before the birth of his son Mitt Romney in 1947.¹³ The natural born citizen requirement for George Romney became a moot point when Richard M. Nixon (1913-1994, President 1969-1974) received the Republican Party's nomination as their presidential candidate.

However, the natural born citizenship requirement is now of utmost importance. If Mitt Romney is a natural born citizen, then he should prove it. If Mitt Romney is not a natural born citizen, then he should say so and call Barack Hussein Obama a traitor because he usurped the Office of President of the United States of America and is not a natural born citizen. Furthermore, should Mitt Romney not be a natural born citizen and still keep his campaign running in order to receive the Republican Party nomination, then Mitt Romney, the Republican Party, and the Republican National Committee are just as much traitors to the *Constitution for the United States of America* and *We the People* as are Barack Hussein Obama, his minions, the Democratic Party, and the Democratic National Committee. Barack Hussein Obama would like nothing more than to have an illegal contender from the Republican Party to be his opponent in the presidential election! This would make it impossible for anyone from the Republican Party or anyone opposed to Barack Hussein Obama to claim that Barack Hussein Obama is not a natural born citizen without counter-claims from the Obama side. American patriots know that anti-Americans, Democrats, progressives, leftists, union thugs, Muslims, occupy movements, and people living off the welfare could care less about the natural born citizen clause.

¹² Consult the hearings at <http://www.scribd.com/doc/20829167/Natural-Born-Citizen-Congressional-Record-6-14-1967-p-15875-80>. It must be noted that Mr. Pinckney G. McElwee's previous statement in the hearing that the concept of *natural born citizen* is based on English common law is wrong.

¹³ <http://noonan4president.blogspot.com/2012/01/mitt-romney-is-not-natural-born-citizen.html>

3. RomneyCare in Massachusetts as the Pilot Program for Obama Care.

RomneyCare (MassCare) in Massachusetts is a disaster. It is a financial hole without a bottom. Mitt Romney knows this, yet he will not say that it is a financial disaster. Mitt Romney provided the blueprint to Barack Hussein Obama for ObamaCare.¹⁴ From a person who should know, the Massachusetts State Treasurer Timothy P. Cahill says:

"As state treasurer, I can speak with authority about the Massachusetts pilot program. It has been a fiscal train wreck.

The universal insurance coverage we adopted in 2006 was projected to cost taxpayers \$88 million a year. However, since this program was adopted in 2006, our health-care costs have in total exceeded \$4 billion. The cost of the Massachusetts' plan has blown a hole in the Commonwealth's budget. Just last Thursday, Gov. Deval Patrick's office announced a \$294 million shortfall related to health-care costs.

If not for federal Medicaid reimbursements and commitments from Washington to prop up this plan, Massachusetts would be broke. The only reason MassCare has survived is that we have been repeatedly bailed out by the federal government."¹⁵

Need more be said?

¹⁴ <http://hotair.com/archives/2011/10/11/uh-oh-obama-consulted-romney-advisers-on-obamacare/>

¹⁵ <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748704094104575144372942933394.html>

4. Mitt Romney's Views on Islam.

In an article published on July 4, 2009, Pamela Geller of <http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/> reported that she asked Mitt Romney about his opinion of Islam in an interview on July 3, 2009 about a major speech on national security that he had given on June 29, 2009 at the Heritage Foundation.¹⁶ In the speech, Mitt Romney "referred to Islam only insofar as he referred to 'jihadism,' a term he used four times in the address, and to 'mullahs' and 'ayatollahs.'" Pamela Geller continues, "I asked Romney how he'd respond to Muslim complaints that his speech characterized Islam in entirely sinister terms. His response surprised me."

What follows is Mitt Romney's complete statement as reported by Pamela Geller. It is highlighted in yellow to symbolize Mitt Romney's cowardly stance.

"I spoke about three major threats America faces on a long term basis. Jihadism is one of them, and that is not Islam. If you want my views on Islam, it's quite straightforward. Islam is one of the world's great religions and the great majority of people in Islam want peace for themselves and peace with their maker. They want to raise families and have a bright future. There is, however, a movement in the world known as jihadism. They call themselves jihadists and I use the same term. And this jihadist movement is intent on causing the collapse of moderate Muslim states and the assassination of moderate Muslim leaders. It is also intent on causing collapse of other nations in the world. It's by no means a branch of Islam. It is instead an entirely different entity. In no way do I suggest it is a part of Islam."

¹⁶ http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/atlas_shrugs/2009/07/why-romney-wont-be-president.html

Not even this statement by Mitt Romney is correct in its content. It is so very politically correct that it is wishy-washy to the core and Islam-appeasing crap. In appeasement of Islam, Mitt Romney surpasses Neville Chamberlain in his appeasement of Adolf Hitler when he gave the Sudetenland to the Nazi dictator to guarantee "peace in our time."¹⁷ Let's examine Mitt Romney's statement sentence-by-sentence. This author's comments are in red to symbolize the bloody truth.

"I spoke about three major threats America faces on a long term basis. Jihadism is one of them, and that is not Islam. Jihadism is at the center of Islam. Jihadism is its heartbeat. Islam does not exist without jihadism. Muslims accept the fact that the Koran requires them to wage war against the infidels, the non-believers. It is the Muslim duty to commit jihad. Islam divides the world into two camps: the *Dar Al-Islam* (Islam) and the *Dar al-Harb* (the unbelievers). Yet, the literal meaning of *Dar al-Harb* in English is *house of war*. Jihad is the fundamental duty and responsibility of the Muslim to expand Islam throughout the world. This has to be the result because Muslims believe that it is their destiny to live off the infidels. There may be times of truce, but there will never be lasting peace until the complete world is subjugated by Islam. If Mitt Romney or the reader will not accept this author's expertise, they should read the following Suras: **Sura 8:40**, **Sura 9:124**, **Sura 24:56**. According to Robert Erwin in discussing the matter of concluding peace during the Crusades, "Muslim religious law could not countenance the formal conclusion of any sort of peace with the infidel."¹⁸ The truth of that statement still holds. Moreover, "Since ... jihad is a state of permanent war, it excludes the possibility of

¹⁷ Arthur Neville Chamberlain (1869-1940, Prime Minister of the United Kingdom from May 1937 to May 1940), is best known for negotiating and signing the Munich Agreement in 1938 that gave the Sudetenland region of Czechoslovakia to Hitler's Nazi Germany.

¹⁸ Robert Irwin in *Islam and the Crusades: 1096-1669* in Jonathan Riley-Smith, editor, *The Oxford History of the Crusades*, Oxford University Press, Oxford: 1995, p. 237.

peace, but it ... does allow for provisional truces in accordance with the requirements of the political situation."¹⁹ Under Islam there is nothing but death!²⁰

If you want my views on Islam, it's quite straightforward. The viewpoint may be straightforward, but the logic is totally missing. This is another reason why Mitt Romney should not be President of the United States of America. As the above presentation proves, Mitt Romney is not straightforward on anything, except that claiming that he is straightforward. There are only two views that a person can have regarding Islam: do not tell the facts and say everything in a sugar-sweet-manner and be popular; or tell the truth, which will result in upsetting politically correct people and making one unpopular.

Islam is one of the world's great religions "Islam is not a religion. Considering Islam a religion is a mistake that could cost millions of lives. Islam is a political movement set to conquer the world. It is the Borg²¹ of the non-fictional world. Islam has one goal and one goal alone: to assimilate or to destroy."²² Islam is not a religion that believes in God. It is a heathen movement that believes in Allah, the Moon God and in the political conquest of the world.²³ It is,

¹⁹ Bat Ye'or, *The Dhimmi: Jews and Christians under Islam*, AU Presses, London: 1985, p. 46.

²⁰ John J. O'Neill, *Holy Warriors Islam and the Demise of Classical Civilization*, Felibri Publications, Ingram Book Group, Felibri.com: 2010, p. 108.

²¹ In the *Star Trek* series the Borg are a pseudo-race of cybernetic organisms using abduction, assimilation, and enforced unison to the Borg-collective mind in order to achieve universal domination.

²² <http://www.faithfreedom.org/oped/sina40811.htm>

²³ "In Arabia, the sun god was viewed as a female goddess and the moon as the male god. As has been pointed out by many scholars such as Alfred Guillaume, "the moon god was called by various names, one of which was Allah!" <http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/islam.htm>. Google *Allah the moon god* for a number of convincing, scientific presentations. There are pseudo-intellectual contradictory arguments also, particularly of Islamic origins.

therefore, an ideology clothed in quasi-religious apparel. As the Koran states:

Sura 9:33 He it is Who hath sent His messenger with the guidance and the Religion of Truth, that He may cause it to prevail over all religion, however much the idolaters may be averse.

Sura 48:28 He it is Who hath sent His messenger with the guidance and the religion of truth, that He may cause it to prevail over all religion. And Allah suffices as a Witness.

Sura 61:9 He has sent His messenger with the guidance and the true religion, and will make it dominate all religions, in spite of the idol worshipers.

The word *triumph* in Arabic and its use in the Koran mean *violent defeat*. It comes *in the context of warfare*. The Koran has 109 war verses.²⁴ They are:

Sura 2:178, 179, 190, 191, 193, 216, 217, 218, 244; **Sura 3**:121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 140, 155, 165, 166, 167, 169, 173, 195; **Sura 4**:71, 72, 74, 75, 76, 77, 84, 89, 91, 94, 95, 100, 102, 104; **Sura 5**:33, 35, 38; **Sura 8**:7, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 39, 42, 45, 59, 65, 67, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75; **Sura 9**:12, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 29, 36, 38, 39, 41, 44, 52, 73, 81, 83, 86, 88, 92, 111, 120, 122, 123; **Sura 16**:110; **Sura 22**:39, 78; **Sura 29**:6, 69; **Sura 33**:7, 18, 20, 25, 26; **Sura 47**:20; **Sura 48**:16, 22; **Sura 59**:2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14; **Sura 60**:9; **Sura 61**:4; **Sura 63**:4; **Sura 64**:14; **Sura 66**:9; **Sura 73**:20.

Moreover, in the Koran there is one threat of going to *hell* for every 7.9 verses.²⁵

²⁴ The verses are taken from *The Koran*, translated into English by N. J. Dawood, Penguin Classics, London: 1956.

²⁵ The *Old Testament* mentions *hell* 31 times, one for every 774 verses. The *New Testament* mentions *hell* 74 times, one for every 120 verses. Don Richardson, *Secrets of the Koran Revealing Insights into Islam's Holy Book*, Regal Books, Ventura, California: 2003, p. 93.

Islam is such a peaceful religion!!!

and the great majority of people in Islam want peace for themselves and peace with their maker. Of course, the great majority of people believing in Islam want peace and all believers in Islam want peace according to the dictates of Islam. That is why Muslims say Islam is a peaceful religion. Islam is only peaceful if you are part of the Umma, the world collective of Islam. As for wanting peace with their maker? According to their belief in Allah, they believe in the Moon God. Allah is the Arabic name for the god of the moon. Were Muslims made by the Moon God? As such, it is not illogical to say that those who believe in Allah are lunatics. Certainly, if Mitt Romney does not comprehend the reality of Islam, then in matters regarding Islam, Mitt Romney is a lunatic as well.

They want to raise families and have a bright future. Who doesn't? However, their violent and destructive brainwashing over the centuries states that it must be done within the confines and parameters of Islam. Bright futures can be acquired and maintained only in a free society based on the economic principles of free markets (capitalism).

There is, however, a movement in the world known as jihadism. Jihadism is not a different movement from Islam. It is a battle cry of war against everything that is not Islamic. Jihadism is prevalent in the Koran. Here are the Suras that have jihad as their explicit content: **Sura 2:216, 217, 218, 191; Sura 4:74, 76, 95; Sura 8:12, 15, 16, 39, 41, 65; Sura 9:5, 29, 73, 111, 123; Sura 48:20.** Mitt Romney! You have a lot of reading and learning to do!

They call themselves jihadists and I use the same term. They just do not call themselves jihadists. They call themselves Islam(ic) jihadists. Mitt Romney can use the same terminology, but he should know what the term means. He has no idea what the term jihadism involves. Jihad is institutionalized in the Islamic world. Jihad is not a sporadic event in the historical past or present. Jihad is the normal life function in Islam and the Islamic theory of world domination.²⁶

And this jihadist movement is intent on causing the collapse of moderate Muslim states and the assassination of moderate Muslim leaders. There are no moderate Muslim states. Indeed, according to the psyche of Islam there are no states and no nations. The concept of nationalism does not exist in Islam. It is a Western development. Western nationalism must be defeated because to institute nationalism in Islam would cause the eventual destruction of the Umma, the world collective of Islam. If a leader of a Muslim country appears to be moderate in relations with the Western World, then it is a duty for a Muslim to get rid of such a ruler. Therefore, the sanctioning of assassination for a Muslim means that the concept of what constitutes a religious duty is being implemented.²⁷

It is also intent on causing collapse of other nations in the world. This has been the goal of Islam since its inception. The lunatic Muhammad did nothing else but collapse other tribes. Not only did Muhammad collapse the tribes, they were exterminated. Other regions and non-Islamic tribes and countries that did not ascribe to the dogma of the Moon God and Muhammad suffered the same

²⁶ Jacques Ellul, the Foreword to *Les Chretientes d'Orient entre Jihad et Dhimmitude, VII-XXe siecle* (1991), translated into English in the preface to Bat Ye'or, *The Decline of Eastern Christianity Under Islam*, Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, Cranbury, New Jersey: 1996, pp. 18-19.

²⁷ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bw0ZJrkY17w>.

fate. If the military attempt was unsuccessful, then the military generals or the naval admirals were executed. Yet, it was not because they failed to conquer. They were executed because they failed to further the expansion of Islam. One historical example represents all of the other executions. The commander of the Ottoman forces at the siege of Vienna in 1683 was Kara Mustafa Pascha, Grand Vizier of the Ottoman Empire under Sultan Mohammed IV. On December 25, 1683, Kara Mustafa Pascha was executed on the order of Mohammed IV, not only because Kara Mustafa Pascha had not been successful in expanding the Ottoman Empire's territories into Europe, but more importantly because he had failed to bring an expansion of Islam into Europe.²⁸

Islam has basic strategies to achieve world conquest. Succinctly, some of them are:

- Massive legal and illegal immigration into nations Islam has targeted for conquest.
- Achieve political power by being democratically elected to office by the promotion and support of Islamic candidates and Islamic-oriented candidates to political office.
- Undertake measures to destroy that country's heritage and culture and always expand Islamic influence.
- Infiltrate colleges, universities, and long-established organizations and make them oriented towards Islam.
- Use a strategy of jihad and stealth under the guise of al-taqiyya, but cry racism and hate against Islam whenever possible.

²⁸ <http://www.learningpracticalturkish.com/kara-mustafa-pasha-by-peter-davis.html>

It's by no means a branch of Islam. This is a true statement. Yet, Mitt Romney fails to comprehend that jihad is the core of Islam.²⁹ Mitt Romney fails to comprehend Islam. Islam is a force that has always "extolled war as a sacred duty, sanctioned the enslavement and killing of non-believers as a religious obligation, sanctioned the judicial use of torture, and provided for the execution of apostates and heretics. All of these attitudes, which, taken together, are surely unique in the religious traditions of mankind, can be traced to the very beginnings of that faith. Far from being manifestations of a degenerate phase of Islam, all of them go back to the founder of the faith himself," Muhammad.³⁰

It is instead an entirely different entity. It proclaims to be a different entity. Islamists and Muslims will argue that it is. Yet their position will be nothing more than abrogation and dualism. Abrogation means that if there is a contradiction in the Koran, a later verse supersedes the earlier verse. The earlier verse is still correct and the latter verse does not contradict it. The earlier verse can be used to present the positive side of Islam, while the latter verse can be used to call Muslims to Jihad. For example, Jihad supersedes tolerance. Jihad is the other side of the coin of active world domination. Verses may contradict each other on the one side, but on the other side they are still true. This is Islamic dualism.³¹ Jihad is not a separate entity. Jihad is the heartbeat of Islam until the whole world believes in the Moon God.

²⁹ Mitt Romney and the reader do not have to rely on this author's expertise. Read Andrew G. Bostom, editor, *The Legacy of Jihad Islamic Holy War and the Fate of Non-Muslims*, Prometheus Books, Amherst, New York: 2005.

³⁰ John J. O'Neill, *Holy Warriors Islam and the Demise of Classical Civilization*, Felibri Publications, Ingram Book Group, Felibri.com: 2010, pp. 209-210.

³¹ <http://www.politicalislam.com/blog/statistical-islam-part-5-of-9-abrogation-and-dualism-dec-3-2010/>

In no way do I suggest it is a part of Islam.” Regardless of what Mitt Romney suggests regarding Islam and jihadism, it is off target. Indeed, Mitt Romney's statements are more than an attempt at being politically correct. They are sucking and licking statements! That is what the Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR) and Islamic organizations in the United States of America, as well as Islamic organizations around the world like!

There must be no doubt in the minds of the American voters that Mitt Romney will say practically anything and do practically everything to be elected to the Presidency of the United States of America.³² Mitt Romney will not reveal his true citizenship status. Mitt Romney will not come clean and be straightforward on the political problems facing the country after four years of the Barack Hussein Obama regime. Mitt Romney will not go after Barack Hussein Obama's political throat. Mitt Romney will not call Barack Hussein Obama an immoral, unethical, al-taqiyya lair.³³ Mitt Romney will not retract what he said about jihad and Islam. He has not yet done so and he will not do so. He would rather be politically correct than be politically incorrect and tell the truth. Mitt Romney will call Barack Hussein Obama a "nice Guy".³⁴ This Mitt Romney statement alone is the perfect reason why Mitt Romney should not be President. If Mitt Romney thinks that Barack Hussein Obama is just a nice guy, what does he think of Vladimir Putin, Hugo Chavez, Mahmud Ahmadinedschad, Kim Jong Un, and Wen Jiabao? All of these dictators are always at the forefront in international relations with countries that are anti-American. The same question

³² http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uHapuEmt2xw&feature=player_embedded

³³ "The word *al-Taqiyya* literally means: Concealing or disguising one's beliefs, convictions, ideas, feelings, opinions, and/or strategies at a time of imminent danger (decided by the Muslim), whether now or later in time, to save oneself from physical and/or mental injury." <http://www.al-islam.org/encyclopedia/chapter6b/1.html> A good English word is *dissimulation*. Al-Taqiyya is a behavior in Islam that allows a Muslim to tell the truth, to lie, to be moral, to be immoral, to be ethical, to be unethical, to obey the law, to commit crimes as long as the Muslim is protected and the spread of Islam is assured. A Muslim would call *al-Taqiyya* the *process of holy deception* as dictated by Muhammad!

³⁴ <http://www.lucianne.com/thread/?artnum=659815>

can be formulated for Mitt Romney's opinion of the other world dictators who are just as bad as Barack Hussein Obama and don't *get it* either.

This being the case, Mitt Romney is nothing more than a mirror image of Barack Hussein Obama. Both of them are most notable cowards, infinite and endless liars, hourly promise-breakers, the owners of no good qualities.³⁵ The difference is that the lamesstream, lickstream, suckstream, slobstream media will criticize Mitt Romney and tear him apart like a dog tearing apart an old slipper, while licking up, sucking up, and slobbering all over Barack Hussein Obama ... inside and outside.

Frederick William Dame

Patriotic, Steadfast, and True

January 20, 2012

³⁵ An adaption of William Shakespeare's *All's Well That Ends Well*, Act 3, Scene 3, Lines 9-11.