## Sarah Palin's Breast Panel

The Democrats are so frightened of former Alaska Governor Sarah Palin that they are trying to persuade the easily-persuadable Obamatons to contribute \$500,000—in only one week—to "push back" against her. They are not even being coy about it, begging as shamelessly as Jerry Lewis on his Labor Day telethon:

https://donate.barackobama.com/page/contribute/dnc08Palin?source=20091121\_MS\_Pali n\_nd\_act&returnlink=false

Just for fun, I attempted to donate \$500,000 under the name Che Guevara, but the site would not accept my input—not because I listed my employer as the Red Army or my occupation as executioner, but because the amount could not exceed \$28,500. (I guess even hate-mongers have some scruples about following federal donation guidelines. But I suppose I should be happy my payment offer was not accepted... or I might be occupying a jail cell next to Reverend James Manning.)

Some might question the propriety—and legality—of a president's campaign site, pretty much run by the Democrat National Committee, raising money for the purpose of smearing a private citizen of the United States. If Obama can do that to Palin, he can do it to anyone who opposes his agenda. Meanwhile, the Associated Press had 11 staffers "fact-checking" Palin's book, *Going Rogue: An American Life*—but only two reviewing health care legislation. The media apparently believes that embarrassing Palin is more important than explaining to the public how ObamaCare would impact their lives.

The leftists say that Sarah Palin is a total nincompoop, beneath contempt, and not worthy of discussion. Then they publicly state they *want* her to run in 2012 because they believe Obama can beat her in a rout. If I were Obama and the Democrats I would not be so cocky. In fact, if I were the Democrats I would start planning on Hillary Clinton challenging bow-boy in the 2012 primaries.

But, for the time being, Palin is allegedly an idiot who can't tie her own shoes. *Therefore, she must be destroyed... and they need \$500,000 to do it as quickly as possible!* Heck, I think Keith Olbermann is an idiot, but I'm not going to waste my time raising \$500,000 to destroy him. (His ratings will do that without any help from me.)

Clearly the lefties are frightened to death of Sarah "I don't need no stinkin' TelePrompter" Palin. In 1980 they ridiculed Reagan, and he probably would have made a fortune if he could have received royalties from the repeated showings of his 1951 *Bedtime for Bonzo*. But no matter how often the networks showed Reagan being upstaged by a chimpanzee, they could not keep the American public from liking him, trusting him, and believing he was *one of them*. Sarah Palin has that effect on Americans today. They know she has flaws; they knew Reagan had flaws. They know she doesn't know the name of every president and prime minister in the world. But they know she is authentic... like they knew Reagan was authentic. Can Sarah Palin win the Republican nomination and the election in 2012? I don't know, and neither do the Democrats—but they can't afford to take that chance. So the Palinophobes have no choice but to destroy her.

The Democrats understood that "anybody but Bush" stood a good chance of winning the presidency in 2008. They are beginning to understand that "anybody but Obama" may have a good chance of winning the presidency in 2012. Palin may not (or may) be Reagan redux... but she's certainly not Obama.

Watch the media. Whichever Republican it says would be the *best* for the GOP in 2012 is the one to steer away from. In 2008 the media commies kept pushing McCain; they wanted desperately for him to wrest the nomination from the conservatives in the race. Why? Because they knew he would be the easiest for Clinton or Obama to beat. That is how they play the game, but don't let them fool you... their interest in the Republican Party is solely to disrupt it. (Conservatives would be wise to recall that Oregon's Robert Packwood was once the media's favorite Republican—but only while his liberal-leaning vote helped Democrats in the Senate. After they no longer needed the Senator's support, the media quickly found all the Packwood dirt it had been hiding, forcing him to resign.)

The Democrats and the media hate Sarah Palin, Congresswoman Michele Bachmann (R-MN), and a few other outspoken conservative Republicans for a reason. They hate them because they pull no punches, they talk common sense, and they can connect with the average American. The Democrats fear that—because it can defeat them. And now that more and more Americans are learning that Obama is nothing more than a con man, the Democrats fear that his snake oil will not be enough in 2012. They have a right to be frightened.

The Obama scare site says: "Whatever lie Sarah Palin comes up with next will be widely covered by the media, then constantly echoed by right-wing attack groups and others who are trying to defeat reform. And as we approach the final sprint on health reform, we can't afford more deception and delay. We need to be ready for anything—and have the resources to respond with ads, events, and calls to Congress when the attacks come."

By Sarah Palin's "lie" they mean her reference to "death panels" in the Democrats' health care legislation. ("How dare she use plain English to describe what we had so carefully hidden in the legislation with obtuse language?") As ironic as real life can sometimes be, another government panel recently suggested that women under age 50 or over age 75 not bother with annual mammograms—because they don't save that many lives anyway. (I'm sure those women under 50 and over 75 whose lives were saved by mammograms will be happy to hear that they are considered expendable by federal bureaucrats.) The government panel, by the way, had no oncologists or radiologists. (While it was busy trying to save money by suggesting that some women not bother getting mammograms, it neglected to note that the likelihood of breast cancer is increased in women who have had abortions. Obama did his part... the White House displayed a pink ribbon in October for Breast Cancer Awareness Month—in case anyone was not aware of breast cancer.)

Granted, mammograms often produce "false positives," which give nervous women something to worry about for a few days until they learn there is nothing wrong. Not being a woman, I cannot appreciate how horrifying that experience might be. But I don't have to be a woman to know that I'd rather have a false positive at age 45 than be told at age 50, "I'm sorry, if we had only caught it earlier…"

I can't wait for Palin to use the term "breast panel." It will drive the Democrats crazy...

Don Fredrick November 21, 2009 Copyright 2009 Don Fredrick

Drs. Angela Lanfranchi and Joel Brind, of the Breast Cancer Prevention institute, offer the recommendations for prevention at:

http://www.bcpinstitute.org/home.htm

Watch this video: <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7qc4JwcuVDs</u>