

Frederick William Dame

# The American Crisis

## Taxed Enough And Controlled Enough Already

### A Call To Take Action

### Number Twelve



Americans throughout their history have been taxed and controlled. Under the Barack Hussein Obama regime the taxes are increasing and the control over the individual American is daily violating the boundaries and limitations of all rationality and the guarantees of the *Constitution for the United States of America*. It is time for all Americans, those who are patriotic and those who are not – although non-patriotism is un-American – to sit down and think seriously on the affairs of America. The original principles upon which America resisted foreign control and taxes in 1776, and the glow and ardor which they inspired, will occur like the undefaced remembrance of a lovely scene. To trace over in imagination the purity of the cause, the voluntary sacrifices that were made to support it, and all the various turnings of the War for Independence in its defence, is at once both paying and receiving respect. The principles deserve to be remembered and to remember them rightly is repossessing them. In this indulgence of generous recollection, we become gainers by what we seem to give and the more we bestow the richer we become.

So extensively right was the ground on which America proceeded in 1776 that it not only took in every just and liberal sentiment which could impress the heart, but made it the direct interest of every class and order of men and women to defend the land. The war on the part of Great Britain was originally a war of covetousness. The sordid and not the splendid passions gave it being. The fertile fields and prosperous infancy of America appeared to Great Britain as mines for tributary wealth. She viewed the hive, and disregarding the industry that had enriched it, thirsted for the honey. The

violence of temper was added to the rage of avarice; and therefore, that which at the first setting out proceeded from purity of principle and public interest was heightened by all the obligations of necessity. It requires but little knowledge of human nature to discern what would be the consequence were America again reduced to subjection like that under Great Britain in 1776. Uncontrolled power in the hands of an incensed, imperious, and rapacious dictator is an engine of dreadful execution, and woe be to the United States of America over which it is now being exercised. The names of WE the People and American Patriot are now sunk in the general term of rebel, and the oppression, whatever form it takes, lights equally on all. Perhaps in such a season there is no better companion than a rifle!

Great Britain did not go to war with America in 1776 for the sake of dominion, because she was then in possession; neither was it for the extension of trade and commerce, because she had monopolized the whole, and the Colonies had yielded to it; neither was it to extinguish what she called rebellion, because before she began no resistance existed. It was then from no other motive than avarice, or a design of establishing, in the first instance, taxes in America; or, in the second instance, to confiscate the whole property of America, in case of resistance and conquest of the latter, of which America then had no doubt. This historical situation is now being repeated under the totalitarianism of Barack Hussein Obama and his dictatorial thug regime. The goal was and is to tax, tax, tax ... in every form possible and to confiscate personal property ranging from firearms to private water springs. There is nothing safe from Barack Hussein Obama's grasp. The whole history of evil cannot be comprehended without him.

I mean to be open, candid, and sincere. I see a comprehensive American wish to expel the enemy Obama regime from government responsibility. There is more than a murmuring because the domestic war is carried on with a subtle vigor.

Beginning in January 2009, and for each year after, the United States of America under Barack Hussein Obama has borrowed about 40 percent of the money it spends. The latest official Congressional Budget Office figure from 2011 is that it was approximately 1,645,000,000,000 dollars. Now, this expense is being borrowed in the hopes of conquering or destroying America – there is no difference! As it is avarice which first induced Barack Hussein Obama to commence the domestic war, how truly

wretched and deplorable the condition of OUR America has become. Americans by their own remissness suffer an enemy of such a disposition and so circumstanced who reduces America to subjection! The truth behind Obama's borrowing from future generations is that borrowers are nearly always ill-spenders, and it is with lent money that all evil is mainly done and all injustice protracted.

Now, throwing out of the question everything of honor, principle, happiness, freedom, and reputation in the world, and taking it up on the simple ground of patriotic interest, I present a straightforward analysis of the present situation concerning taxes and control.

The Tea Party movement which began in 2009 arose out of concern from a great many American people that government spending, and the taxes that pay for such spending, had grown wildly out of control. This movement was not particularly ideological, nor was it specifically partisan; members of the loosely-affiliated factions among the movement may be more likely to support the Republican Party than the DemocRAT Party, but at its core, the Tea Party movement was about nothing more than fiscal responsibility. Members of the movement may have had grave concerns about the policies of the newly-elected Oval Office occupier Barack Hussein Obama, but many members also voiced serious concerns about the spending habits of the administration of outgoing President George W. Bush. In short, members of the Tea Party movement did not care which party was spending too much of the people's money; they simply wanted such spending to be drastically reduced and confined to a realistic budget framework.

The Tea Party movement and those who support it are not the only members of the American public who have serious concerns about taxes, government spending, and the constant overreach of the federal government. Members of the Tea Party movement may be among the most visible or the most vocal protesters of the Obama-regime-out-of-control government spending and the bloated mess of government programs aimed at encroaching into every area of Americans' public and private lives. For every individual who voices support for the Tea Party, there are hundreds or even thousands more who are just as concerned about these issues. Since 2009, when Barack Hussein Obama moved into the White House, the problems rapidly worsened. One of the first things Obama did was to announce his

intentions to have the federal government take control of the American health care system. Obama also supported increases in tax rates at all levels, grew the size of the federal government, and enacted countless new regulations aimed at restricting and controlling business and even the private lives of the American people. From rules about what we can and cannot eat to how we can and cannot conduct our own religious affairs, Obama has used the power of the usurped position to exert control over the public and personal affairs of the American people.

\*\*\*

Let us forthwith examine the subject of Obama's taxes.

When Barack Hussein Obama illegally took office there was a massive global recession wreaking economic havoc in countries around the world. Liberals love to point to the policies of outgoing President George W. Bush – who admittedly spent more than many conservatives would have liked – and tried to blame him for the problems. Attempting to blame George W. Bush for the global recession would be like blaming Frosty the Snowman for a winter blizzard; yes, there were economic problems in the United States when Bush was president, but his Republican administration was able to stave off the worst effects. As he neared the end of his second term, many DemocRATS and even some Republicans pushed for the notion that the United States should spend its way out of the recession. As Obama and his regime were usurping control of the government offices, Congress did agree to spend billions of dollars on so-called *stimulus packages*, though the more sober-minded Republicans in office recognized the danger in these decisions, and were able to at least limit the size of such expenditures, even if they could not block them entirely.

The contrast between the inexperienced economic and fiscal policies of Barack Hussein Obama and those of the Republicans is stark. In the face of potential economic catastrophe, the Republicans – buoyed by the burgeoning Tea Party movement – understood that the federal government simply could not afford to keep spending money it did not have. Undaunted by the wisdom and experience of his opposition, Obama and his regime of thugs enacted a series of new programs, regulations, and spending initiatives that swelled the federal budget deficit and the

national debt, raising both to historically high levels. Obama's budgets and spending simply could not be paid for with the amount of tax revenue the federal government was taking from the pockets of the American people, so the financial thief Obama simply borrowed trillions of dollars every year to pay for his extravagant policies. All the while, the Republican Party and the more responsible members of the American public argued that instead of spending more and more money we did not have, the federal government should do what everyone else has to do when there is not enough money: economize within the confines of a fiscally responsible, healthy budget.

Liberal DemocRATS like to refer to Republican ideas about fiscal responsibility as *austerity*, because they think *austerity* sounds like a bad word. Obama and his POLDS like to paint the Republicans as mean, Scrooge-like characters who want to take food from the poor and money from the middle class. Nothing could be further from the truth; Republicans simply stand for fiscal responsibility. They understand that the responsible handling of economic affairs is the only means by which America can successfully recover from the effects of the last recession. Ignoring the advice and wisdom of the Republican Party, Obama and his thug regime chose instead to supplement his borrowed trillions by enacting tax increases. Moreover, these tax increases have been aimed at those who are already shouldering the greatest economic load. Progressives love to wail and moan about the so-called *one percent*, while ignoring the fact that the top one percent of the American people are paying nearly 75 percent of all taxes. The remainder that is not covered by the economy's top earners is paid for by the middle class, who least can afford it.

Barack Hussein Obama's reckless spending habits have not gone unopposed by the Republican Party. Fiscally responsible Republicans have developed budget plans that clearly show how the United States could reel in spending and get the deficit and debt under control in just a few short years; indeed, possibly within a generation. Such fiscal responsibility would mean that some huge government-handout programs would have to be cut back to realistic and sustainable levels, or would have to be deleted, a move which is always met with howls from liberals who recognize that such programs are what sustain the obedience of their slave followers.

Every time cry-baby Obama has attempted to raise taxes or enact more spending increases, the adults in the room have done all they can to limit his extravagant choices. Despite Obama's best efforts to make deficit spending the cornerstone of his plan to keep the American people beholden to the federal government, the Republicans have managed to avoid allowing him to take everything he wants without paying for it. While thug Obama has increased spending far beyond the point of responsibility and good governance, the limited austerity measures the Republicans have successfully pushed are slowly having a too-small effect on the budget and spending habits of the federal government.

For every dollar Obama has raised taxes in ways that are clearly visible, he has matched it with taxes raised in ways that are not so immediately obvious. According to the figures presented by the non-partisan Congressional Budget Office, Obama raised taxes in the first five years of his dictatorship by three trillion dollars. Fully half of that figure is comprised of direct, overt tax increases, such as the increase in the payroll tax Obama demanded when he held the federal government hostage during the *fiscal cliff crisis* in 2012. The payroll tax increase hits the average hard-working American the most, because it increases payments to Social Security and other government programs, pulling more and more money out of the paychecks of the middle class. The spike in the payroll tax is just one example, however. Along with the increases Obama demanded in the payroll tax, he raised the top marginal tax rate from 35 percent to 38.6 percent while phasing out a number of exemptions for individuals earning over \$250,000 and couples earning over a combined \$300,000.

While 300,000 dollars may seem like a lot of money to most people, it must be recognized that a huge portion of the overall tax burden in America falls on the shoulders of those in that income bracket, while those earning only slightly smaller paychecks often pay no federal income taxes at all. Many of those people paying the highest marginal rates are the same individuals or families who have also seen their health insurance plans disappear because of ObamaCare. Moreover, they are now forced to pay higher premiums for the Obama-the-savior privilege of finding health insurance plans they did not want but are forced to purchase. The costs of ObamaCare are nothing short of hidden taxes that place an even more burdensome tax liability on those who can least afford to pay more. While everyone is now forced

to purchase health insurance, it is really only those in the middle class and the top earners who actually have to pay for it; they also have to pay for the ObamaCare subsidies for those who earn less. Simply put, an estimated two thirds of the American people are paying not only for their own insurance, but also for the insurance of the other third of Americans, and these numbers are ever increasing.

Because the ObamaCare legislation is such a convoluted mess, it is often difficult for the American people to see or understand the true costs of this economic debacle. If a sober analysis breaks down some of these costs – which are nothing more than hidden taxes – one will find what is really in store for the American people. Publicly available figures from the Congressional Budget Office point out the fact that there are over twenty new taxes the American people will be forced to pay thanks to ObamaCare. These include everything from hikes in the Medicare payroll tax to increases in taxes on investment income that will be diverted to pay for Obama's socialized-communist-medicine program. All told, these increases amount to hundreds of billions of dollars over the coming decade. That estimate is on the low side, based on what we currently know about ObamaCare. In the event that the American people are faced with problems related to ObamaCare that have yet to be anticipated, the true costs of the program will surely climb much higher.

The thug-in-chief Barack Hussein Obama has hidden taxes in government regulations. Along with the onerous burden of direct taxation, the federal government extracts money and exerts control over the American people in less-direct ways. Among the most significant of these government activities are the command and control (CAC) regulations on American businesses and industries. In essence, command and control regulations are two sides of the same coin. The *command* side is comprised of the regulations, guidelines, and other demands the government places on industries to establish and enforce standards of quality, target outputs, safety rules, and other restrictions and limitations. To some degree, it is understandable why the federal government would establish and enforce policies of this nature; the biggest complaint from industries and businesses arise when the nature or the extent of such regulations exceed that which is necessary, fair, or reasonable. The *control* side of the CAC coin involves the costs of fees for doing business, and the sanctions levied against industries and businesses that fail to

adhere to the often egregious government standards. These fees and sanctions can make it difficult or impossible for businesses to survive, especially in the current economic environment.

Just like private individuals, businesses also pay taxes on income, though for businesses *income* is profit. Also just like private individuals, businesses pay hidden taxes; often these hidden taxes are significantly greater than the overt, direct taxes levied against their profits. The full scope of CAC regulations is massive; the government establishes regulations and guidelines for virtually every aspect of conducting business in any industry, at any level. CAC regulations include, for example, standards about the levels of specific pollutants a business can generate. If the business exceeds those limits, it will be fined or face some other forms of sanctions. At first glance this might seem entirely reasonable; after all, no one likes pollution! Yet, at issue is the question of who establishes the guidelines related to pollutants, and on what basis do they do they establish such guidelines? There are undoubtedly some such regulations that are appropriate and necessary, but whenever government regulators are involved – as opposed to industry, business, and scientific experts who actually understand the relevant issues – problems are bound to arise. Simply put, the government has an economic incentive to establish regulations that ensure revenue for the government. When the federal government is involved, problems are part of the program involvement.

CAC regulations are not the only way to ensure that businesses or industry sectors engage in ethical and responsible behavior. As an alternative to the negative-reinforcement model on which CAC is based, the government can establish positive-reinforcement-based economic incentives that encourage responsible behavior rather than simply discouraging supposedly bad behavior. It is possible, for example, for the government to establish taxes that are direct and visible, that incentivize businesses and industries to avoid the production of so-called *negative externalities*. Finding the right balance in such incentivizing taxes can be difficult, but they are fairer and more manageable for businesses, which can work with the fixed costs of such taxes rather than face fines or other costs that can be levied unfairly.

Since taking illegal control of the American government, Obama and his thug regime have chosen CAC as their weapon of choice against businesses. Acting through the



overreaching power of federal bodies like the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Obama and his minions have extracted billions of dollars from American businesses for every conceivable violation of even the most ridiculous rules and standards. Whenever and wherever this qualification is present, it would by logic include Barack Hussein Obama's participation. Further, Obama has used the EPA to establish new regulations and standards for many different industries, often making such rules and standards virtually impossible to follow. By setting the regulatory bar too high, Obama can make it appear to his followers that he is taking a firm stance against the evil corporations that POLDS believe are ruining America, when in reality Obama is simply bleeding more and more money out of every possible industrial sector to increase government revenue. Rather than establish policies that incentivize good, corporate citizenship, Obama chooses to demonize businesses, simply because that plays well to his regime's brain-dead base support.

\*\*\*

Let us now examine Obama's strategies of control.

The CAC regulations are not just a means of extracting hidden taxes from businesses and industry; they are also a means of exerting economic and political control. While the EPA and the other agencies that operate under Obama's dictatorship can set fines and fees against businesses, they can also establish regulations or offer tax breaks and other incentives as well. For an industry that may be politically popular with Obama's constituency, such as the so-called *green energy* sector, the government can and does offer huge economic incentives and advantages to companies in that sector, while fining and taxing other businesses in other sectors that may not share that same political popularity among liberals and progressives. One well-known example is that of Solyndra, a *green* solar company that was given hundreds of billions of dollars by Obama only to collapse into bankruptcy. In that instance, Obama spent enormous sums of the American people's money to curry favor with progressive voters. That money simply disappeared! One wonders as to whether or not that was the original intention!

The full scope of CAC regulations and how Obama and the federal government use them to exert control over the business sector and to extract billions, or even trillions

in hidden taxes is far too massive to properly and adequately address in the context of this analysis. What is clear, after giving CAC the most cursory glance, is that they are just more examples of how massive the federal government has grown under the thug-in-chief Barack Hussein Obama, and the extent to which the federal government reaches into the pockets of every American to extract the ever possible dollar. When considering the issue of CAC regulations, it also becomes clear that the visible taxes and other costs exacted from all Americans by the government are just the tip of the economic iceberg; it is below the surface where the full scale of the Obama regime's reach and power are hidden from view.

Under Barack Hussein Obama there is a reality beyond taxation that shows the total implications of Obama's plans to control Americans' lives. Even with the briefest discussion of CAC regulations, and the ways they are used to restrict, control, and extract revenue from businesses, it is all but impossible not to consider the myriad ways that government uses similar tactics to control private citizens. Under the Obama regime, the reach of government into the lives of Americans has grown exponentially. It is not just in the obvious ways that huge, expensive programs like ObamaCare or the stupid government educational program Common Core force Americans to behave as the federal government sees fit, it is also in the small but innumerable ways that the government makes Americans comply with its demands on virtually every aspect of their lives. From voter-roll manipulation to unconstitutional gun regulations, the Obama administration seeks to exert command and control over all America and Americans.

Another CAC aspect that may not be immediately obvious to Obama's supporters – but which his political opponents recognize is one of its fundamental components – is in the way ObamaCare makes those who use it beholden to and reliant upon the federal government. For generations, Americans have typically acquired health insurance in one of several ways, including accessing health insurance as part of an employer-based program, or simply by purchasing private, individual health insurance. It is no accident that ObamaCare offers subsidies that make it extremely inexpensive or even supposedly free to millions of Americans. Yet, somewhere someone is paying! Obama and the DemocrATS know that once these people become dependent on still another government program they will become even more

beholden – they will become slaves to the federal government. More specifically, they will become – and, Obama hopes, they will remain – dependent upon the DemocRAT Party. The more handouts and freebies the DemocRATS can offer, the more those who take these handouts will become dependent on the largesse of the DemocRAT Party. The result is control!

The problem for the remainder of Americans, especially the hard-working and beleaguered middle class, is that someone has to pay the costs of ObamaCare for all of those who are getting it for free. Obama is putting the hidden taxes he is raising through ObamaCare to good use by spending those billions on millions of his supporters. For every dollar Obama takes from the middle class and gives to someone else, he and the DemocRATS are investing in their party's future. The analysis is simple: ObamaCare is not just a socialized-communist-medicine program; it is also an illegal voter mine. The group Voters Trust asserts that ObamaCare is nothing less than the "biggest voter registration fraud scheme in the history of the world." That is, of course, a pretty significant accusation; unfortunately for many Americans, there is plenty of evidence to back up such a claim.

A recent report funded by left-wing billionaire George Soros describes how simple it is to use ObamaCare as a scheme to drive up the number of registered DemocRAT voters. The National Voter Registration Act of 1993 mandated that virtually all government agencies must offer voter-registration services to the public in addition to providing the voters the agency's regular services. On the surface, this may appear to simply be a fair and positive use of government resources, until one considers that the majority of those who are likely to directly access government programs are also those who are likely to become or remain dependent on them. This establishes an unhealthy, and even unholy relationship between the DemocRAT Party – which loves nothing more than to give away money that does not belong to them – and those who take advantage of every government giveaway that comes along. If a potential voter knows that his or her free money is coming from the DemocRATS, and the same people giving away the free money are asking the potential voter to register, it is fairly obvious in which party this potential voter will become a member.

As if that is not enough of an unfair advantage for Obama and the DemocRATS, the applications for ObamaCare that were sent out to millions of Americans came with, in

many cases, voter registration forms that were pre-marked *Democrat*, which should have read *DemocRAT*. The ObamaCare exchange named *Covered California*, for example, sent out millions of voter registration cards to California residents that were already marked for the DemocRAT Party. Groups such as the League of Women Voters and the American Civil Liberties Union have advocated for the inclusion of voter registration cards with ObamaCare signup forms, and ObamaCare phone representatives in many states are being told to ask every caller if he or she would like to register to vote at the same time as they sign up for the free insurance being handed out by Obama and the DemocRATS. There is simply no way to see such actions as anything less than unfair, and those who insist that ObamaCare registration is tantamount to voter registration fraud are making a true statement. There are simply no equivalent government programs that are similarly slanted towards the Republican Party which does not have a government program for registering its voters.

There are numerous other ways that Obama is using his usurped power of his office to exert and extend government control over the lives of the American people. One mandate enacted under Obama limits the amount of tax-free savings an individual can put into his or her Individual Retirement Agreement (IRA). This does not mean that an individual cannot save as much as he or she can afford to save; instead, it restricts the amount that can be saved and put into an IRA. Once that limit is reached, all remaining savings are subject to taxation. The cap on IRA savings is three million dollars. The cap on the amount that can be extracted tax-free is 250,000 dollars annually after retirement. This is an entirely new set of rules and regulations that Obama enacted, and these rules represent one more way that Obama and the DemocRATS are attempting to control the lives of the American people. These rules literally determine how much an individual can save without penalty, and how much an individual can spend. If it is an American's money, why can that American not save it or spend it as that American sees fit?

At a time in the economic life of the nation when people are saving their money at historically low levels, would it not make sense to establish laws and regulations that encourage, rather than discourage, the American people to save money? These Obama-regime regulations are established for the sole purpose of ensuring that the

government has what it needs – or at least what it wants – while ensuring that the needs of the American people come second. This is just one example of a myriad of economic policies and laws that have been established by the Obama regime in the last six years that are aimed at raising government revenue to the detriment of Americans and to the expansion of government handouts. The real problem with these sorts of regulations is that they do not just extract money from Americans – such as that which is acquired through direct taxation – they also police demands on the behavior, decisions, and choices made by individuals. That is the goal!

For anyone who believes that such restrictions or limitations on behavior are, at worst, incidental to the revenue-generating policies enacted by Obama, attention should be paid to the ways that the Obama thug regime offers direct consideration to the use of behavioral sciences in the development of public policies. Thug Obama and his cohorts are not just aware that their policies have a direct impact on the behavior and choices of the American people; their policies are *specifically and purposefully designed* to have such an impact. Beginning in 2010, the federal government adopted the recommendations of a Yale University study on behavioral sciences that offered advice and information on how public policy could be shaped to produce outcomes that the government finds favorable or beneficial. Agencies such as the Department of Health and Human Services and the Veterans Administration are developing policies that are designed to ensure that their constituencies behave in ways that are beneficial to and predictable by these agencies. In short, the federal government is using behavioral science to manipulate the ways that the public behaves.

Another behavior scheme that Barack Hussein Obama wishes to activate is to place the United States of America under the auspices of the United Nations Agenda 21 program – a global plan for the total control of the individual. It will eliminate personal property, terminate individual freedom and replace it with freedom within the collective. Agenda 21 will also control the distribution of wealth. Throughout their history Progressives have made utmost contributions in making a Godless nation out of America. Coupled with the program Agenda 21 they will achieve in their satanic goal of making America totally Godless. Agenda 21 is the opportunity of having

Marxist dictates exercising brutal control over people. Free America will no longer be free! Americans will no longer be Americans!

Barack Hussein Obama has made no secret of his intention to use his power to accomplish whatever he would like to accomplish, even if that means going outside the bounds of constitutional limitations. He has, and will likely continue to use, executive orders to ram through rules or policies without congressional approval. All presidents have used executive orders, but they have traditionally been used to implement specific aspects of governmental policies once those policies have been developed and approved through the proper channels – namely, the United States Congress. Where Obama is breaking with the past and abusing the power of executive orders, is in using them to develop and implement public policy. There are innumerable examples of such misuse of executive orders. While Republicans in Congress have sounded the alarm over such abuses, many Americans seem completely oblivious to these actions by Barack Hussein Obama. Such obliviousness is the outcome when WE the People become brain-dead with regard to politics.

One particularly egregious example of Barack Hussein Obama's misuse of executive orders was written in 2012, when he signed Executive Order 13603 on *National Defense Resources Preparedness*. This executive order mandates that his government regime can seize control of the following:

- All commodities and products that are capable of being ingested by either human beings or animals.
- All forms of energy.
- All forms of civil transportation.
- All usable water from all sources.
- Health resources – drugs, biological products, medical devices, materials, facilities, health supplies, services and equipment.

The above list includes just some of the resources and powers that the government can seize. This executive order also mandates that citizens can be forcibly inducted into the military, and that the federal government and military leaders can, in

essence, take control of any person, place, and resource they see fit. What this executive order amounts to is a declaration of the circumstances under which the federal government can declare martial law. The rules and guidelines contained in this executive order were all established without any input or oversight from Congress. It is impossible to view this executive order as anything less than a further gross usurpation of power by Barack Hussein Obama, and it is just one of the hundreds of rules and regulations the dictator has rammed into an Executive Order in the past few years.

One issue that may be more familiar to even casual observers of government is that of gun ownership. While there is certainly room for public debate on the issue of responsible gun ownership and ways that safety and security related to guns can be improved, what is not in question is that the Founding Fathers of this nation determined that the right to keep and bear arms was fundamental to the security of individuals and the nation itself. The authors of the *Constitution for the United States of America* were all too aware of the power of totalitarian governments like that under which WE the People lived during the reign of King George III of England. Had it not been for their ability to fight back against the repressive British government, the Continental Army of OUR Forefathers would never have succeeded in their revolutionary quest to establish a free nation. The Founding Fathers understood that the power of government should rest with the people, not the leaders, and they further understood that the right to keep and bear arms was necessary for the people to protect their power against a tyrannical government like the Barack Hussein Obama regime.

Despite this constitutionally-guaranteed right, Obama and the DemocRAT Party have consistently and repeatedly pushed for broader and stricter gun control laws. By all available evidence, gun control laws are counter the reality. In cities such as Chicago and Washington D.C, where it is illegal to possess guns, the murder rates are higher than anywhere else in the United States. In regions where citizens are free to enjoy their Second Amendment rights, the number of people murdered by guns is lower by orders of magnitude. When citizens are able to protect themselves against criminals, the crime rates go down. It is simple and unassailable logic. In light of Obama's executive order about martial law, however, it is easy to imagine why he and his

party would prefer to have a populace that was already unarmed; such circumstances would make it that much easier to assume total control of the United States of America when and how the regime sees fit.

Taken in total, all of these different elements add up to create a reality that cannot be ignored. Barack Hussein Obama can be blamed for the massive further growth of the federal government. That growth actually began under an earlier DemocRAT, President Franklin Roosevelt, who used the excuse of World War II to enact massive new federal programs and explode the size and scope of presidential powers. That said, it must be acknowledged that Obama has used every level of government, every mechanism at his disposal to reach further and further into the lives of the American people. In addition to having the National Security Agency clandestinely record private data from unknowing Americans, the other primary means by which Obama has accomplished this intrusion into American lives is through taxes; he has developed a system of command and control of private citizens that rivals, or even exceeds, the ways that CAC has long been used to control business and industry. Obama and the DemocRAT Party have also employed fraud and chicanery to grow the reach of their entire party and seek to ensure that an entire generation of Americans is dependent on the federal government. The full implications of Obama's actions are so significant as to be almost unfathomable, and it may be too late to turn back the clock on the advent of socialist-communist totalitarianism. If liberty is to be salvaged, it is first necessary to recognize that it is in danger. If Obama has his way, he will not only control Americans' ability to fight back against a repressive government; he will control Americans' ability to remember what freedom was like.

Suppose Barack Hussein Obama was to conquer America and as a conqueror was to lay America under no other conditions than towards America's annual revenue that amount which the Obama regime dictates or borrows on the international money market from international financiers who are involved in toxic derivative scandals that will destroy the American economy. This question must follow: Under these circumstances is it advisable to raise money on the international money market for a socialist-communist America? The patriotic answer is NO!

Can it be supposed that conquerors would choose to put themselves in a worse condition than what they granted to the conquered? Under the DemocRATS and the



Obama regime there is scarcely a necessity of life that you can eat, drink, wear, or enjoy that is not loaded with a tax. Even the light from heaven would be taxed if Obama could do it, although there are enough taxes on environmental energy sources. Yet, light from the burning fires of hell are free under Barack Hussein Obama. The humblest drink of life, a small beer, has a tax on it. In short, the condition of the United States of America, in point of taxation, is so oppressive, the numbers of America's poor who do not pay taxes so great, and the extravagance and rapaciousness of the Obama regime so enormous, that in the conquest of America, the distresses of America would further explode out of the economical framework. What Obama wants is clear: solid revenue! The modes which the Obama regime takes to procure solid revenue are not to operate alike on all, but only on the wealthy who work for their wealth. The Obama regime's manner of reasoning is short, because they would naturally infer that if WE the People are able to survive their domestic war that has been continuing for six years, WE the People, the successful people, particularly, should be taxed heavily for surviving the thug Obama regime.

Could I find a miser whose heart never felt the emotion of a spark of principle, even that man, uninfluenced by every love but the love of money, and capable of no attachment but to his interest, would and must, from the frugality which governs him, contribute to the defence of the nation, or he ceases to be a miser and becomes an idiot. But when Americans take in with it everything that can ornament mankind; when the line of American interest becomes the line of American happiness; when all that can cheer and animate the heart, when a sense of honor, fame, character, at home and abroad, are interwoven not only with the security but the increase of property, there exists not a man or woman in America, unless he or she be an hired emissary, who does not see that America's good is connected with keeping up a sufficient defence against the Obama regime.

I do not imagine that an instance can be produced in the world of a regime putting itself to such an amazing charge to conquer and enslave a free nation as Barack Hussein Obama has done. The sum of money is too great for Barack Hussein Obama to think of with any tolerable degree of temper. When we consider the burdens Barack Hussein Obama places on Americans, as well as the disposition Barack Hussein Obama has shown, it would be the height of folly to suppose that

Barack Hussein Obama would not reimburse himself by the most rapid means, should Barack Hussein Obama have America once within his power. With such an oppression of expense, what would an empty conquest be to Barack Hussein Obama? What relief under such circumstances could Barack Hussein Obama derive from a victory without a prize? It is money, it is control of revenue that Barack Hussein Obama first went to domestic war for, and nothing but that will satisfy him.

It is not the nature of avarice to be satisfied with anything else. Every passion that acts upon mankind has a peculiar mode of operation. Many of them are temporary and fluctuating; they admit of cessation and variety. But avarice is a fixed, uniform passion. It neither abates of its vigor nor changes its object; and the reason why it does not is founded in the nature of things, for wealth has not a rival where avarice is a ruling passion. One beauty may excel another, and extinguish from the mind of man the pictured remembrance of a former one; but wealth is the phoenix of avarice, and therefore it cannot seek a new object, because there is not another in the world.

There are two distinct items which make the payment of taxes difficult; the one is the large and real value of the sum to be paid, and the other is the scarcity of the currency in which the payment is to be made, which in itself is a form of control. Although these appear to be one and the same, they are in several instances not only different, but the difficulty springs from different causes.

Hypothetically speaking, suppose a tax be laid equal to one half of what a person's yearly income is. Such a tax could not be paid, because the property behind the person's income could not always be spared; and on the other hand, suppose a very trifling tax was laid, to be collected in pearls, such a tax likewise could not be paid, because they could not always be had. Now any person may see that these are distinct cases, and the latter of them is a representation of the American taxpayers' predicament.

That the difficulty cannot proceed from the former, that is, from the real value or weight of the tax, is evident at the first view to any person who will consider it. The convenience or inconvenience of paying a tax in money arises from the quantity of money that can be spared out of the person's economical status. It is not the want of property, but the scarcity of the medium by which the proportion of property for

taxation is to be measured out that makes the embarrassment under which Americans suffer. There is not money enough, and, what is equally as true, the Obama regime will not let there be money enough!

Look at the destruction done by the Obama regime. All of the individual states are suffering the same fate: large deficits caused by the DemocRAT politics of the past and the present.

Notwithstanding the weight of the domestic war, the ravages of the enemy Obama regime, and the obstructions it has thrown in the way of trade and commerce, so soon does a young nation outgrow misfortunes, that America has already surmounted many that heavily oppressed her. For the first year or two of the domestic war under Barack Hussein Obama, Americans were shut up within the envisioned wonderland of hope and change. Under the government of Barack Hussein Obama, the trade of this nation has been loaded with restrictions. Without Obama it would be otherwise. The case would show the vast advantage of an open trade, because America with the present quantity of trade under the Obama regime's restrictions cannot not support itself; from which I infer, that if half the quantity without the restrictions can bear itself up nearly, if not quite as well as the whole when subject to them, how prosperous would the condition of America be when the whole shall return open with all the world!?! By the trade I do not mean the employment of a merchant only, but the whole interest and business of the United States of America.

It is now appropriate for a salient remark. Fines like in ObamaCare are, of all modes of revenue, the most unsuited to the minds of a free nation. When a person pays a tax, that person knows that the public necessity requires it, and therefore feels a satisfaction in discharging his duty; but a fine – frequently levied with severity – seems to be atonement for neglect of duty, and of consequence is paid with discredit.

Everyone knows that I am not the flatterer of Congress. In most instances they are not right and if their measures are supported, Barack Hussein Obama will have his way and there will be no need for Congress.

I have now waded through a tedious course of difficult business, and over an untrodden path. The subject, on every point in which it could be viewed was

entangled with perplexities, and enveloped in obscurity, yet such are the resources of America, that she wants nothing but system to secure success.

\*\*\*

The treachery of Barack Hussein Obama is well known and engrosses the attention and conversation of the public; and that, not so much on account of the traitor as the magnitude of the treason, and the providence evident in the discovery. The matter, as far as it is at present known, is thus briefly related:

The true character of Barack Hussein Obama is that of a desperado. His whole life has been a life of accomplished nothings; and where either plunder of government funds, or profit from a book he did not write was the object, no danger deterred, no principle restrained him. In his person he was criminally clever and active, somewhat diminutive, weak in his capacities and extremely trifling in his conversation; and because he is a gallant fake, he is defective in the talents necessary for command. The early convulsion of the times afforded Barack Hussein Obama an introduction into life, to the morality of which he was a stranger, and the eagerness to succeed by means of taking credit for other people's work, and to reward and encourage those who are not worth it, procured him at once both applause and promotion, because he always throws away those whom he uses once their usefulness has expired. His being a pseudo community organizer gave him fame in Chicago. The gaining of a seat in the Illinois state legislature put the first stamp to his public character. His behavior from this time, the true spring of his conduct being known, he is both disregarded and disesteemed. This last instance of his treachery – the usurpation of the Oval Office – has proved the judgment right.

When we take a review of the history of former times it will turn out to the honor of America that, notwithstanding the trying variety of her situation, Barack Hussein Obama is the prime example of a well-placed fraud. Even in this case, the unshaken honesty of those who detected him heightens their national patriotic character, to which Obama's apostasy serves as a foil. From the nature of his crimes, and his disposition to lie, it is reasonable to conclude that Barack Hussein Obama had direct accomplices. His sole object was to usurp a position and to destroy the United States

of America and to be consistent with himself. He is a charlatan in that he would as readily betray the side he would desert to, as that he would desert from.

One reflection results from this black business that deserves notice, which is that it shows the declining power of the enemy Obama regime. Americans are a proud people. They ought to be above Barack Hussein Obama. They ought to despise Barack Hussein Obama. However they may feel on occasion, Americans will eventually despise Barack Hussein Obama and consider American Patriotism superior to his thug regime.

As of this writing Barack Hussein Obama is calling for more taxes, indeed, he is calling for the highest sustained taxes ever! What for? For socialism-communism? No, thank you!

As of this writing there are under Barack Hussein Obama 26,417 new rules and regulations in the United States Federal Register. What for? For socialism-communism? No, thank you!

To purgatory with you and your regime thugs Barack Hussein Obama!

Americans are taxed enough and controlled enough already!



Frederick William Dame  
Patriotic, Steadfast, and True  
April 28, 2014