

FREDERICK WILLIAM DAME

THE DESTRUCTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PART II

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

The reader will not be able to find any essay written by this author on the Internet that is titled *The Destruction of the United States of America, Part I*. The reason is that the destruction of the United States of America was outlined by Barack Hussein Obama in his first State of the Union speech on January 27, 2010. This was halfway through the destructive activities that Barack Hussein Obama began when he started to occupy the Oval Office on January 20, 2009. Since that day, the destruction has continued at a rapid pace. The United States of America is no longer respected as a world super power, if it is that at all. Barack Hussein Obama's hope was dope for the majority of the American electorate. His goal always was and still is to change the federal republic that is the United States of America into a socialist/communist state. Barack Hussein Obama began the destruction by violating the law of the land, the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Barack Hussein Obama has committed fraud against the American people. He is the traitor *non plus ultra*. His destruction of the United States of America continues, as is evidenced by his second State of the Union speech delivered on January 25, 2011.

The following investigation is a detailed, sentence-by-sentence examination of what Barack Hussein Obama said with (documented) comments thereto.

Barack Hussein Obama's State of the Union Address,
Delivered January 25, 2011,
As Prepared for Delivery.

Source: <http://www.foxbusiness.com/markets/2011/01/25/read-text-obamas-state-union-speech/>

1. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Vice President, Members of Congress, distinguished guests, and fellow Americans: Tonight I want to begin by congratulating the men and women of the 112th Congress, as well as your new Speaker, John Boehner.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama begins his speech by mentioning the dignitaries and addresses his fellow Americans. If the claims of recent research concerning the origins of Barack Hussein Obama are true, then Barack Hussein Obama is not an American citizen and he may not even have any citizenship. He was adopted by an Indonesian Lolo Soetoro and lived as an Indonesian citizen as a young boy. His Indonesian school registration lists his citizenship as being Indonesian. It appears that he never requested American citizenship after his 18th birthday. When he became a United States Senator from Illinois, Barack Hussein Obama would have lost his Indonesian citizenship automatically because Indonesia does not allow any of its citizens to occupy political office in another country. Furthermore, there is apparently no long-form birth certificate for Barack Hussein Obama in Hawaii.

(<http://jroycroft.wordpress.com/2011/01/22/new-governor-of-hawaii-cant-find-obamas-birth-certificate-governor-abercrombie-tells-london-daily-mail-it-may-not-exist/>;
http://www.infowars.com/abercrombie-admits-failure-to-discover-obama-birth-certificate/?utm_source=twitterfeed&utm_medium=twitter)

Therefore, it is a forked-tongue greeting to Americans. Any American citizen who considers Barack Hussein Obama to be a fellow American citizen does not know the provisions of the Constitution and is ready to accept Barack Hussein Obama's insult. Why does Barack Hussein Obama want to congratulate the men and women of the new Congress – for their being elected? The New House of Representatives has a majority of Republicans over the Democrats: 242 to 193. In the Senate there are 51 Democrats, 47 Republicans, and 2 Independents. Barack Hussein Obama had a majority of the Democrats in both houses of Congress before the mid-term November election in 2010. He certainly does not want to congratulate the new Republican members of the House of Representatives for their election. This is another forked-tongue statement and it is the misleading aspect of doublespeak.

2. And as we mark this occasion, we are also mindful of the empty chair in this Chamber, and pray for the health of our colleague – and our friend – Gabby Giffords.

COMMENT: In his doublespeak speech at the memorial ceremony in Tucson, Arizona on January 12, 2011, Barack Hussein Obama spoke subtle doublespeak indications that the conservatives in the United States of America were responsible for the shootings and the assassination attempt on Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords. (Never Let A Tragedy Go To Waste at <http://www.colony14.net/id489.html>).

3. It's no secret that those of us here tonight have had our differences over the last two years.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama intends to soften the opposition of the new Republicans in the House of Representatives. Differences do not describe the political atmosphere created by Barack Hussein Obama over the last two years. Better terms are strong disagreement, emphatic division, or non-identical political views. It is Barack Hussein Obama's attempt to recreate a new atmosphere after insulting Republicans and the majority of the American people throughout the last two years. It is this author's opinion that the insults will continue for the next two years, unless there are legal proceedings against Barack Hussein Obama.

4. The debates have been contentious; we have fought fiercely for our beliefs.

COMMENT: This is a mild formulation. Indeed, it is a falsification of the reality. The fight was not fierce. It was disrespectful and mostly below-the-waistline on the part of the Democrats. The fact that Nancy Pelosi (D-CA), the former Speaker of the House of Representatives, broke every rule and proper decorum concerning legislative debate on bills reveals that it was not a contentious fight, but rather a Barack Hussein Obama-directed dictatorship over the democratic process of legislating

5. And that's a good thing.

COMMENT: The statement that fighting for one's beliefs is a good thing cannot be argued. However, when the belief is change America into a socialist/communist system, then that goal is not positive for the United States of America. The statement is misleading.

6. That's what a robust democracy demands.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. The United States of America is not a democracy. The country is a constitutional republic. The term democracy is not stated in the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Democracy as an ideology and system (?) of government is really mob rule!

7. That's what helps set us apart as a nation.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. Democracy does not set America apart. The guarantee of the freedoms in the Constitution and the free enterprise system

without government or trade union interference and control sets The United States of American apart from other nations.

8. But there's a reason the tragedy in Tucson gave us pause.

COMMENT: If Barack Hussein Obama considers the shootings in Tucson, Arizona to be a tragedy, than why did he use the occasion for a political rally with t-shirts, banners, and bumper stickers? It was nothing more than the start of his re-election campaign for the presidency in 2012. The statement is a falsification of fact.

9. Amid all the noise and passions and rancor of our public debate, Tucson reminded us that no matter who we are or where we come from, each of us is a part of something greater – something more consequential than party or political preference.

COMMENT: Each American is an individual, responsible for being a moral citizen and responsible for observing the privileges and duties of being an American citizen.

10. We are part of the American family.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. This is Barack Hussein Obama's attempt to portray the United States of America as one big commune, a socialist/communist society that must be regulated by his big government. America is not one big family. America is made up of individual local families, some of which are law abiding, some of which are criminal, and some of which are fraudulent, lying politicians.

11. We believe that in a country where every race and faith and point of view can be found, we are still bound together as one people; that we share common hopes and a common creed; that the dreams of a little girl in Tucson are not so different than those of our own children, and that they all deserve the chance to be fulfilled.

COMMENT: If Barack Hussein Obama believes that the United States of America is "a country where every race and point of view can be found", then why does he constantly bring up race when other person's opinions oppose his politics? The United States of America is not bound together as one people. That is not the intent of the Constitution. The Constitution does not bind. It establishes freedom by simple rules of law. The

United States of America is a nation with a myriad of heritages that are required to respect each other. Organization like Barack Hussein Obama-oriented La Raza respects only Latin-American heritages and contends that others are racists. Indeed, Barack Hussein Obama contends that only whites are racists and the legal system is racist because there are more African –Americans in prison that white Americans. Barack Hussein Obama attempts a falsification of reality. Dreams of children are just dreams of children. By saying that the dreams of children deserve a chance is surely a statement that is positive. However, Barack Hussein Obama was and is always ready to say that one's dreams are guaranteed by the Constitution. He sees it as a constitutional imperative. The statement is a falsification of fact.

(<http://uwp.duke.edu/uploads/assets/Vanderslice%281%29.pdf>) This is not true. Only the opportunity is present. The guarantee depends on the individual.

12. That, too, is what sets us apart as a nation.

COMMENT: See comments above. This is a continuation of the falsification of reality above. The opportunity to fulfill one's dreams sets America apart from other nations. There is no guarantee that the dream will be fulfilled. It is an individual responsibility with adherence to morality, laws, and justice.

13. Now, by itself, this simple recognition won't usher in a new era of cooperation.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama's past record of political engagement testifies to the fact that Barack Hussein Obama never wanted cooperation from the opposition. He wanted to destroy them and throw them under the bus, particularly when they were/are no longer useful to him. The statement is a falsification of fact.

(<http://www.johntreed.com/Obamabus.html>;
<http://johnreed.com/headline/2010/09/05/list-of-those-thrown-under-the-bus-by-obama/>;
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lcdv6rTwIUs>;
<https://www.blogger.com/blogin.g?blogspotURL=http%3A%2F%2Fobamawtf.blogspot.com%2F2008%2F07%2Flist-of-who-obama-has-thrown-under-bus.html>)

14. What comes of this moment is up to us.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The moment refers to Barack Hussein Obama meaning that the moment has come for Congress, particularly the House of Representatives to work together with him and the remaining Democrats. The majority of the American electorate voted in November 2010 not to work with Barack Hussein

Obama, but to revoke the destruction Barack Hussein Obama had done in the first two years of this occupation of the Oval Office. Should the House of Representatives work with him for his continued socialist/communist agenda, they, particularly the Republicans, will not be fulfilling the mandate given them by We the People in November 2010.

15. What comes of this moment will be determined not by whether we can sit together tonight, but whether we can work together tomorrow.

COMMENT: Having the Congress sit together and not in political blocks was an attempt by the Democrats to show the American viewing public that there is a bipartisan support of Barack Hussein Obama. This is not the case and the Republicans were unwise not to sit separately in their respective faction seats. Barack Hussein Obama knows this and misrepresented Congressional reality to the American viewers of his State of the Union speech. The statement is a falsification of reality.

16. I believe we can.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama is harping on his election motto "Yes we can." In reality it is YES, WE CON!

17. I believe we must.

COMMENT: Of course, Barack Hussein Obama believes that Congress can work together with him. Barack Hussein Obama will use every trick in his political evil to coerce those against him to change their positions. He has done it in the past and will do it in the future. The statement is misleading.

http://www.weeklystandard.com/blogs/sebelius-and-holder-admit-obamacare-fundamentally-about-coercion_523406.html;

http://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2009/05/coercion_in spite_of_the_will.html;

<http://thehill.com/opinion/columnists/dick-morris/93399-a-blueprint-for-coercion->

18. That's what the people who sent us here expect of us.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama distorts reality. The American voters sent their newly elected Congress persons to Washington to represent the constituent views. They are not there to work with Barack Hussein Obama. They are there to work for the

American people. Working for Obama would be working against the American electorate. (http://www.atimes.com/atimes/Front_Page/JB26Aa01.html;
<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/19175>;
<http://www.praydailyamerica.com/id542.html>

19. With their votes, they've determined that governing will now be a shared responsibility between parties.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama distorts reality. The voice of the electorate in November 2010 was totally against Barack Hussein Obama's politics. The electorate does not want big government spending. They do not want to be told what to do from the cradle to the grave. (<http://www.authorsden.com/visit/viewarticle.asp?id=59531>;
http://vimeo.com/moogaloop.swf?clip_id=17762120&

20. New laws will only pass with support from Democrats and Republicans.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. Notice that Barack Hussein Obama places Democrats before the Republicans, although it is the support of the Republicans that Barack Hussein Obama needs. He needs the support of some Democrats only; those who will be up for re-election in 2012. If they come from big government, socialist/oriented states like Washington, or Connecticut, even Massachusetts, he already has their support. New laws will and should only pass if they are the will of We the People.

21. We will move forward together, or not at all – for the challenges we face are bigger than party, and bigger than politics.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama uses the command form. Barack Hussein Obama distorts reality. The challenges are not bigger than party. If the challenges are bigger than politics or party, then there is no sense in using politics and party to meet the challenges and solve them. Barack Hussein Obama apparently fails to realize that challenges were caused by him and the Democrats in the 111th Congress. It is the duty of the parties in the name of We the People, the source of their authority to solve these challenges and reverse the wrong decisions of Barack Hussein Obama and Nancy Pelosi and Company during the last two years. That is the concern of moral, answerable, responsible politics and politicians.

22. At stake right now is not who wins the next election – after all, we just had an election.

COMMENT: Obama states a falsification of political reality and his goals. His goal is to win the next election. That is why he began his campaign in Tucson, Arizona and masqueraded it as a tribute to those victims of the shooting. The goal right now is to stop big government deficit spending. That is one of the reasons the new Republican representatives were elected. It is the House of Representatives that control the purse of the government, not Barack Hussein Obama.

23. At stake is whether new jobs and industries take root in this country, or somewhere else.

COMMENT: The statement contains falsification of reality. So far Barack Hussein Obama and his stimulus as of November 2010 has created minus 3.0 million jobs, an average of minus 1.1 million since his election to office. (http://www.bls.gov/schedule/archives/empsit_nr.htm#2010) Barack Hussein Obama's stimulus to create jobs was money thrown down the drain by the incompetent socialist/communist Barack Hussein Obama. The majority of the stimulus was to pay off the organizations who voted for him in the elections in 2008. (<http://spectator.org/archives/2009/01/27/acorns-stimulus>)

24. It's whether the hard work and industry of our people is rewarded.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. The truth is that Barack Hussein Obama's politics sends jobs overseas. (<http://freedomist.com/2010/11/24/obama%E2%80%99s-war-on-gulf-drilling-sends-american-jobs-overseas/>; <http://thehill.com/homenews/administration/124513-obama-gop-favors-tax-loopholes-that-send-jobs-overseas?page=2>; <http://freemarketmojo.com/?p=12139>;

25. It's whether we sustain the leadership that has made America not just a place on a map, but a light to the world.

COMMENT: This author suspects that Barack Hussein Obama is speaking about himself being part of this leadership and thus misrepresents reality. Since Barack Hussein Obama has occupied the Oval Office the world is laughing at the United States of America. (<http://blog.heritage.org/2010/03/26/start-follow-on-treaty-in-pursuit-of-a-pipe-dream/>; <http://www.lauraingraham.com/b/Red-China-continues-to-laugh-at-us/-586621309899053128.html>) The leadership that made America a light unto the world was way before Barack Hussein Obama. It was American leadership after the American Revolutionary War, after the Civil War, during and after WWI, during and After WWII,

and during and after the Cold war. (John Yoo, *Crisis and Command*, Kaplan Publishers, New York: 2009, passim.)

26. We are poised for progress.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. If Barack Hussein Obama's regime and politics are progress, it would be interesting to know what success is. There is none, unless success is measured in instituting socialism/communism.

(http://www.tysknews.com/Depts/gov_philosophy/dsa_members.htm)

27. Two years after the worst recession most of us have ever known, the stock market has come roaring back.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. The stock market is so roaring! That is why stocks are underperforming!

(<http://crest.mcwebby.com/pdfs/Stock%20Gazing%20Future.pdf>)

28. Corporate profits are up.

COMMENT: This is misleading statement. Corporate profits are up because the majority of American companies receive their profits from overseas and these profits cannot be taxed due to loopholes in the American tax system. Furthermore, if the rise in corporate profits is so important, why is there not an increase in jobs? Even the New York Times points out that "at the current rate, the economy will need 72 to 90 months to recapture the jobs lost during the Great Recession. And that does not account for the five million jobs needed to keep pace with a growing population."

(<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/01/09/weekinreview/09powell.html>)

29. The economy is growing again.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama states a falsification of reality. The GDP growth rate forecast is much different. Indeed, the forecast predicts a decline.

(<http://www.forecasts.org/gdprealgrowth.htm>)

30. But we have never measured progress by these yardsticks alone.

COMMENT: This is a dumb statement. The statement is misleading. What kind of progress is Barack Hussein Obama talking about? If it is economic progress, that kind of progress is measured in GDP is only one measurement of economic progress. Others are the consumer price index, producer price index, household income and household spending, regional income, distribution of income, and net domestic product and investment, which have had a predominant negative trend since Barack Hussein Obama was elected. (<http://www.jstor.org/pss/3101647>; http://www.bea.gov/scb/pdf/2010/04%20April/0410_gpd-beyond.pdf; <http://www.qa02.com/biz/how-is-economic-growth-measured.html>)

31. We measure progress by the success of our people.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we** and **our**? This is a cloudy statement with no core meaning. The statement is misleading. To what kind of progress is Barack Hussein Obama referring? Person XYZ says he wants to climb mountain ABC over the weekend. He does it in record time. That person is a success. Wow, he has contributed to America's success.

32. By the jobs they can find and the quality of life those jobs offer.

COMMENT: Okay! Economic success is measured by the number of jobs available and the jobs people find. That is why there is so much employment. The official unemployment rate is 9.4 percent. The real rate is higher because those who were dropped from unemployment compensation are not counted in the unemployment figures. This is a misleading statement. (<http://www.bls.gov/bls/unemployment.htm>)

33. By the prospects of a small business owner who dreams of turning a good idea into a thriving enterprise.

COMMENT: This is a true statement. However, the small business owner does not want to be regulated by big government and taxed to death by it. Moreover, the small business owner does not want to be forced into government programs like ObamaCare.

34. By the opportunities for a better life that we pass on to our children.

COMMENT: Parents do not want their children to have a worse life. At the same time the lives of the children should be decided by the family and the children and not by

socialism in government. Socialism breeds jealousy and laziness. It does not breed individual success in any aspect of society.

35. That's the project the American people want us to work on.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama states a falsification of reality. The American people want less taxes, less government interference in their lives, no illegal immigration, and they want to live in a powerful country that is not insulted by Barack Hussein Obama.

36. Together.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Well, as the November elections prove, the electorate thinks that the Republicans can solve America's problems better than the Democrats that created them.

(<http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Elections/House/2010/1103/On-historic-night-Republicans-sweep-House-Democrats-from-power>)

37. We did that in December.

COMMENT: This is a falsification. The Democrats and the Republicans did nothing together in December 2010. It was the Republicans who were the initiative in getting things done. The Democrats wanted to stall!

38. Thanks to the tax cuts we passed, Americans' paychecks are a little bigger today.

39. **COMMENT:** I doubt it. What a person bought for 100 dollars in 1980 costs in 264.63 dollars in January 2011. (http://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm) Real earnings have fallen 0.4 percent. The statement is a falsification of fact.

(<http://www.bls.gov/news.release/realer.nr0.htm>;
<http://www.bls.gov/news.release/cpi.nr0.htm>)

40. Every business can write off the full cost of the new investments they make this year.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. This was nothing more than a subsidy for debt. This is not a good economic practice.

(http://www.businessweek.com/bwdaily/dnflash/content/sep2010/db2010098_573154.htm)

41. These steps, taken by Democrats and Republicans, will grow the economy and add to the more than one million private sector jobs created last year.

COMMENT: This is a falsification. Only the private sector can create jobs. Not investment, which is more government spending.

42. But we have more work to do.

COMMENT: This is intuitively obvious, regardless of the times and the political party in power.

43. The steps we've taken over the last two years may have broken the back of this recession – but to, we'll need to take on challenges that have been decades in the making.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality and fact. This is a *Bush's fault* swipe. The problems started when Franklin Delano Roosevelt, a Democrat, took the United States of America off of the gold standard in 1933. Coupled with the creation of the Federal Reserve System under Woodrow Wilson, a Republican, but in retrospect a Republican In Name Only, is when America's economic problems began. President Woodrow Wilson had this to say after he created the Federal Reserve System in 1913. "I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated governments in the civilized world. No longer a government by free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men." This is a very valid description for Barack Hussein Obama's regime. (<http://shadow.wordpress.com/2006/10/26/338/>)

44. Many people watching tonight can probably remember a time when finding a good job meant showing up at a nearby factory or a business downtown.

COMMENT: How does Barack Hussein Obama know this? He never had any real factory or business experience. He never really worked or learned the value of work. He was never poor and unemployed.

45. You didn't always need a degree, and your competition was pretty much limited to your neighbors.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama means college or university degrees. We do not really know if he has any!

46. If you worked hard, chances are you'd have a job for life, with a decent paycheck, good benefits, and the occasional promotion.

COMMENT: The same is true today. Regarding Barack Hussein Obama's rise to power, the statement is misleading. Barack Hussein Obama is so far removed from reality, he knows that positions like he occupies can also be usurped and be obtained illegally by committing felonies. (<http://usjf.net/2010/02/lawyer-who-challenged-obama-ineligibility-could-prove-costly/>; <http://www.splcenter.org/blog/2010/05/28/news-flash-obama-%E2%80%98convicted%E2%80%99-of-fraud-in-birther-trial>)

47. Maybe you'd even have the pride of seeing your kids work at the same company.

COMMENT: Kids is a demeaning slang term for children. It has always been slang and demeaning. The term is at best informal. It seems, however that Barack Hussein Obama is more inclined to support elites than the working class. (<http://www.wsws.org/articles/2011/jan2011/pers-j22.shtml>)

48. That world has changed.

COMMENT: What intelligence!!! What platitude!!!

49. And for many, the change has been painful.

COMMENT: What intelligence!!! What platitude!!!

50. I've seen it in the shuttered windows of once booming factories, and the vacant storefronts of once busy Main Streets.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Much of it in Chicago, Illinois was administered by slum lord and Barack Hussein Obama advisor Valerie Jarrett. (<http://www.judicialwatch.org/news/2008/nov/obama-advisor-valerie-jarrett-linked-real-estate-scandals>)

51. I've heard it in the frustrations of Americans who've seen their paychecks dwindle or their jobs disappear – proud men and women who feel like the rules have been changed in the middle of the game.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading because the **real** dwindling came under Barack Hussein Obama's first two years.

52. They're right.

COMMENT: Of course, they are right and they should have voted that way.

53. The rules have changed.

COMMENT: What intelligence!!! What platitude!!!

54. In a single generation, revolutions in technology have transformed the way we live, work and do business.

COMMENT: What intelligence!!! What platitude!!!

55. Steel mills that once needed 1,000 workers can now do the same work with 100.

COMMENT: This is the progress that Barack Hussein Obama was referring to earlier. Is he now decrying it? In comparison to the aforesaid, the statement is misleading.

56. Today, just about any company can set up shop, hire workers, and sell their products wherever there's an Internet connection.

COMMENT: Again, this is the progress that Barack Hussein Obama was referring to earlier. Is he now decrying it? In comparison to the aforesaid, the statement is misleading.

57. Meanwhile, nations like China and India realized that with some changes of their own, they could compete in this new world.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. This is the progress that Barack Hussein Obama was referring to earlier. At the same time China and India cap their employees' wages, have no secure medical system, have a large portion of their populations living under the poverty level, and have no unions and union thugs to encounter in the market oriented economy.

58. And so they started educating their children earlier and longer, with greater emphasis on math and science.

COMMENT: Yes! They had no leftist ideologues interfering in the core subjects of education. The children were told what to learn. The children could not elect to take golf instead of mathematics or a science. Additionally, the statement is misleading because the educational system in China may cause innovation and technology deficits. (<http://www.haohaoreport.com/TechWeb/Lee-Kaifu-China-s-Education-System-Cripples-Innovation-and-Entrepreneurial-Success>)

59. They're investing in research and new technologies.

COMMENT: Their governments are allowing it without big government control, except perhaps in China. At the same time, China sets goals. One of them is to control the world from the Middle and defeat the United States of America as a super power. Barack Hussein Obama has no such goals:

60. Just recently, China became home to the world's largest private solar research facility, and the world's fastest computer.

COMMENT: Yes, it was private, not big government like Barack Hussein Obama wants.

61. So yes, the world has changed.

COMMENT: Again, this is the progress that Barack Hussein Obama was referring to earlier. Is he now decrying it? In comparison to the aforesaid, the statement is misleading.

62. The competition for jobs is real.

COMMENT: Again, this is the progress that Barack Hussein Obama was referring to earlier. Is he now decrying it? In comparison to the aforesaid, the statement is misleading.

63. But this shouldn't discourage us.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama's politics are discouraging for America.

64. It should challenge us.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. If Barack Hussein Obama allowed the private sector to develop, they would take on the challenge. It is as simple as that! Free enterprise and not union control will find the solutions to such challenges.

65. Remember – for all the hits we've taken these last few years, for all the naysayers predicting our decline, America still has the largest, most prosperous economy in the world.

COMMENT: The naysayer-in-chief was and is Barack Hussein Obama.

66. No workers are more productive than ours.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. Barack Hussein Obama must mean the union street cleaners in New York City.
(http://www.nypost.com/p/news/local/sanit_filthy_snow_slow_mo_qH57MZwC53QKOJle_kSSDJK)

67. No country has more successful companies, or grants more patents to inventors and entrepreneurs.

COMMENT: This is a falsification. This is an outright lie. It distorts reality. The true figures for patents are:

- 1 **Japan:** 994 per million people
- 2 **Korea, South:** 779 per million people
- 3 **United States:** 289 per million people
- 4 **Sweden:** 271 per million people
- 5 **Germany:** 235 per million people

(http://www.nationmaster.com/graph/eco_pat_gra-economy-patents-granted)

68. We are home to the world's best colleges and universities, where more students come to study than any other place on Earth.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of the rankings. The top university in the world is Cambridge University in England. The next two are Harvard University, Yale University, followed by University College of London, and then comes Massachusetts Institute of Technology. American colleges and universities are among the world's best. However two of the best five are in England. (<http://www.topuniversities.com/university-rankings/world-university-rankings>)

69. What's more, we are the first nation to be founded for the sake of an idea – the idea that each of us deserves the chance to shape our own destiny.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of fact. To be founded for the sake of an idea is not correct. The United States of American was founded on established principles of freedom as opposed to tyranny. These were not ideas. They were and are inalienable truths! This is a falsification of the truth. Each American citizen does not deserve the chance to shape our own destiny. Each American citizen has the opportunity to shape his/her own destiny. Each American citizen can partake of the chance or not partake of the chance. A collective commune destiny does not exist in America. It has never existed and it should never exist. This is a falsification of facts. The statement is socialist/communist.

70. That is why centuries of pioneers and immigrants have risked everything to come here.

COMMENT: Incorrect usage. Firstly, they were legal immigrants. Once in the United States they became pioneers, dependant on their undertakings.

71. It's why our students don't just memorize equations, but answer questions like "What do you think of that idea?"

COMMENT: This is a typical leftist expression. It would be better if they really memorized the equations and then asked questions about the equations! Equations are not ideas. They are mathematical and scientific facts.

72. What would you change about the world?

COMMENT: This is a new question? It has been asked since ancient times.

73. What do you want to be when you grow up?

COMMENT: This is a new question? It has been asked since ancient times.

74. The future is ours to win.

COMMENT: The future cannot be won. Success in the future can be achieved, but not won. The future is not a game to win. It is a fact of life

75. But to get there, we can't just stand still.

COMMENT: But socialism and communism is a standing still. Free enterprise is movement into the future.

76. As Robert Kennedy told us, "The future is not a gift. It is an achievement."

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama counters his previous statement that future can be won! This is not good rhetoric.

77. Sustaining the American Dream has never been about standing pat. It has required each generation to sacrifice, and struggle, and meet the demands of a new age.

COMMENT: This is a falsification. The American Dream is never sustained. The opportunity to achieve the American Dream must be sustained, not the dream itself.

78. Now it's our turn.

COMMENT: The statement is too inconclusive. Who does Barack Hussein Obama mean with **our**? Does he mean socialists/communists?

79. We know what it takes to compete for the jobs and industries of our time.

COMMENT: Does Barack Hussein Obama mean free enterprise?

80. We need to out-innovate, out-educate, and out-build the rest of the world.

COMMENT: This is the free enterprise system.

81. We have to make America the best place on Earth to do business.

COMMENT: This is the free enterprise system. Free enterprise can do it!

82. We need to take responsibility for our deficit, and reform our government.

COMMENT: The free enterprise system can do it!

83. That's how our people will prosper.

COMMENT: Through the free enterprise system.

84. That's how we'll win the future.

COMMENT: The future is not a game. See the respective comment above. Furthermore, Barack Hussein Obama cannot win the future by aborting the unborn and requiring that the federal government pay for it. An abortion is disrespect for the unborn and a falsification of logic and morals.

85. And tonight, I'd like to talk about how we get there.

COMMENT: Talk is not necessary. Support of the free enterprise system is necessary.

86. The first step in winning the future is encouraging American innovation.

COMMENT: Let free enterprise do it!

87. None of us can predict with certainty what the next big industry will be, or where the new jobs will come from.

COMMENT: Free enterprise can predict them! It can not only predict them, it can make them!

88. Thirty years ago, we couldn't know that something called the Internet would lead to an economic revolution.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality and the history of the development of the Internet. The free enterprise of Bill Gates and other such companies knew it.

89. What we can do – what America does better than anyone – is spark the creativity and imagination of our people.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of reality. America does not do this. The freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution and the free enterprise system do this.

90. We are the nation that put cars in driveways and computers in offices; the nation of Edison and the Wright brothers; of Google and Facebook.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. They came into existence because the free enterprise system supported and encouraged their efforts.

91. In America, innovation doesn't just change our lives.

COMMENT: The statement contains an undercurrent thought that is misleading. Barack Hussein Obama is not capable of understanding the existence of innovation in a free market system. It is a fact that innovation is proportional to the rate of change of knowledge respective time. Knowledge is proportional to the rate of change of information respective time. A high rate of change of knowledge is indicative that innovation is present. Once this situation is recognized, investment can be made in the innovation process. The products thus resulting can then be placed on the open, free market for purchase. The people working in such innovative industries can then support their lives via the capitalist system. If an innovative economy is established on a socialist/communist platform and run by socialist/communist organizations like various government controlled and financially supported trade unions, the ideas and the results in the innovative economy will not be distributed by the free market system, but will be controlled by the government and waste will result. (<http://www.ingenesisist.com/general-info/the-new-definition-of-innovation.html>) Socialism/communism stifles knowledge and free enterprise thinking. Convincing a society that hope and change lies in socialism/communism is nothing more than brainwashing.

92. It's how we make a living.

COMMENT: The connection is missing. The statement is misleading. Americans make a living by working as a result of innovation that will provide economic profits and the less government interference, the better for innovation.

93. Our free enterprise system is what drives innovation.

COMMENT: Finally! The statement is misleading, when we take Barack Hussein Obama's socialism/communism into consideration. Then why does Barack Hussein Obama want to change to a socialist and communist system?

94. But because it's not always profitable for companies to invest in basic research, throughout history our government has provided cutting-edge scientists and inventors with the support that they need.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification. The statement is not true for the Internet. It is true for the development of the atomic bomb and weapons. It is not necessarily true for the development of nuclear power. Barack Hussein Obama intends to tell the audience that more government investment (spending) is necessary. Here is what happened with the Internet. Research began with institutes of technology, not with the government. These researchers included those scientists working at the laboratories of Vinton G. Cerf at Stanford University, Donald Davies (National Physical Laboratory, United Kingdom), Paul Baran (RAND Corporation), and Leonard Kleinrock at MIT and at UCLA. Except for the Arpanet research which is well documented, the history of Internet research exists in private researchers' basements, garages, and closets. There are really no written records of what happened because the information on the research was transmitted verbally. There was no government interference.

(<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/technology/6959034.stm>)

What is a cutting-edge scientist? Barack Hussein Obama probably does not know. A cutting-edge scientist is someone who works and researches in the newest and most exciting stage in the development of something. Scientists come from institutions of higher education and corporations. They do not come from the government.

95. That's what planted the seeds for the Internet.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama states a falsification of historical reality. See comment above.

96. That's what helped make possible things like computer chips and GPS.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama states a falsification of technological history. The first computer chips were developed by Jack Kilby at Texas Instruments Corporation in the summer of 1958. GPS was developed by the Department of Defense beginning in 1973. Evidently Barack Hussein Obama does not know these simple, technological historical facts.

97. Just think of all the good jobs – from manufacturing to retail – that have come from those breakthroughs.

COMMENT: Yes! The majority of them came from private industry.

98. Half a century ago, when the Soviets beat us into space with the launch of a satellite called Sputnik, we had no idea how we'd beat them to the moon.

COMMENT: Having no idea of how to beat the Soviets to the moon is not true. Barack Hussein Obama states a falsification of fact. Initial American concepts and undertakings were developed before 1962. The initial American attempt failed that year. The Soviets were first successful in landing a craft on the moon 1966.

99. The science wasn't there yet. NASA didn't even exist.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama states another falsification of historical fact. "*An Act to provide for research into the problems of flight within and outside the Earth's atmosphere, and for other purposes.*" This is the simple preamble of the congressional act that created the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) on October 1, 1958. NASA was in existence with a valid *birth certificate* before Barack Hussein Obama was born, regardless of where. (<http://history.nasa.gov/factsheet.htm>)

100. But after investing in better research and education, we didn't just surpass the Soviets; we unleashed a wave of innovation that created new industries and millions of new jobs.

COMMENT: Who does Barack Hussein Obama mean by **we**? Of course, it was the American free enterprise system reacting as a result of receiving government contracts.

101. This is our generation's Sputnik moment.

COMMENT: Does Barack Hussein Obama mean the present generation, those born after 1975? The statement is inconclusive and misleading.

102. Two years ago, I said that we needed to reach a level of research and development we haven't seen since the height of the Space Race.

COMMENT: Who does Barack Hussein Obama mean with **we**? Barack Hussein Obama means the United States of America. **We** includes Barack Hussein Obama. By rules of grammar it excludes the United States of America.

103. In a few weeks, I will be sending a budget to Congress that helps us meet that goal.

COMMENT: This will be another spending budget; oops, investment budget!!! Barack Hussein Obama will not send the budget to Congress. His regime staff will develop a budget.

104. We'll invest in biomedical research, information technology, and especially clean energy technology – an investment that will strengthen our security, protect our planet, and create countless new jobs for our people.

COMMENT: Evidently, Barack Hussein Obama does not like to say the United States of America. Grammatically, he excludes the nation. It is all about him and his co-conspirators in his regime. The new budget will not do these things. Earmarks have already been made for nonsense spending, oops investment. Earmarks do not strengthen anything. They are a waste of money.

(<http://washingtonexaminer.com/politics/2010/12/dems-are-earmark-junkies-gop-goes-straight>;

[http://www.cnbc.com/id/40678840/2011 Spending Bill Is Loaded With 8 Billion in Pet Projects](http://www.cnbc.com/id/40678840/2011_Spending_Bill_Is_Loaded_With_8_Billion_in_Pet_Projects))

105. Already, we are seeing the promise of renewable energy.

COMMENT: This is a dumb statement. Renewable energy does not make a promise. The availability of renewable energy can provide a basis upon which energy for the future can be utilized economically. However, this is not a promise. The statement is not logical and it is misleading.

106. Robert and Gary Allen are brothers who run a small Michigan roofing company. After September 11th, they volunteered their best roofers to help repair the Pentagon.

COMMENT: This was a sign of American patriotism.

107. But half of their factory went unused, and the recession hit them hard.

COMMENT: It is wonderful that the Allen Brothers are successful with the 500,000 dollar government loan. Hopefully, they will pay back the taxpayers. This is a misleading of what happened. The truth about the recession, however, is that Barack

Hussein Obama and the far left politics of the Democratic Party played an integral in creating it. (<http://www.pennyjobs.com/pp/public/Articles.aspx?aid=491>)

108. Today, with the help of a government loan, that empty space is being used to manufacture solar shingles that are being sold all across the country.

COMMENT: See comment above.

109. In Robert's words, "We reinvented ourselves."

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The idea was not government inspired. It was inspired by private enterprise. It may be good that they did this, yet a practical long-term prediction is that the Allen Brothers will go bankrupt without government subsidy because solar shingles produced in China are cheaper and often better.

110. That's what Americans have done for over two hundred years: reinvented ourselves.

COMMENT: This is improper grammar. The word should be **themselves**. Is Barack Hussein Obama including himself as an American by using **ourselves**? The terminology is not appropriate. Reinventing means to do something over again with a possible, new twist. The reinvention by the Allen Brothers was the creation of a new solar shingle firm. This was really a new idea, not a new reinvention. The statement is misleading.

111. And to spur on more success stories like the Allen Brothers, we've begun to reinvent our energy policy.

COMMENT: America's energy policy should be developed by free market principles, mainly by supply and demand.

112. We're not just handing out money.

COMMENT: Based upon Barack Hussein Obama's past record, this is a lie, a falsification. Barack Hussein Obama has always handed out the taxpayers' money with practically no results. Some historical examples can be found at: Michelle Malkin,

Culture of Corruption, Regnery Publishing, Washington:, DC: 2009, passim. Present-day examples are: (<http://www.thenewamerican.com/index.php/usnews/politics/4067-obama-accused-of-misuse-of-taxpayer-dollars-in-kenya>; <http://floydreports.com/obama-uses-your-money-to-monitor-the-media-outsource-jobs-and-teach-kenyans-genital-hygiene/>) There is an on-going investigation concerning Barack Hussein Obama's corruption by Judicial Watch. (<http://www.judicialwatch.org/national-obama-accountability-project>)

113. We're issuing a challenge.

COMMENT: Who does Barack Hussein Obama mean by **we**?

114. We're telling America's scientists and engineers that if they assemble teams of the best minds in their fields, and focus on the hardest problems in clean energy, we'll fund the Apollo Projects of our time.

COMMENT: Be wary of this statement, for it is misleading and is full of socialism/communism control of scientific endeavor. No one in their right mind will think that if the government funds a scientific inquiry, that the government will not interfere in any way it can. One only has to investigate ObamaCare to see that the government will control medical science and the American citizens' lives from the cradle to the grave.

115. At the California Institute of Technology, they're developing a way to turn sunlight and water into fuel for our cars.

COMMENT: The research is being done by Sossina Haile and William Chueh . The funding possibly comes from Begley Jr., but not from the government. The statement is misleading.

116. At Oak Ridge National Laboratory, they're using supercomputers to get a lot more power out of our nuclear facilities.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The supercomputers do not get more power out of nuclear facilities. The supercomputers can investigate information in nuclear process better. This information is then used to possibly change some reactions. The people behind the supercomputers are able to do this. The supercomputers must be controlled. The use of computers to get more energy has been going on since the late

1970s. It is nothing new. The present research is only six-months old. Whether or not it will be a success is questionable.

(http://blogs.knoxnews.com/munger/2011/01/after_getting_a_shout-out_from.html)

117. With more research and incentives, we can break our dependence on oil with biofuels, and become the first country to have 1 million electric vehicles on the road by 2015.

COMMENT: Beware! The statement is bogus. It is a falsification. It is nothing more than Barack Hussein Obama's failed logic on green energy. Barack Hussein Obama wants new investments (subsidies) to create energy independence by developing more green energy production. Let the free market do the job, not governmental subsidies (investments). Barack Hussein Obama's intent is to trick people into aligning America with the goals of global warmists. The result would be a loss of jobs, a lowering of the standard of living, higher costs, and the government regulating energy with energy substitutes that are less efficient. Obama's new subsidies are supposed to create energy independence by encouraging more domestic "green" energy production. It's all bogus. The free market does a pretty good job at allocating resources for the production of commodities.

118. We need to get behind this innovation.

COMMENT: Naturally! It is necessary in Barack Hussein Obama's estimation that America must succumb to the falsification of the global warming theories.

119. And to help pay for it, I'm asking Congress to eliminate the billions in taxpayer dollars we currently give to oil companies.

COMMENT: There is absolutely no need for oil companies to receive government subsidies. Let them drill and not be told if and where they can drill for oil. Likewise, there is no need for government subsidization of green energy. Let the market take care of the investing. The subsidy to both sectors is not logical. The market can create more energy production, not the government.

120. I don't know if you've noticed, but they're doing just fine on their own.

COMMENT: This is a point that everyone can agree with; however do not prohibit the oil companies from domestic drilling. Thus the statement is misleading.

<http://www.insurancenewsnet.org/html/HealthInsurance/2010/0610/Antitrust-Laws-Shouldn-t-Prohibit-Oil-Companies-From-Assisting-In-Gulf-Spill.html>;
http://www.nj.com/news/index.ssf/2010/12/new_federal_plan_prohibits_dri.html)

121. So instead of subsidizing yesterday's energy, let's invest in tomorrow's.

COMMENT: Translation: Let's subsidize tomorrow's energy.

122. Now, clean energy breakthroughs will only translate into clean energy jobs if businesses know there will be a market for what they're selling.

COMMENT: Let the free enterprise decide if there is a market.

123. So tonight, I challenge you to join me in setting a new goal: by 2035, 80% of America's electricity will come from clean energy sources.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The goal is unrealistic because fossil fuels are economically competitive and because of the growth rate of energy demands in the United States and worldwide. (<http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/ieo/world.html>) Barack Hussein Obama has plagiarized a Stanford University professor. (<http://news.stanford.edu/news/2011/january/jacobson-world-energy-012611.html>)

124. Some folks want wind and solar.

COMMENT: People want affordable energy prices. If it is wind and solar energy, okay; but it has to be economical and not subsidized.

125. Others want nuclear, clean coal, and natural gas.

COMMENT: People want affordable energy prices. If it is nuclear, clean coal, and natural gas, okay; but it has to be economical and not subsidized.

126. To meet this goal, we will need them all – and I urge Democrats and Republicans to work together to make it happen.

COMMENT: Let the free market system make it happen!

127. Maintaining our leadership in research and technology is crucial to America's success.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. As of 2009, the United States of America ranks fifth worldwide in electric technology.

(<http://www.researchtrends.com/issue9-january-2009/the-rankings-%E2%80%93-a-country-view/>; <http://www.economist.com/markets/rankings/>) Totally in research and technology, it ranks number eight.

(http://images.businessweek.com/ss/09/03/0312_innovative_countries/24.htm) It appears that there is no longer any American leadership.

128. But if we want to win the future – if we want innovation to produce jobs in America and not overseas – then we also have to win the race to educate our kids.

COMMENT: It is not a game Barack Hussein Obama! Again, abortions of the unborn destroy the future.

129. Think about it.

COMMENT: Do not think about it. Let free enterprise do something about it!

130. Over the next ten years, nearly half of all new jobs will require education that goes beyond a high school degree.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The statistic was old thirty years and more ago. It is nothing new, except maybe for leftists!

(<http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2011/01/little-new-on-education-in-obamas-address/70294/>)

131. And yet, as many as a quarter of our students aren't even finishing high school.

COMMENT: And the United States educators still call them students?

(<http://www.colony14.net/id241.html>)

132. The quality of our math and science education lags behind many other nations.

COMMENT: The statement "lags behind" is misleading. The United States ranks eleventh. That is more than "lags behind".

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trends_in_International_Mathematics_and_Science_Study)

133. America has fallen to 9th in the proportion of young people with a college degree.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. The United States ranks twelfth.

(<http://www.stateuniversity.com/blog/permalink/the-united-states-falling-behind-in-college-degrees.html>; <http://wonkroom.thinkprogress.org/2010/07/26/college-attain-fall/>)

134. And so the question is whether all of us – as citizens, and as parents – are willing to do what's necessary to give every child a chance to succeed.

COMMENT: Perhaps not all Americans want a university education, or a college education. There is a difference! Perhaps they just want to be a qualified professional.

135. That responsibility begins not in our classrooms, but in our homes and communities.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. This is a reversal. Leftist educators have always said that the government has the responsibility to educate the youth of America. Leftist, federal government involvement in education has resulted in a dumbing-down of the educated. (<http://blog.heritage.org/2010/02/01/budget-2011-education-spending-skyrockets/>)

136. It's family that first instills the love of learning in a child.

COMMENT: A child does not love to learn. Children are taught the positive values of learning. This should happen in the family and with non-leftist and upright moral curricula and educators, not teachers. You can teach dogs to do tricks, but you cannot educate them.

137. Only parents can make sure the TV is turned off and homework gets done.

COMMENT: Children who obey their parents and learn responsibilities and duties can do this also. Children who are looked after from the cradle to the grave cannot do this!

138. We need to teach our kids that it's not just the winner of the Super Bowl who deserves to be celebrated, but the winner of the science fair; that success is not a function of fame or PR, but of hard work and discipline.

COMMENT: Wake up Barack Hussein Obama! Barack Hussein Obama spends more time playing golf than you do governing! Barack Hussein Obama spends more time watching basketball games and football games than any hard-working American. Maybe Barack Hussein Obama should start working! Success is PR if PR means party relationship.

139. Our schools share this responsibility.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The schools share the responsibility with the family, not with government involvement.

140. When a child walks into a classroom, it should be a place of high expectations and high performance.

COMMENT: The child should learn high expectations, high performance, moral conduct, and patriotism!

141. But too many schools don't meet this test.

COMMENT: That is because there are too many leftist educators and too much leftist ideology in education. Bring education back to grass roots meaning, responsibility, and duty. (<http://www.colony14.net/id241.html>)

142. That's why instead of just pouring money into a system that's not working, we launched a competition called Race to the Top.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement and an unsuccessful government program. Even the Huffington Post says so. This is the pouring of money into another non-working system! It will ruin America's existing education system. It will demoralize

teachers, close schools, destabilize education, dismantle communities, and destroy education, except for the leftist viewpoints. (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/diane-ravitch/obamas-race-to-the-top-wi_b_666598.html)

143. To all fifty states, we said, "If you show us the most innovative plans to improve teacher quality and student achievement, we'll show you the money."

COMMENT: The old harped-on message: Let government do it! No! Let the communities and the states do it.

144. Race to the Top is the most meaningful reform of our public schools in a generation.

COMMENT: See comment at 140. The statement is misleading. The indications are that Race to the Top will be like the failed education politics in Chicago when Barack Hussein Obama was a major money distributor of federal funds. Barack Hussein Obama threw 100 million dollars out of the window by distributing the money in curious ways! (<http://sadbastards.wordpress.com/2008/09/02/the-annenberg-challenge-what-happened-to-100-million-in-grants-to-improve-chicago-schools/>; <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB122212856075765367.html>;

145. For less than one percent of what we spend on education each year, it has led over 40 states to raise their standards for teaching and learning.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of educational reality. It has been "serving Obama well since his campaign: Talking about great education – but doing little to actually get it – appears to be a surefire political winner. But that's hardly change we should believe in." (http://www.cato.org/pub_display.php?pub_id=10965) Barack Hussein Obama's educational policy is all rhetoric and no reform. (http://www.cato.org/pub_display.php?pub_id=10160) If there were real reform, why would American cities be in an educational crisis? (<http://marketplace.publicradio.org/display/web/2010/07/01/am-solving-the-us-education-crisis/>) If over 40 states have raised their standards in education, why have the United States "fallen to 'average' in international education rankings released by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development"? (<http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5juGFSx9LiPaur6eO1KJAypB2ImVQ?docId=CNG.5337504e8f65acf16c57d5cac3cfe339.1c1>)

146. These standards were developed, not by Washington, but by Republican and Democratic governors throughout the country.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of the facts. The standards are developed by the United States Department of Department of Education, the state boards of education, and the communities. The teachers' unions also have their input, particularly when it comes to salaries. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Education_in_the_United_States)

147. And Race to the Top should be the approach we follow this year as we replace No Child Left Behind with a law that is more flexible and focused on what's best for our kids.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of facts. "Ultimately, Race To The Top is all promises, no production. States must say how they would improve lots of things, but they actually have to do very little. It is decades of public schooling — from the Great Society to No Child Left Behind — in a nutshell. Stagnant scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress tell the tale. In 1973, the average mathematics score for 17-year-olds — our schools' 'final products' — was 304 (out of 500). By 2008 it was just 306. In 1971, the reading average was 285. Twenty-seven years later, it had skyrocketed to...286. Ultimately, Race To The Top is all promises, no production.

(http://www.cato.org/pub_display.php?pub_id=11669)

148. You see, we know what's possible for our children when reform isn't just a top-down mandate, but the work of local teachers and principals; school boards and communities.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. Race To The Top is a top-down mandate, organized and funded by the United States Department of Education.

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Race_to_the_Top) It also appears that part of the educational work is being taken over by the Communist Party of the United States of America with its Red School Bus Tour.

(<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread654790/pg1>)

149. Take a school like Bruce Randolph in Denver.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of the school's name. The correct appellation is Bruce Randolph School, not just Bruce Randolph!

150. Three years ago, it was rated one of the worst schools in Colorado; located on turf between two rival gangs.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. The situation was due to the position of the local union. The school needed to be "unshackled from their union masters, and underperforming teachers had to be subject to the same market correction — the potential for job loss — as people in the private sector."

(<http://mangyredbonehound.com/2011/01/26/bruce-randolph-school-in-denver/>) The stature of the school was turned around because the educators in that school fought their own teachers' union positions and won, particularly when it came to the subject of collective *bargaining*. Bruce Randolph School was the first school in Colorado to be granted autonomy from district and union rules. This is completely opposite Barack Hussein Obama's stance on the importance of unions.

(<http://njleftbehind.blogspot.com/2011/01/sotu-quote-of-day.html>)

151. But last May, 97% of the seniors received their diploma.

COMMENT: See comment above. The statement is forked tongue. Barack Hussein Obama is making a positive comment about something he loathes: non-union involvement!

152. Most will be the first in their family to go to college.

COMMENT: Good for them They have shown that the unions are wrong!

153. And after the first year of the school's transformation, the principal who made it possible wiped away tears when a student said "Thank you, Mrs. Waters, for showing... that we are smart and we can make it."

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama's ideas on education had nothing to do with it. (http://www.denverpost.com/recommended/ci_15113912)

154. Let's also remember that after parents, the biggest impact on a child's success comes from the man or woman at the front of the classroom.

COMMENT: Before the impact of the classroom educator, the children must have good health so that they can be successful. At the same time, ObamaCare will not take care of healthy children. It is a misnomer and it is misleading.

155. In South Korea, teachers are known as “nation builders.”

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama is regarded as a nation destroyer.
(http://rightwingnews.com/mt331/2009/04/ten_ways_barack_obama_is_destr.php;
<http://www.topix.com/forum/city/asheville-nc/T9GH5BHP8E1S63GAL>;
<http://newzeal.blogspot.com/2010/12/obama-is-destroying-america.html>)

156. Here in America, it's time we treated the people who educate our children with the same level of respect.

COMMENT: Examples of Barack Hussein Obama's respect to others are:
<http://us.toluna.com/opinions/735353/Have-seen-heard-about-latest-example-Obama.htm>; <http://legalamericancitizen.com/showcase/obama%E2%80%99s-backdoor-amnesty-follows-a-pattern-of-disrespect-for-our-laws>;
<http://religionguide.org/allanmcnabb/liberalism/63-liberalism-breeds-disrespect.html>)

157. We want to reward good teachers and stop making excuses for bad ones.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama, there are no excuses for bad educators!

158. And over the next ten years, with so many Baby Boomers retiring from our classrooms, we want to prepare 100,000 new teachers in the fields of science, technology, engineering, and math.

COMMENT: Do not prepare teachers! Prepare educators!
(<http://www.colony14.net/id241.html>)

159. In fact, to every young person listening tonight who's contemplating their career choice: If you want to make a difference in the life of our nation; if you want to make a difference in the life of a child – become a teacher.

COMMENT: No! Become an educator! (<http://www.colony14.net/id241.html>)

160. Your country needs you.

COMMENT: The United States of American needs patriotic Americans, but does not Barack Hussein Obama.

161. Of course, the education race doesn't end with a high school diploma.

COMMENT: The statement is trite. Education has always continued in one way or another after a high school diploma. Education is not a sport. Education is a professional achievement. Barack Hussein Obama simply does not understand what he says.

162. To compete, higher education must be within reach of every American.

COMMENT: Higher education in the last generation has always been in reach of every American who wanted it, particularly the very poor. The author knows this out of personal experience.

163. That's why we've ended the unwarranted taxpayer subsidies that went to banks, and used the savings to make college affordable for millions of students.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Beware! Big government is out to control colleges and universities. (<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?pageId=209589>)

164. And this year, I ask Congress to go further, and make permanent our tuition tax credit – worth \$10,000 for four years of college.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. But the indications are that the tuition tax credit would probably go to students only if the institution has a document of state approval (by the government)... to operate an educational program, including programs leading to a degree or certificate," (<http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=209589#ixzz1CR2wPIHC>)

165. Because people need to be able to train for new jobs and careers in today's fast-changing economy, we are also revitalizing America's community colleges.

COMMENT: The community colleges should revitalize themselves. The federal government should not be involved. Once the federal government is involved, revitalization is merely wanting and a loose phrase.

166. Last month, I saw the promise of these schools at Forsyth Tech in North Carolina.

COMMENT: Falsification of reality. Not all community colleges that lead to associates degrees, certificates, or diplomas in a wide variety are as successful as Forsyth Tech. Barack Hussein Obama saw only the so-called promise at Forsyth Tech.

167. Many of the students there used to work in the surrounding factories that have since left town.

COMMENT: What is better, a factory job for life or the possibility of a better-than-factory job with a skilled profession?

168. One mother of two, a woman named Kathy Proctor, had worked in the furniture industry since she was 18 years old.

COMMENT: Good for Kathy Proctor! She knows what the meaning of work is. This is something that Barack Hussein Obama does not know because he has never really worked.

169. And she told me she's earning her degree in biotechnology now, at 55 years old, not just because the furniture jobs are gone, but because she wants to inspire her children to pursue their dreams too.

COMMENT: Kathy Proctor is a person the youth can admire, not Barack Hussein Obama.

170. As Kathy said, "I hope it tells them to never give up."

COMMENT: Kathy Proctor is correct! Do the work yourself and don't give up. To follow Barack Hussein Obama's creed, one must let others do the work and after they have finished, throw them under the bus. (<http://johntreed.com/headline/2010/09/05/list-of-those-thrown-under-the-bus-by-obama/>; <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lcdv6rTwiUs>;

<https://www.blogger.com/blogin.g?blogspotURL=http%3A%2F%2Fobamawtf.blogspot.com%2F2008%2F07%2Flist-of-who-obama-has-thrown-under-bus.html>)

171. If we take these steps – if we raise expectations for every child, and give them the best possible chance at an education, from the day they're born until the last job they take – we will reach the goal I set two years ago: by the end of the decade, America will once again have the highest proportion of college graduates in the world.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of logic. Other countries will not stagnate and not all of America's youth will want a college education. When is the end of the decade? Is it 2010 or 2018? The framework is inconclusive. Why should Barack Hussein Obama set the goals? Let the individual participants set their own, separate goals.

172. One last point about education.

COMMENT: Education is ever continuing. There are no last points to be made. There are continuing points to be made and they are ever changing themselves. The statement is banal.

173. Today, there are hundreds of thousands of students excelling in our schools who are not American citizens.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. Beware! Barack Hussein Obama wants to give illegal aliens and illegal alien students carte blanche status.

174. Some are the children of undocumented workers, who had nothing to do with the actions of their parents.

COMMENT: Does the same statement not possibly hold true for Barack Hussein Obama's daughters?

175. They grew up as Americans and pledge allegiance to our flag, and yet live every day with the threat of deportation.

COMMENT: Falsification of reality. Ask La Raza. High schoolers in California and in Texas pay allegiance to Mexico. (<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/fr/635950/posts>; <http://www.wikilaw3k.org/forum1/Immigration/Pledge-to-Mexican-flag-featured-at-Texas-school-528501.htm>); Moreover, illegal aliens have no allegiance to America. (<http://notes-ultima.blogspot.com/2010/07/illegals-have-no-allegiance-to-america.html>). Deportation? An illegal alien is in the United States of America illegally! Deportation is the legal consequence.

176. Others come here from abroad to study in our colleges and universities.

COMMENT: A trite statement! If they are legally in the United States of America, okay!

177. But as soon as they obtain advanced degrees, we send them back home to compete against us.

COMMENT: This is a falsification. They have the opportunity to remain in America if they so desire and meet the legal qualifications. (http://epaper.livemint.com/ArticleText.aspx?article=29_01_2010_024_002&keyword=&mode=1) Among foreign graduates staying in the United States of America after graduation, the PhD's lead the list. Indeed, America goes out of its way to keep them. (<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748704905604575026800522011226.html>) Undergraduate foreign students can remain in America sixty days after they receive their degrees. This is time enough for them to apply to stay if they want to stay. (http://travel.state.gov/visa/temp/types/types_1268.html) American universities actively encourage foreign students to study in America. China and India recruitment are two examples. (<http://chronicle.com/article/Number-of-Foreign-Students-in/49142/>)

178. It makes no sense.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama makes no sense!

179. Now, I strongly believe that we should take on, once and for all, the issue of illegal immigration.

COMMENT: This is an ambiguous, misleading statement. What does Barack Hussein Obama mean by saying **take on**? Does Barack Hussein Obama mean that the problem

should be solved according to the law, or does Barack Hussein Obama mean that all of the illegal, alien students should be given amnesty?

180. I am prepared to work with Republicans and Democrats to protect our borders, enforce our laws and address the millions of undocumented workers who are now living in the shadows.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Beware! Just like in the past! All Republican requests were thwarted.

<http://www.google.de/url?sa=t&source=web&cd=11&ved=0CBcQFjAAOAO&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.numbersusa.com%2Fcontent%2Fnews%2Ffebruary-2-2010%2Fpres-obama-proposes-cut-180-border-patrol-agents.html&ei=2ChFTbv6MsSBswaGwrnADg&usg=AFQjCNFUgp3aCliwoDYqlkgdbdCmMlhAMg>;

<http://www.google.de/url?sa=t&source=web&cd=12&ved=0CCEQFjABOAO&url=http%3A%2F%2Fborderwallinthenews.blogspot.com%2F2009%2F05%2Fobamas-plan-no-extension-of-border.html&ei=2ChFTbv6MsSBswaGwrnADg&usg=AFQjCNHJjqiAfB1YFCibcetJPDh6JrTf2w>; Give all of them amnesty?

(http://www.google.de/url?sa=t&source=web&cd=14&ved=0CDIQFjADOAO&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.cnsnews.com%2Fnews%2Farticle%2Fborder-chief-s-paradigm-shift-give-illeg&ei=2ChFTbv6MsSBswaGwrnADg&usg=AFQjCNF4frCegZblMum99UcL_h3g5iKcyQ)

181. I know that debate will be difficult and take time.

COMMENT: A banal statement. All debates are difficult and take time!

182. But tonight, let's agree to make that effort.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Barack Hussein Obama has thwarted all of the efforts concerning the legal control of illegal aliens. He will not give up. He wants the Republicans to give up on their standpoints. Barack Hussein Obama wants to give all illegal aliens amnesty in order to receive a voting block for the Democratic Party. (<http://www.impeachobamacampaign.com/video-of-the-day-brewer-obama-wants-illegals-to-vote-democrat/>; <http://voices.washingtonpost.com/44/2010/04/democrats-to-unveil-immigratio.html>)

183. And let's stop expelling talented, responsible young people who can staff our research labs, start new businesses, and further enrich this nation.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. See comment at 174.

184. The third step in winning the future is rebuilding America.

COMMENT: The future is not a game. The future for American can only be successful, on par, or unsuccessful. The future cannot be won, metaphor or not! The future must be a success.

185. To attract new businesses to our shores, we need the fastest, most reliable ways to move people, goods, and information – from high-speed rail to high-speed Internet.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Beware! Some more schemes of big government investment (spending) is about to be proposed. Let the free enterprise system do it!

186. Our infrastructure used to be the best – but our lead has slipped.

COMMENT: Infrastructure in the United States of America is owned by the federal government, the state governments and the communities. The problems were recognized by the Reagan administration. The Bush Sr. administration had a war to fight and did not really provide any solutions. The Clinton administration did absolutely nothing to solve the problems of America's infrastructure. George W. Bush Jr. had two wars to fight. Indeed, the problem has been set aside. The solution is not to fix and repair, but to build anew with more efficient, longer-lasting materials.

(<http://www.metropolismag.com/story/20050809/how-to-fix-americas-crumbing-infrastructure>)

187. South Korean homes now have greater Internet access than we do.

COMMENT: The sentence is a falsification. South Korea does not have greater Internet access. The access is faster. Indeed, it is the fastest in the world.

(<http://www.dailybloggr.com/2010/05/countries-with-the-fastest-slowest-Internet-speeds-in-the-world/>) The United States of America ranks second among the top twenty

countries with Internet users. (<http://www.internetworldstats.com/top20.htm>) The Internet infrastructure in the United States of America is privately owned. which Barack Hussein Obama wants to control. If the federal government provides for a program to invest (spend) in better Internet services, Barack Hussein Obama will have an opening to control the Internet.

(http://www.google.de/url?sa=t&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CBgQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fecommerce-journal.com%2Farticles%2F14891_u_s_president_will_regulate_cybersecurity_or_our_privacy&ei=VC9FTdPtCMiVswaPkqm_Dg&usq=AFQjCNGNwRGPKXGgCoNH6w2uKRfCZ1ohQ; <http://washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/editorials/2010/12/obamas-mystery-proposal-regulate-Internet>)

188. Countries in Europe and Russia invest more in their roads and railways than we do.

COMMENT: There is a dumb statement here: It is a falsification of geography. Russia is a country, it does not have countries. This is a subtle falsification of reality concerning European roads and railways. Take this author's word for it, he knows that in Europe there is a gasoline-diesel tax and a toll system that pays for the upkeep of roads and interstate highways. The railroads are government (owned)-funded and some regional railways are privately owned. The railways are not subsidized in every country. It is also cheaper to fly than to travel by rail.

189. China is building faster trains and newer airports.

COMMENT: China is a developing country. This is what happens in developing countries. Chinese industry does not have to pay exorbitant union wages. America does not have to build them. They are already present. Their upkeep has to be observed. AMTRAK should be privatized. It is an investment barrel without a bottom.

190. Meanwhile, when our own engineers graded our nation's infrastructure, they gave us a "D."

COMMENT: This is a subtle, undercurrent, misleading statement, Barack Hussein Obama could have used his trillion-dollar deficit to start making the American infrastructure better – 2.2 trillion dollars is needed – rather than Obama's TARP spending, which will cost American's 23.7 trillion dollars.

[\(http://doctorbulldog.wordpress.com/2009/07/20/tarp-will-cost-the-taxpayers-23-7-trillion-dollars/\)](http://doctorbulldog.wordpress.com/2009/07/20/tarp-will-cost-the-taxpayers-23-7-trillion-dollars/)

191. We have to do better.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Why is it not possible for Barack Hussein Obama to say the United States of America?

192. America is the nation that built the transcontinental railroad, brought electricity to rural communities, and constructed the interstate highway system.

COMMENT: At least Barack Hussein Obama said America. Nevertheless, it is quite a banal statement. The statement is misleading because there are other countries in the world that did the same in their respective geographical locations.

193. The jobs created by these projects didn't just come from laying down tracks or pavement.

COMMENT: There is an apparent falsification of logic. The projects created jobs. Auxiliary jobs were created by supporting endeavors.

194. They came from businesses that opened near a town's new train station or the new off-ramp.

COMMENT: This is a trite statement. This always happens with new technology.

195. Over the last two years, we have begun rebuilding for the 21st century, a project that has meant thousands of good jobs for the hard-hit construction industry.

COMMENT: It sounds as if Barack Hussein Obama is talking about his state-in-state organization Organizing for America. If he means construction jobs, here are the statistics on construction employment, that somewhat contradict what Barack Hussein Obama says. (<http://www.bls.gov/oco/cg/cgs003.htm#empty>) Thus, this statement is misleading. At the same time Barack Hussein Obama's rebuilding for the 21st century is not exactly what America needs in the future, at least not in transportation.

<http://www.infrastructurist.com/2011/01/28/six-ideas-for-the-future-of-transportation-gallery/>

196. Tonight, I'm proposing that we redouble these efforts.

COMMENT: Double means twice. **Re** means to do it again. Therefore does Barack Hussein Obama want to make the effort again four times over?

197. We will put more Americans to work repairing crumbling roads and bridges.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? It is about time that some administration solved the problems of crumbling roads and bridges. There is an undercurrent, misleading statement here. However, perhaps the high wages of union organizations should be taken into consideration and non-unionized workers and companies should receive some of the construction projects. Many construction companies work with self-employed sub contractors. Does Barack Hussein Obama not want to unionize them? Does Barack Hussein Obama not want all construction jobs to be undertaken by unionized workers? (<http://www.lookingattheleft.com/2010/10/democrats-union-workers-and-communists-rally-together-in-washington/>)

198. We will make sure this is fully paid for, attract private investment, and pick projects based on what's best for the economy, not politicians.

COMMENT: There is a subtle thought connection that is seemingly contrary to what Barack Hussein Obama says. If projects are good for the economy, then they will be good for the politicians who supported these projects.

199. Within 25 years, our goal is to give 80% of Americans access to high-speed rail, which could allow you go places in half the time it takes to travel by car.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Not all Americans want to travel on high-speed rail. The geography of The United States of America is not conducive to providing 80% of all Americans with such travel. Barack Hussein Obama, perhaps bicycles are the solution! "We recognize that many Americans live far from dense population areas, and we can't afford to build more than a small amount of track. Therefore, we will be concentrating people into camps, where they will all have access

to high-speed rail....we will begin packing those rail cars as soon as possible, just you watch!" (<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/2663247/replies?c=21>)

200. For some trips, it will be faster than flying – without the pat-down.

COMMENT: This is a caustic, misleading statement. If one is a Muslim, there is no need for a pat-down! According to Don Fredrick in the January 2011 Report of the Obama Timeline, "Kurt Haskell, an attorney who was on the Christmas Day 2009 flight which Abdul Farouk Abdulmutallab unsuccessfully attempted to blow up with a bomb planted in his underwear, tells Fox News, 'The U.S. government escorted him [Abdulmutallab] through security without a passport and we believe gave him an intentionally defective bomb. ...It was intentional and it went this far to further the war on terror, to get body scanners in the airports, to increase the TSA's budget, to renew the Patriot Act, and whatever other reasons you want to list.'" if the indications are correct, Barack Hussein Obama planned to have pat-downs.

(<http://www.colony14.net/id482.html>)

201. As we speak, routes in California and the Midwest are already underway.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of reality. "Except that the proposed routes in California and the Midwest and the rest of the country are not really high-speed trains but are rather Amtrak trains that could reach up to 110 miles per hour at their fastest. High-speed trains in Western Europe must reach a minimum of 125 miles per hour to even be classified as such. China recently had a passenger train hit 302 mph. The federal government's proposed Cleveland-to-Cincinnati route in Ohio--that the new Republican governor has thankfully promised to cut--would be no faster or cheaper than driving that same route. The problem is that actual high-speed trains require high-speed tracks, and even Obama is unwilling to push for big new "investment" in new tracks for high-speed rail."

(<http://washingtonexaminer.com/blogs/opinion-zone/2011/01/high-speed-trains-casualty-reckless-spending-past#ixzz1CW7VLu5H>)

202. Within the next five years, we will make it possible for business to deploy the next generation of high-speed wireless coverage to 98% of all Americans.

COMMENT: Beware! This is the communist five-year plan! The statement is misleading. Who is meant with **we**? If the federal government is meant, then this author-investigator says let the free enterprise market system do it.

203. This isn't just about a faster Internet and fewer dropped calls.

COMMENT: Certainly not! The statement is misleading. It is about monitoring and controlling. (<http://www.teleprompterpresident.com/2009/04/obama-wants-authority-to-shut-down-the-Internet.html>)

204. It's about connecting every part of America to the digital age.

COMMENT: The same comment as above. The statement is also misleading. It is also about monitoring and controlling America. Allow the free market system do it without controls and monitoring. (<http://www.teleprompterpresident.com/2009/04/obama-wants-authority-to-shut-down-the-Internet.html>)

205. It's about a rural community in Iowa or Alabama where farmers and small business owners will be able to sell their products all over the world.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading! And at the same time monitor and control them all. (<http://www.presstv.ir/usdetail/161302.html>)

206. It's about a firefighter who can download the design of a burning building onto a handheld device; a student who can take classes with a digital textbook; or a patient who can have face-to-face video chats with her doctor.

COMMENT: That is a big IF. It is also a campaign against AT&T and other private sector companies.

207. All these investments – in innovation, education, and infrastructure – will make America a better place to do business and create jobs.

COMMENT: If it is accomplished by the free enterprise system, okay!

208. But to help our companies compete, we also have to knock down barriers that stand in the way of their success.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Is Barack Hussein Obama going to knock down the barriers that he placed in the last two years? Barack Hussein Obama

does not know that America does not have a monopoly on Internet innovation. However, Barack Hussein Obama would like to have it!

(<http://m.gizmodo.com/5743758/this-is-obamas-state-of-the-future>)

209. Over the years, a parade of lobbyists has rigged the tax code to benefit particular companies and industries.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. This is the Barack Hussein Obama theme of no lobbyists in government decision making. His regime is full of lobbyists. Businesses profited from it. They had more money to invest.

210. Those with accountants or lawyers to work the system can end up paying no taxes at all.

COMMENT: "The top tax rate faced by business owners that file as individuals would approach 50 percent." (<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2011-01-27/obama-tax-code-overhaul-may-raise-burden-on-some-businesses.html>)

211. But all the rest are hit with one of the highest corporate tax rates in the world.

COMMENT: This is a falsification. Changing the tax code as Barack Hussein Obama would like to have it would challenge his vow to avoid raising taxes on couples who earn less than \$250,000. (<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2011-01-27/obama-tax-code-overhaul-may-raise-burden-on-some-businesses.html>)

212. It makes no sense, and it has to change.

COMMENT: It surely does have sense not to change it as Barack Hussein Obama would like. (<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2011-01-27/obama-tax-code-overhaul-may-raise-burden-on-some-businesses.html>)

213. So tonight, I'm asking Democrats and Republicans to simplify the system.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of fact. Some business will be more burdened. (<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2011-01-27/obama-tax-code-overhaul-may-raise-burden-on-some-businesses.html>)

214. Get rid of the loopholes.

COMMENT: Congresspersons always want to get re-elected by promising tax breaks. (<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2011-01-27/obama-tax-code-overhaul-may-raise-burden-on-some-businesses.html>)

215. Level the playing field.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. The playing field will not be leveled. (<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2011-01-27/obama-tax-code-overhaul-may-raise-burden-on-some-businesses.html>)

216. And use the savings to lower the corporate tax rate for the first time in 25 years – without adding to our deficit.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of fact. "Joel Slemrod, professor of economics at the University of Michigan, said a tax-code overhaul that results in a lower corporate rate and higher individual rates could end up increasing the deficit. That's because many business owners who file as individuals might change to a corporate structure to take advantage of the lower tax rate." (<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2011-01-27/obama-tax-code-overhaul-may-raise-burden-on-some-businesses.html>)

217. To help businesses sell more products abroad, we set a goal of doubling our exports by 2014 – because the more we export, the more jobs we create at home.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of the export projections. The short-term projection is that exports will decline by July 2011. (<http://www.google.de/search?hl=de&client=firefox-a&hs=PGC&rls=org.mozilla%3Ade%3Aofficial&channel=s&q=US+export+projections&btnG=Suche&aq=f&aql=&aql=&oq=>)

218. Already, our exports are up.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of the export projections. The short-term projection is that exports will decline by July 2011. (<http://www.google.de/search?hl=de&client=firefox->

a&hs=PGC&rls=org.mozilla%3Ade%3Aofficial&channel=s&q=US+export+projections&bt
nG=Suche&aq=f&aqi=&aql=&oq=)

219. Recently, we signed agreements with India and China that will support more than 250,000 jobs in the United States.

COMMENT: This is really a falsification. On the surface, maybe this is the situation; in reality, bordering on 99.9 percent, probably not. (<http://www.ourlaststand.com/the-state-of-our-union-made-in-china>)

220. And last month, we finalized a trade agreement with South Korea that will support at least 70,000 American jobs.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of reality. "Approximately 57,000 Minnesotans work in industries at risk of being off-shored should a proposed trade pact with South Korea be enacted, the Minnesota Fair Trade Coalition said. According to the U.S. International Trade Commission, the proposed trade agreement will increase the U.S. global trade deficit, negatively affecting employment in industries prevalent in Minnesota, the federation said. The findings are at odds with President Obama's remarks during Tuesday's State of the Union speech that passage of the agreement would create jobs in the United States." (<http://www.ourlaststand.com/the-state-of-our-union-made-in-china>)

221. This agreement has unprecedented support from business and labor; Democrats and Republicans, and I ask this Congress to pass it as soon as possible.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The above quotation does not sound like support!

222. Before I took office, I made it clear that we would enforce our trade agreements, and that I would only sign deals that keep faith with American workers, and promote American jobs.

COMMENT: Falsification of reality. Barack Hussein Obama's choice of Aneesch Chopra as Chief Technology Officer has a different view. He was involved in Virginia's agenda and offshore outsourcing *promotion* project. (http://www.economicpopulist.org/content/obama-gives-usinpac-lobbyists-yet-another-official-cto-virginia-outsourcing-chopra?quicktabs_1=3)

223. That's what we did with Korea, and that's what I intend to do as we pursue agreements with Panama and Colombia, and continue our Asia Pacific and global trade talks.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The agreements with Panama and Columbia will probably increase the deficit and cause jobs to be lost.

(http://citizen.typepad.com/eyesontrade/andean_and_panama_ftas/) See comment at 174. The country is not Korea. The country is South Korea.

224. To reduce barriers to growth and investment, I've ordered a review of government regulations.

COMMENT: This has always been a good idea. However during Barack Hussein Obama's first two years as occupier of the Oval Office, and at a time of record budget deficits, Barack Hussein Obama ignored the strategies of private enterprise, less government interference, and devolution. The Competitive Enterprise Institute Vice President for Policy Wayne Crews maintains that "The most important thing to remember about the America COMPETES effort is the limited ability of the political process to centrally plan processes like growth and innovation Repealing previous government intrusions into the economy should come before any attempt to pump up economic growth with new programs." Saying that he will do this does not mean that Barack Hussein Obama will do this. The statement is misleading. (<http://cei.org/news-releases/lift-government-barriers-encourage-competition-and-innovation>)

225. When we find rules that put an unnecessary burden on businesses, we will fix them.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Okay Barack Hussein Obama. Here is what Barack Hussein Obama can do and Barack Hussein Obama and Congress have known about it since March 17, 2010. However, it is against Barack Hussein Obama's socialist/communist doctrine.

- Rather than trying to improve speeds by picking the particular R&D horses to run on the racetrack, improve the business and regulatory track so everyone can go faster, and let jockeys keep more of their earnings.

- Allow freer trade in skilled labor: Bright foreign workers want to stay and create U.S. jobs after graduating here. That's a better way to address global competition.
- Avoid safety regulation that makes us less safe: Many frontier technologies like nanotech can make our environment cleaner. Exaggerating risks overlooks the hazards of stagnation.
- Liberalize capital markets: Capitalism ranks among the world's great democratizing forces, but post-Enron Sarbanes-Oxley regulation has severely distressed smaller companies. Exempting firms with small market capitalizations is just for starters.
- Privatize: During the 1990s, it was proposed that commercial aspects of federal labs be offered to the industries they benefit, or to allow research employee buyouts. Do that.
- Award "prizes" rather than grants as one element of a transition to private funding.
- Relax predatory and anti-consumer antitrust activism: Markets emphasize competition, but sometimes "collusion" is merely a "partial merger" instead of a full one. Constraining productive firms in ways the market never intended hobbles entire industry sectors, and undermines the wealth creation process itself.
- Reduce overregulation generally: More than 60 agencies issue 4,000 regulations a year within some 70,000 Federal Register pages. Congress should get busy implementing a bipartisan "regulatory reduction commission"; sun setting old rules and putting an expiration date on new ones; requiring fast-track congressional approval for controversial agency rules; adding flexibility for smaller business; requiring supermajority points of order for unfunded mandates; and creating a basic regulatory report card to accompany the federal budget.

According to Wayne Crews, "The assumption behind the COMPETE Act seems to be that the creation of innovative technologies can no longer be trusted to mere private companies and their investors. Members of Congress and their staff members have decided that they are the new venture capitalists – except that the billions of dollars they decide to 'invest' will come out of the pockets of taxpayers." (<http://cei.org/news-releases/lift-government-barriers-encourage-competition-and-innovation>)

226. But I will not hesitate to create or enforce commonsense safeguards to protect the American people.

COMMENT: The statement includes a falsification of logic. Barack Hussein Obama "will not hesitate to enforce commonsense safeguards to protect the American people."

Does Barack Hussein Obama have intentions of becoming a dictator? Well, if the safeguards are common sense, then Barack Hussein Obama will not need to enforce them. Workers and firms understand common sense. Government bureaucracy does not. Allow the individual business and insurance companies to regulate their safety themselves. "Avoid safety regulation that makes us less safe: Many frontier technologies like nanotech can make our environment cleaner. Exaggerating risks overlooks the hazards of stagnation." (<http://cei.org/news-releases/lift-government-barriers-encourage-competition-and-innovation>)

227. That's what we've done in this country for more than a century.

COMMENT: Who does Barack Hussein Obama mean with **we**? Who has done what "in this country for more than a century"? The statement is ambiguous. It appears that Barack Hussein Obama means government interference. As such, Barack Hussein Obama is contradicting his own stance and this is a falsification. Until Barack Hussein Obama became the bogus occupier of the Oval Office.

228. It's why our food is safe to eat, our water is safe to drink, and our air is safe to breathe.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. The truth is that American food is not safe to eat. (<http://ezinearticles.com/?Our-Food-Is-Not-Safe-to-Eat:-Part-2-of-4-Parts---Corruption-of-Our-Food&id=5731617>) This is another falsification of fact. It is not safe to drink water everywhere in America. (http://www.freedrinkingwater.com/water_quality/quality1/1-how-safe-is-american-water.htm) As for air, this is another falsification of reality. : "More Than Half of U.S. Population Lives in Counties with Unsafe Air" (<http://environment.about.com/od/healthenvironment/a/stateofair.htm>)

229. It's why we have speed limits and child labor laws.

COMMENT: What is why "we have speed limits and child labor laws."? Who is meant with **we**? This is a falsification of reality. "In 1995, the US Government withdrew the national capping of the speed limits completely, and allowed states to set their own. Many states were immediately ready to go back to the way they were, but some were more cautious. Montana reverted to its "reasonable and prudent" limit in 1995, although somewhat surprisingly, Nevada established 75mph for its rural Interstates. There is evidence that "accident rates actually *decreased* when the new (higher speed) limits were imposed. (<http://www.miketodd.net/encyc/speedlimits1.htm>) Regarding child labor laws in the United States of America there is a falsification of history. Barack Hussein

Obama indicates that the government always supported child labor laws. This is not true. "The laws in America were always ignored, ... until Congress passed the Fair Labor Standards Act, also known as Federal Wage and Hour Law in 1938. This became constitutional in 1941 after a declaration by the US Supreme Court. The core intention of this act had nothing to do with safety in all working places! "According to this act, no child would work more than 40 hours a week, the minimum wage would be 40 cents per hour. Minors below 16 were not to work in those industries which are classified to be hazardous. There were no age restrictions for children to work in non-hazardous environment. Children were to work only outside their school hours and during vacations, but only for limited hours." Since Barack Hussein Obama never really worked in his life, it is no wonder that he does not know what he is talking about.

(<http://www.buzzle.com/articles/history-of-child-labor.html>)

230. It's why last year, we put in place consumer protections against hidden fees and penalties by credit card companies, and new rules to prevent another financial crisis.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. Again, who is meant with **we**? What is "... why last year, we put in place consumer protections against hidden fees and penalties by credit card companies, and new rules to prevent another financial crisis?" This is a falsification of reality. Does Barack Hussein Obama mean that safeguards are the reason? Concerning credit card regulation as regulated by the Barack Hussein Obama regime, credit card companies saw the regulations coming and prepared for them by raising the average credit card rates. The legislation has accelerated the trend. Credit card companies have capped their credit limits. New types of fees will undoubtedly arise. (<http://www.cardratings.com/creditcardblog/2009/05/credit-card-regulations-may-have.html>; <http://www.fox43.com/news/wpmt-amnews-credit-card-changes,0,419940.story>)

231. And it's why we passed reform that finally prevents the health insurance industry from exploiting patients.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of fact. More so than not, the leftists and Democrats have used patients to exploit the health insurance industry. (<http://americanpowerblog.blogspot.com/2010/03/more-desperation-leftists-exploit.html>; <http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/2469400/posts>) There are many cases of health insurance claims that are fraudulent, caused by immoral and criminal patients and individuals like doctors in the health care system. "It is an undisputed reality that some of these health insurance claims are fraudulent. Although they constitute only a small

fraction, those fraudulent claims carry a very high price tag."

(http://www.nhcaa.org/eweb/DynamicPage.aspx?webcode=anti_fraud_resource_cent&wpscode=TheProblemOfHCFraud)

232. Now, I've heard rumors that a few of you have some concerns about the new health care laws.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. There are not a few! More than half of the states do not want it! As of 17 January 2011 there were 27 states that do not want ObamaCare.

(http://www.google.de/url?sa=t&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CBgQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fblog.heritage.org%2F2011%2F01%2F17%2Flist-of-states-suing-over-obamacare%2F&ei=7V5FTbT9FsTKswah15m-Dg&usg=AFQjCNEM4xD-z-6pDJAOiy8Vf_S7PA7D8Q)

233. So let me be the first to say that anything can be improved.

COMMENT: This is a banality, except for improving Barack Hussein Obama's socialism/communism politics.

234. If you have ideas about how to improve this law by making care better or more affordable, I am eager to work with you.

COMMENT: Ambiguous. Which law? ObamaCare? ObamaCare is a fraud on We the People. (<http://reason.com/archives/2010/12/31/the-obamacare-fraud>; <http://biggovernment.com/pferrara/2010/03/19/the-obamacare-deficit-fraud/>; <http://thebulletin.us/articles/2010/03/19/commentary/ops/eds/doc4ba3a4ed76a75939775641.txt>; <http://pajamasmedia.com/blog/the-real-obamacare-fraud/>; <http://blog.heritage.org/2010/03/10/obamacare%E2%80%99s-procedural-fraud-on-the-american-people/>)

235. We can start right now by correcting a flaw in the legislation that has placed an unnecessary bookkeeping burden on small businesses.

COMMENT: Oh, my goodness! There is a flaw in ObamaCare! Where! Have Congress fix it immediately(!) by not funding it! Have the individual states fix it immediately by declaring ObamaCare illegal!

236. What I'm not willing to do is go back to the days when insurance companies could deny someone coverage because of a pre-existing condition.

COMMENT: The pre-existing condition situation is ridiculous. This is a falsification of logic. Why not change auto insurance laws? One should need auto insurance only after one has had an accident! (<http://www.nationalreview.com/critical-condition/257279/dncs-talking-points-repealing-obamacare-avik-roy>; <http://www.colony14.net/id468.html>; <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8HnKxIh62dQ>)

237. I'm not willing to tell James Howard, a brain cancer patient from Texas, that his treatment might not be covered.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of facts. James Howard is at fault himself. As it turns out, Barack Obama forgot to mention a few things about Mr. Howard. When James Howard was diagnosed with brain cancer in March he did not know how he would pay for radiation treatments costing \$87,000 and \$2,300 a week for chemotherapy. At the time of his diagnosis, Howard was insured by UnitedHealth Group Inc, a policy for which he paid because his employer, Hennessey Performance near Houston, Texas, did not provide healthcare insurance for its employees. After his diagnosis, UnitedHealth revoked Howard's policy on the grounds that his was a pre-existing condition. UnitedHealth said on Friday that Howard had failed to disclose an earlier initial diagnosis suggesting potential cancer from brain scans before he applied for coverage." (<http://driftwood1.newsvine.com/news/2011/01/27/5935078-unreal-obama-uses-another-bogus-case-study-to-defend-obamacare-at-sotu>; <http://winteryknight.wordpress.com/2011/01/27/does-obama-tell-the-truth-about-people-who-are-denied-medical-coverage/>)

238. I'm not willing to tell Jim Houser, a small business owner from Oregon, that he has to go back to paying \$5,000 more to cover his employees.

COMMENT: It is cheaper than what ObamaCare requires by law, if it is lawful to require it. Furthermore, there is a falsification of reality. Barack Hussein Obama is not telling the truth. The reality of the present regulation is: "While it's true that the Affordable Care Act bars insurance companies from denying coverage based on pre-existing conditions, the provision for adults does not take effect until 2014. In 2010, a temporary program was created that would provide health coverage to individuals with pre-existing conditions who had been uninsured for six months at the least. Additionally, Barack Hussein Obama said previously that he wanted to close out all tax breaks. This is another falsification of reality. Mr. Jim Houser, the small business owner from Oregon, is taking advantage of a tax credit in the new healthcare reform law. It provides

businesses with fewer than 25 employees a break for insuring their employees. (http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/State_of_the_Union/state-union-2011-fact-check-president-obamas-address/story?id=12760731;
<http://hereandnow.wbur.org/2011/01/26/small-business-healthcare>) Moreover, if ObamaCare is so great why are unions receiving ObamaCare waivers, which means that they will not come under the provisions of ObamaCare. (<http://thedailystar.com/opinion/x146122600/State-of-the-economy-matters-most>)

239. As we speak, this law is making prescription drugs cheaper for seniors and giving uninsured students a chance to stay on their parents' coverage.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The cheaper prescription drugs for senior citizens will be closed out by ObamaCare in 2020. In the long run ObamaCare will cost senior citizens more money. (<http://blogs.forbes.com/rickungar/2010/09/25/does-obamacare-really-cut-medicare-benefits-to-senior-citizens/>;
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703510304574626441126356878.html>;
<http://blogs.dailymail.com/donsurber/archives/11313>)

240. So instead of re-fighting the battles of the last two years, let's fix what needs fixing and move forward.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. The result is that via email on 28 January 2011 Time Kaine, former Democratic Governor of Virginia and presently Chairman of the Democratic National Committee, asked for three dollar contributions to fight the Republicans. Already on 8 January 2011 Jen O'Malley Dillon, Executive Director Democratic National Committee, sent out an email contending that "The Republicans are working to repeal everything we've done -- starting with health reform." And they must be defeated. Americans must give the Democrats their full support.

241. Now, the final step – a critical step – in winning the future is to make sure we aren't buried under a mountain of debt.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. One can only laugh! Barack Hussein Obama does not want to spend more money?

242. We are living with a legacy of deficit-spending that began almost a decade ago.

COMMENT: So George W. Bush is at fault! This is a falsification of reality. Modern deficit spending began in the United States of America under Franklin Delano Roosevelt (D). It was continued by Lyndon B. Johnson (D). This is a fact of history. Barack Hussein Obama states a falsification of history.

243. And in the wake of the financial crisis, some of that was necessary to keep credit flowing, save jobs, and put money in people's pockets.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. Credit was not kept flowing, otherwise there would not have been an increase in bankruptcies in Barack Hussein Obama's first two years of destroying the United States of America. The business and non-business filings with the filing years ending on September 30 are as follows: 2009 – 1,402,816 bankruptcies (1,344,095 non-business and 58,721 business); 2010 – 1,596,355 (1,538,033 non-business and 58,322 business.) The business bankruptcy decrease of 399 is not significant, considering the situation of the United States economy.

(<http://www.bankruptcyaction.com/USbankstats.htm>) Another falsification of reality is the number of jobs saved. The Labor Department, the Treasury, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics – do not measure jobs saved. One can measure jobs created. There is no way to measure jobs saved. If Barack Hussein Obama says an indiscriminate number of jobs were saved, there is no way to validate the statement other than to say that the statement is fraudulent. (<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB124451592762396883.html>) A third falsification is the amount of money people have in their pockets. At the present inflation rate of 1.50 percent, people have 800 dollars less in their pockets based upon the median income of 52,029 dollars. The taxing of people and deficit spending the United States of America into financial oblivion does not put money in any American citizen's pockets.

(http://www.fintrend.com/inflation/Inflation_Calculators/FutureSalaryInflationCalculator).

Deficit spending is a problem. However, the national debt is the bigger, indeed, the biggest problem. Having China buy it up means that at one point the United States of America will become a Chinese vassal state.

244. But now that the worst of the recession is over, we have to confront the fact that our government spends more than it takes in.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. To contend that the recession is over "is tantamount to calling an operation successful when the patient is tethered to an oxygen tank and needs 24 hour nursing care. In other words, the designation may be technically correct, but also shows how low the threshold of *success* is considered to be." Barack Hussein Obama is pretending that the recession is over.

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2010/11/is-the-recession-over-or-is-extend-and-pretend-more-pervasive.html>)

245. That is not sustainable.

COMMENT: "The National Taxpayers Union Foundation has pinned down five items to increase spending, three to cut it, and seven where the cost is unclear, for a grand total of \$21 billion (more spending). As the White House releases the details of the budget proposal, taxpayers should be concerned that the initial \$21 billion of spending laid out in the State of the Union will increase." Barack Hussein Obama's budget statement is a falsification. So much for cutting back on government spending!

<http://www.ntu.org/governmentbytes/spending/sotu-spending.html>)

246. Every day, families sacrifice to live within their means.

COMMENT: This is nothing more than speaking with a forked tongue. Of course, the exceptions are the Barack Hussein Obama family. Some examples are: His 10 minute Columbus, Ohio LIE about the Porkulus 'recovery" cost \$4.5 MILLION an hour... \$750,000 trip costs for a TEN MINUTE speech. (http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20008201-503544.html) The local construction workers were sent home for the day WITHOUT PAY for "security". How's that "Recovery" working for THEM? (<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2010/06/18/obamas-stimulus-visit-ohio-results-lost-payday-construction-workers/>) The Obama vacation in Spain in 2010 cost 70,945 dollars per day. The Hawaii vacation in December 2010 – January 2011 cost 1474200 dollars not counting costs for rental of office building in Kailua on canal; security upgrades and additional phone lines; costs for car rentals and fuel for White House staff staying at Moana Hotel (Secret Service imports most of the cars used here to escort the president); surveillance before the arrival; travel costs for Secret Service and White House staff traveling ahead of Barack Hussein Obama.

http://beforeitsnews.com/story/333/800/How_Much_Did_Obama_s_Family_Vacation_Cost_Taxpayers.html)

247. They deserve a government that does the same.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Barack Hussein Obama is part of the problem. Barack Hussein Obama could stay on military bases when he or his family take trips, even go on vacations.

248. So tonight, I am proposing that starting this year, we freeze annual domestic spending for the next five years.

COMMENT: This is another socialist/communist five-year plan. It is a falsification of reality because freezing annual domestic spending for the next 5 years encompasses spending levels that include the pork spending level in the base amount. It should be frozen at the 2008 level.

249. This would reduce the deficit by more than \$400 billion over the next decade, and will bring discretionary spending to the lowest share of our economy since Dwight Eisenhower was president.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. The freeze will reduce the annual deficit by 40 billion. When compared to an annual deficit of 1.4 trillion, the 40 billion is a drop of water in the ocean.

250. This freeze will require painful cuts.

COMMENT: But not for the Barack Hussein Obama family or his salary. #Being a multi-millionaire and having the money of George Soros behind him, he could say that he will occupy the Oval Office for nothing.

251. Already, we have frozen the salaries of hardworking federal employees for the next two years.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. In fact the federal employees have received an increase in pay totaling 2.5 billion dollars. (<http://www.mygovcost.org/obama-pay-freeze-1-1-million-federal-employees-to-receive-2-5-billion-in-raises/>)

252. I've proposed cuts to things I care deeply about, like community action programs.

COMMENT: Cut the funding to unions like SEIU. Cut the funding to criminal programs like ACORN. The organization wanted 6 million dollars more. (<http://washingtonexaminer.com/blogs/beltway-confidential/2009/09/acorn-wants-another-6-million-despite-scandals>)

253. The Secretary of Defense has also agreed to cut tens of billions of dollars in spending that he and his generals believe our military can do without.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. "The Secretary of Defense and his generals don't believe, and they never said, that the military can do without these billions of dollars, they've merely proposed that this money be redirected from one DOD account to another (redirected from DOD bureaucracies, generals and paper-pushers to weapons and the force structure). Gates has clearly said that he opposes reductions of the overall defense budget." (<http://zbigniewmazurak.wordpress.com/2011/01/29/on-obamas-2011-sotu-speech/>)

254. I recognize that some in this Chamber have already proposed deeper cuts, and I'm willing to eliminate whatever we can honestly afford to do without.

COMMENT: Never use a preposition to end a sentence with! Barack Hussein Obama speaks of honesty. One can only laugh out loud. Honesty is a virtue that Barack Hussein Obama has never exercised in his entire life. Barack Hussein Obama is speaking with a forked tongue. Barack Hussein Obama can start by eliminating government subsidies to ACORN and SEIU. (<http://www.tngovwatch.org/2011/01/former-acorn-seiu-activist-moves-from-white-house-to-dnc/>; <http://hotair.com/greenroom/archives/2010/09/26/shock-disbelief-as-acorn-affiliate-seiu-found-facilitating-rampant-vote-fraud/>; <http://hillbuzz.org/2009/05/08/acorn-only-got-53-million-in-government-support-from-1994-2009-but-dr-utopia-wants-to-give-them-8-billion/>)

255. But let's make sure that we're not doing it on the backs of our most vulnerable citizens.

COMMENT: The forked tongue is again rampant! Barack Hussein Obama has now decided to not cut support for ACORN and SEIU. Why should he? They are socialist/communist organizations.

256. And let's make sure what we're cutting is really excess weight.

COMMENT: This is an improper use of a colloquialism. When budget cuts are necessary, one does not cut the weight. What is cut are the aspects of government that government has no business in supporting. What is cut is excessive budget allocations.

Such cuts cannot be weighed. Such cuts are expressed in dollars, or percentage of the budget.

257. Cutting the deficit by gutting our investments in innovation and education is like lightening an overloaded airplane by removing its engine.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The investments (spending) in innovation and education will not be cut, even though an excellent cut would be to do away with the Department of Education. This government department has not shown any productive programs financed by the American taxpayer since its creation by President James Earl Carter. Innovation should be regulated by the free enterprise system.

(<http://www.liberty-alliance.net/wordpress/?p=34>;
<http://mediamatters.org/mmtv/201004130060>) States like California could do the same thing. (<http://ca.lp.org/lp20060502.shtml>; <http://m.vcstar.com/news/2011/jan/04/county-school-board-hopes-state-votes-to-disband/>)

258. It may feel like you're flying high at first, but it won't take long before you'll feel the impact.

COMMENT: Forked tongue speaks again. Barack Hussein Obama indicates that his decision would keep the plane flying. On the contrary, some of the departments and government organizations that should be cut would be a positive impact for the federal budget.

259. Now, most of the cuts and savings I've proposed only address annual domestic spending, which represents a little more than 12% of our budget.

COMMENT: This statement contains a sly, forked tongue indication. When heard, one could infer that Barack Hussein Obama intends to cut the budget by 12 percent. However, the 12 percent refers to the part of the budget that is attributed to domestic spending. When the statement is read, this is obvious. However, when heard via a speech, it is not so obvious. Therefore, so-called experts lauded Barack Hussein Obama for wanting to cut the budget by a great volume.

(http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/01/26/obama-spending-freeze_n_814192.html)

260. To make further progress, we have to stop pretending that cutting this kind of spending alone will be enough.

COMMENT: That is because his cutting is spending (investment).
(<http://www.jasonpye.com/2011/01/sotu-obama-wants-to-cut-deficit-while-investing/>)

261. It won't.

COMMENT: This is true. What is also true is that Barack Hussein Obama's activities to destroy the United States of America are never enough in his mind.
(<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/swiss-investor-foreigners-laugh-as-obama-prostitutes-himself/>) Barack Hussein Obama even knows how to save the earth and make every individual American citizen dependent on government! Laugh out loud!!!
(<http://roxanne1000.newsvine.com/news/2011/02/02/5977018-republicans-vote-to-repeal-obama-backed-bill-that-would-destroy-asteroid-headed-for-earth>)

262. The bipartisan Fiscal Commission I created last year made this crystal clear.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. Indeed, it is an outright lie, like almost every sentence coming out of Barack Hussein Obama's mouth. The truth is that "the federal government will increase by about \$1.5 trillion between 2010 and 2020 according to the Commission's numbers."
(<http://biggovernment.com/dmitchell/2010/12/01/obamas-fiscal-commission-misleads-with-dishonest-washington-budget-math/>).

The members are:

Eskine Bowles (Democratic Co-Chair – appointed by President Obama), Currently on the board of directors of General Motors Corporation, Morgan Stanley) He is qualified, but not independent of Barack Hussein Obama.

Alan Kooi Simpson (Republican In Name Only, Co-Chair – Appointed by president Obama). He is being used by Barack Hussein Obama "as a caricature of the Republican Party."

Alice Mitchell Rivlin (Presidential Appointee – Democrat) "Alice Rivlin will take your money without making you suffer while giving it."

David M. "Dave" Cote (Presidential Appointee – Republican In Name Only), Chairman and CEO of Honeywell) The "GOP is not happy that he is calling himself a republican."

Andy Stern (Presidential Appointee – Democrat), President of the SEIU, represents 2.2 million union workers in US, Canada, and Puerto Rico. "Workers of the world unite" is a

slogan he believes in. Most frequent visitor to the White House. " He is a crook if there ever was one! (H)e gets what he wants from the White House – including the waiver of the health insurance tax for union members in the health care bill, billions to save union jobs in the stimulus package, GM and Chrysler bailouts. Obama's appointee will probably stay on the way of any measure that stops the gravy train of benefits to the union workers. Many union benefits are turning into a death weight of unfunded liabilities for businesses, states and the federal bureaucracy. Don't expect the Obama commission to address these issues drastically."

Ann M. Fudge (Presidential Appointee – Democrat), Independent Director in General Electric Board of Directors. She is predominantly for taxing the rich to solve the deficit problems.

Kent Conrad (Democratic Senator from North Dakota) He wants to tax the rich to solve the budget deficit problems.

Max Baucus (Democratic Senator from Massachusetts) He is pro-ObamaCare.

Richard (Dick) Joseph Durbin (Democratic Senator from Illinois) He has supported Barack Hussein Obama since his Chicago days.

Judd Gregg (Republican (Republican In Name Only) Senator from New Hampshire) "Gregg was the leading Republican negotiator and author of the TARP program" which threw taxpayer money down the drain.

Mike Crapo (Senator Republican Senator from Indiana) He uses plain, common sense in his politics with little bargaining.

Tom Coburn (Republican Senator from Oklahoma) He wants to abolish wasteful spending.

Paul Ryan (Republican Congressman from Wisconsin) He is a popular Republican, but may not remain popular when "the Democrats start screaming propaganda like: Ryan will take your Medicare and Earned Income Credit welfare away!"

Dave Camp (Republican Congressman from Michigan) He wants to stop the assault of social programs on the federal budget.

Jeb Hensarling (Republican Congressman from Texas) He is a staunch fiscal conservative.

The statistics are 7 Democrats, 5 Republicans, 3 Republicans In Name Only who would rather vote for the democrats. So much for bipartisanship!

(<http://www.bigbureaucracy.com/?p=626>)

263. I don't agree with all their proposals, but they made important progress.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Evidently they did not want to invest enough (spend enough). (<http://biggovernment.com/dmitchell/2010/12/01/obamas-fiscal-commission-misleads-with-dishonest-washington-budget-math/>)

264. And their conclusion is that the only way to tackle our deficit is to cut excessive spending wherever we find it – in domestic spending, defense spending, health care spending, and spending through tax breaks and loopholes.

COMMENT: This is a forked tongue statement. That is why they want to spend 1.5 trillion dollars more! (<http://biggovernment.com/dmitchell/2010/12/01/obamas-fiscal-commission-misleads-with-dishonest-washington-budget-math/>)

265. This means further reducing health care costs, including programs like Medicare and Medicaid, which are the single biggest contributor to our long-term deficit.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. Why is Barack Hussein Obama complaining? ObamaCare would tax the programs out of existence, kill jobs, and raise the deficit in the long run anyway. (<http://southcapitolstreet.com/2011/01/06/new-congressional-report-shows-obamacare-will-kill-one-million-plus-jobs-raise-deficit/>)

266. Health insurance reform will slow these rising costs, which is part of why nonpartisan economists have said that repealing the health care law would add a quarter of a trillion dollars to our deficit.

COMMENT: This is another forked-tongue statement. It would add more! (<http://biggovernment.com/dmitchell/2010/12/01/obamas-fiscal-commission-misleads-with-dishonest-washington-budget-math/>; <http://southcapitolstreet.com/2011/01/06/new-congressional-report-shows-obamacare-will-kill-one-million-plus-jobs-raise-deficit/>)

267. Still, I'm willing to look at other ideas to bring down costs, including one that Republicans suggested last year: medical malpractice reform to rein in frivolous lawsuits.

COMMENT: Another forked-tongue statement is apparent. Looking at the suggestions does not mean that they will become law. If Mr. Andy Stern has anything to say, the Republican reform suggestions will not be implemented. Medical malpractice reform is only one suggestion. It is not the cure. (<http://thehill.com/blogs/healthwatch/health-reform-implementation/117925-gop-lawmaker-malpractice-reform-is-no-silver-bullet-for-health-spending-woes>) At the same time, medical malpractice reform would be a knife in the backs of patients' rights advocates. Patients have rights, yet Barack Hussein Obama in his ObamaCare would like to do away with them. (<http://conservativeviewsforthegrassroots.blogspot.com/2010/03/obamacare-will-destroy-patient-doctor.htm>)

268. To put us on solid ground, we should also find a bipartisan solution to strengthen Social Security for future generations.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. It makes no sense to strengthen a scheme that is not working properly. Or ... perhaps Barack Hussein Obama wants to be assured of his social security retirement payments from his 25 social security account numbers. (<http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-obama-continues/>)

269. And we must do it without putting at risk current retirees, the most vulnerable, or people with disabilities; without slashing benefits for future generations; and without subjecting Americans' guaranteed retirement income to the whims of the stock market.

COMMENT: It appears that this is another forked-tongue statement. (<http://andrewgbiggs.blogspot.com/2011/02/did-reid-say-that-social-security.html>; <http://andrewgbiggs.blogspot.com/2011/01/chuck-blahous-on-president-obamas-sotu-27.html>) Barack Hussein Obama should read this to obtain a view of reality that is not socialism/communism, because the programs contained therein "will be financed by participant premiums with no subsidy from the federal government." (http://crr.bc.edu/images/stories/Briefs/IB_11-3.pdf)

270. And if we truly care about our deficit, we simply cannot afford a permanent extension of the tax cuts for the wealthiest 2% of Americans.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. A possible, healthy suggestion is not just tax the upper 2 percent, but tax them at the pre-2001 level. This would yield approximately 700 billion dollars a year that could help reduce the government deficit, or

cut the payroll tax or the income tax. Barack Hussein Obama would never do this. He would use the extra money to spend more. (<http://themoderatevoice.com/85663/the-laugh-a-minute-tax-debate/>; <http://www.patriotactionnetwork.com/forum/topics/president-obama-wants-to-spend?commentId=2600775%3AComment%3A3121150>)

271. Before we take money away from our schools, or scholarships away from our students, we should ask millionaires to give up their tax break.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. If it is decoded we realize that spending more money on education will mean more socialist ideas taught to school children and more socialist teachers implementing this brainwashing. The investments in infrastructure will reward unions first. Jobs may be created later. More spending for technology means more government subsidized research and development programs. All of this will solidify his and the Democratic Party in future elections.

272. It's not a matter of punishing their success.

COMMENT: Correct! It is a matter for Barack Hussein Obama's socialist/communist agenda.

273. It's about promoting America's success.

COMMENT: Correct, as long as the United States of America undergoes change to a socialist/communist system.

274. In fact, the best thing we could do on taxes for all Americans is to simplify the individual tax code.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The best thing to do for American taxpayers is this: eliminate the capital gains taxes, corporate taxes, dividends taxes, estate taxes, income tax completely. The federal government could operate on money received from import tariffs, and a national sales tax capped at 10 percent. Paying the same national sales tax rate, would mean that every voter would be against raising the rate. Politicians like Barack Hussein Obama could not play off one group of Americans against the other. American citizens would be against tax increases and want reduced, federal spending. The size of the federal would be drastically cut. If individual states want their own sales tax, allow them to have it. There should be no social security for people who have not paid into the system. Leave social security as it is for people already in the system. Those not retired and are still working should receive social security benefits.

Recipients should have an option to leave the social security system. Delete social security for millionaires. Those staying in the system should not have a cost of living allowance based on the inflation rate or the rise in the cost of living. Cut social security benefits to retirement payment only. (Email communication from Don Fredrick, 14 February 2010; <http://ampedstatus.com/?p=3411&preview=true#theft>; http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pAl16Jit9Hs&feature=player_embedded; <http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=158337>; <http://www.cnsnews.com/news/article/63313>; <http://www.cato.org/pubs/pas/pa656.pdf>)

275. This will be a tough job, but members of both parties have expressed interest in doing this, and I am prepared to join them.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Barack Hussein Obama loathes Republicans.

(http://de.wrs.yahoo.com/_ylt=A7x9QXuRm0pNYH0AxpIzCQx.;_ylu=X3oDMTE0bTI1ZTQ3BHNlYwNzcgRwb3MDNgRjb2xvA2lyZAR2dGIkA0RFQzAwMV83Mg--/SIG=12qauv5uh/EXP=1296756721/**http%3a/www.breitbart.tv/obama-stop-republicans-from-cutting-education/;
<http://gatewaypundit.rightnetwork.com/2010/01/angry-obama-lashes-out-at-house-republicans-tells-them-i-am-not-an-ideologue-video/>)

276. So now is the time to act.

COMMENT: Now is always relative and it is always the time for politicians to act positively for the United States of America.

277. Now is the time for both sides and both houses of Congress – Democrats and Republicans – to forge a principled compromise that gets the job done.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. Barack Hussein Obama does not want to compromise with Republicans, regardless of the November elections!

(<http://www.newsmax.com/Limbaugh/Obama-compromise-shellacking-midterms/2010/11/05/id/376174>)

278. If we make the hard choices now to rein in our deficits, we can make the investments we need to win the future.

COMMENT: This has to be translated into simple English. *If we cut our deficits now, we can spend more for socialist/communist programs in the future.*

279. Let me take this one step further.

COMMENT: The translation is *Cutting the deficit now will save money for future spending.*

280. We shouldn't just give our people a government that's more affordable.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our people**? The statement is misleading. The undercurrent thought is that Barack Hussein Obama means socialists/communists.

281. We should give them a government that's more competent and efficient.

COMMENT: Are socialist/communist programs efficient? History has shown them to be inefficient. Are such politicians competent? History has shown them to be incompetent.

282. We cannot win the future with a government of the past.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The future of the United States of America can be assured by respecting the form of government guaranteed by the Constitution. Surely the future cannot be assured by the present regime.

283. We live and do business in the information age, but the last major reorganization of the government happened in the age of black and white TV.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of fact. Barack Hussein Obama organized the government illegally by appointing his ca 40 czars to watch over the various cabinet secretaries.

284. There are twelve different agencies that deal with exports.

COMMENT: There are probably more than twelve (created by Democrat administrations) and some of them probably created by ObamaCare. There should be

no agencies dealing with exports. Let the free enterprise system deal with exports. Exports of selected goods should not be subsidized by the federal government.

285. There are at least five different entities that deal with housing policy.

COMMENT: Are there really any good reasons why there is a federal housing policy? The individual states could organize a housing policy that fits the needs of the state and communities much more efficiently than the federal government.

286. Then there's my favorite example: the Interior Department is in charge of salmon while they're in fresh water, but the Commerce Department handles them in when they're in saltwater.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama states a falsification of his real position. "The president so far has not been friendly to our Salmon and Salmon fishermen, he has continued the same tired old policies of the Bush era that we've been fighting all along in the courts." ... "[Obama] is...implementing catch-share policies [wherein fishing operations are limited to the number of fish they are allowed to harvest] that will put an end to most small scale fishing operations that sustain our coastal economies — in favor of large corporate operated trawlers that will from now on work our coasts, swoop up 90% of the groundfish out there, leaving our local ports high and dry." ... "Regulations on fish processing are so prohibitively expensive, it's impossible for me to can a few dozen cans of salmon for my farmer's market stands — on the other hand we allow imports of processed fish from other countries that don't have these same regulations our businesses face, which makes for a real uneven playing field."

<http://dailycaller.com/2011/01/28/fishermen-respond-to-obamas-sotu-salmon-joke/#ixzz1CtnV2xsq>

287. And I hear it gets even more complicated once they're smoked.

COMMENT: The federal regulations controlling smoked salmon have negative results for the fishermen. However, the "bigger problem for ... salmon resource and its fishery has to do with the actions of some of the other agencies, including Interior's Bureau of Reclamation, that have worked to destroy our salmon runs, and consequently salmon jobs," ... "These include dams that impede fish passage and massive diversions, such as ... in California that dry up streams or change the flows of rivers that have nearly wiped out some salmon runs, and closed fisheries."

<http://dailycaller.com/2011/01/28/fishermen-respond-to-obamas-sotu-salmon-joke/#ixzz1Ctof7aoc>

288. Now, we have made great strides over the last two years in using technology and getting rid of waste.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. The United States of America has made great strides in reverse. According to The Global Information Technology Report 2009-2010, ICT for Sustainability, the United States of America ranked 5 in 2009-2010 and 3 in 2008-2009. (<http://www.ifap.ru/library/book466.pdf>)

289. Veterans can now download their electronic medical records with a click of the mouse.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The document files have been/will be outsourced to India, providing jobs in India! (<http://www.liquida.com/electronic-medical-records-federal-mandate/>)

290. We're selling acres of federal office space that hasn't been used in years, and we will cut through red tape to get rid of more.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of measurements and possible success. Office space is measured in square yards, square feet, even square meters. The success ascribed to by Barack Hussein Obama is questionable. (<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/10/27/AR2010102707328.html>)

291. But we need to think bigger.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? No! Barack Hussein Obama needs to think patriotic American. He cannot do this because he is not an American. Patriotic Americans do not conduct themselves as Barack Hussein Obama conducts himself. (http://www.henrymarkholzer.citymax.com/barack_obama_is_no_patriot.html; <http://henrymarkholzer.blogspot.com/2009/04/obama-is-no-patriot-ii.html>Barack;) Barack Hussein Obama's five pillars to do things bigger are: innovation (spending), education (spending), infrastructure (spending), deficit reduction (spending not now but in the future), and government reform (spending). Islam also has five pillars.

292. In the coming months, my administration will develop a proposal to merge, consolidate, and reorganize the federal government in a way that best serves the goal of a more competitive America.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. More government control will probably result. The consolidation and reorganization of the federal bureaucracy is important. However, how will Barack Hussein Obama do it by thinking bigger? The outcome will undoubtedly be more socialist/communist organization with all control in the hands of the federal government and the individual citizen will be a pawn without freedom.

293. I will submit that proposal to Congress for a vote – and we will push to get it passed.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Evidently Barack Hussein Obama means the Democrats.

294. In the coming year, we will also work to rebuild people's faith in the institution of government.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? This is a forked-tongue statement. Barack Hussein Obama is going to rebuild the people's faith in government that he destroyed in 2009 and 2010. There is an improper use of **rebuild** that is a falsification of the situation. Faith cannot be rebuilt. Faith can be restored. Republicans do not want to rebuild the people's faith. They want to instill faith in the people.

295. Because you deserve to know exactly how and where your tax dollars are being spent, you will be able to go to a website and get that information for the very first time in history.

COMMENT: This is speaking with a forked tongue. In other words, the money will have to be spent before the taxpayer can find out where the money was spent. It is like passing ObamaCare. Congress had to pass the legislation so that they could see what was in it!

296. Because you deserve to know when your elected officials are meeting with lobbyists, I ask Congress to do what the White House has already done: put that information online.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. The White House does not only meet with lobbyists. It asks them to write laws, like ObamaCare. It even appoints them to government positions, like Barack Hussein Obama's czars.

297. And because the American people deserve to know that special interests aren't larding up legislation with pet projects, both parties in Congress should know this: if a bill comes to my desk with earmarks inside, I will veto it.

COMMENT: This is speaking with a forked tongue. Barack Hussein Obama will surely veto legislation with earmarks like he did in the past. Nada!

298. A 21st century government that's open and competent.

COMMENT: It should be written 21st-century government. The statement is a falsification of reality. Barack Hussein Obama's regime is neither open nor competent.

299. A government that lives within its means.

COMMENT: The only financial means the Barack Hussein Obama regime has is deficit means.

300. An economy that's driven by new skills and ideas.

COMMENT: New skills and ideas are surely important. However, what really powers the economy are the sound economic policies of the free enterprise system.

301. Our success in this new and changing world will require reform, responsibility, and innovation.

COMMENT: Such a statement is a forked-tongue statement.

302. It will also require us to approach that world with a new level of engagement in our foreign affairs.

COMMENT: The level of engagement should be an *America First* engagement. It should not consist of kowtowing, bowing, and insulting the United States of America.

303. Just as jobs and businesses can now race across borders, so can new threats and new challenges.

COMMENT: This is a stupid statement. It is also a falsification of fact. Jobs and business do not race across borders. Illegal aliens do! Jobs and business cross borders via contracts with business in other countries. New threats are challenges. New threats at the borders of the United States of America are not being thwarted by Barack Hussein Obama and Janet Neapolitano. America is not secure and safe from outside threats and the inner undermining of the American system of a federal republic.

304. No single wall separates East and West; no one rival superpower is aligned against us.

COMMENT: This is a stupid statement and a falsification of reality. There is not one superpower against the United States of America. There are many: China, Russia, Islamic Iran, Market-place India, Wahabite Saudi Arabia, Arab Jihadists, calls for an international Sharia, the establishment of transnational law, the strong Euro currency, etc. At one and the same time they are rival superpowers in their own right and have erected walls regarding East and West.

305. And so we must defeat determined enemies wherever they are, and build coalitions that cut across lines of region and race and religion.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The coalitions are already in place but Barack Hussein Obama has dismantled and disregarded them. Barack Hussein Obama has allowed undue influence of many of the superpowers listed above to be placed over the influence and importance of the United States of America.

306. America's moral example must always shine for all who yearn for freedom, justice, and dignity.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. However, since Barack Hussein Obama has been resident in the Oval Office, America has no more moral power. The support of Barack Hussein Obama regarding the activities of the Department of Justice, the United

States non-role in the United Nations, the deterioration of the relationship with Israel, the licking of Iran's mullah boots, the signing of the hate law legislation, the closing-down of Guantanamo, the pro-Islamic mosque stance at Ground Zero in New York City, the allowing of terrorists to have the same rights and privileges as American citizens before a court of law, etc. are all indications that America's moral example is non-existent. The moral power of a nation comes from its Constitution and from its leaders. The moral power in chief broke the law of the Constitution and has no morals himself. For Barack Hussein Obama the end justifies the means and the means justify the ends, always. Barack Hussein Obama is the head of this stinking fish!

307. And because we have begun this work, tonight we can say that American leadership has been renewed and America's standing has been restored.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? This is a forked-tongue statement. It is a falsification, indeed, an outright lie. See comment at 303.

308. Look to Iraq, where nearly 100,000 of our brave men and women have left with their heads held high; where American combat patrols have ended; violence has come down; and a new government has been formed.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of reality. The statistics tell a different story. (<http://www.defense.gov/news/casualty.pdf>; http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/shared/spl/hi/in_depth/baghdad_navigator/) Under Barack Hussein Obama there were the following bombings (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2009_in_Iraq/ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2010_in_Iraq/):

2009

- [2009 Baghdad police recruitment centre bombing](#)
- [6 April 2009 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [23 April 2009 Iraqi suicide attacks](#)
- [20 June 2009 Taza bombing](#)
- [24 June 2009 Baghdad bombing](#)
- [2009 Kirkuk bombing](#)
- [9 July 2009 Tal Afar bombing](#)
- [19 August 2009 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [25 October 2009 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [8 December 2009 Baghdad bombings](#)

2010

- [25 January 2010 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [1 February 2010 Baghdad bombing\[4\]](#)
- [3 March 2010 Baqubah bombings](#)
- [April 4, 2010 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [6 April 2010 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [April 2010 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [10 May 2010 Iraq attacks](#)
- [July 2010 Baghdad attacks](#)
- [17 August 2010 Baghdad bombing](#)
- [25 August 2010 Iraq bombings](#)
- [19 September 2010 Baghdad bombings](#)
- [October 2010 Baghdad church attack](#)
- [2 November 2010 Baghdad bombings](#)

In 2010 there were 96 major terrorists attacks world-wide. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_terrorist_incidents,_2010) It took nine months for Iraq to form a semblance of a new government (21 December 2010) since the elections on 7 March 2010. (<http://www.voanews.com/english/news/Iraqi-Parliament-Approves-New-Government-112244804.html>)

309. This year, our civilians will forge a lasting partnership with the Iraqi people, while we finish the job of bringing our troops out of Iraq.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The statement is a falsification of reality. Barack Hussein Obama overlooks and does not mention an important word in the partnership that is to be: Strategic. The emphasis must be on a strategic partnership. The partnership must not be only civilian and joint ventures. (<http://csis.org/publication/iraq-strategic-partnership-or-lose-unwon-war>) American troops will not be brought out of Iraq; approximately 50,000 will remain. (<http://www.weeklystandard.com/blogs/final-us-combat-brigade-leaves-iraq>)

310. America's commitment has been kept; the Iraq War is coming to an end.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. It is a falsification. The earliest date is probably 2014. Barack Hussein Obama will take credit for what President George W. Bush accomplished. (http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20015206-503544.html; <http://www.counterpunch.org/porter11162010.html>)

311. Of course, as we speak, al Qaeda and their affiliates continue to plan attacks against us.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? This is an understatement if ever there was one!

312. Thanks to our intelligence and law enforcement professionals, we are disrupting plots and securing our cities and skies.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we, our**? This is a forked-tongue statement. It is doubtful that Americans consider themselves safe under the auspices of Janet Neapolitano, Department of Homeland Security, Eric Holder, Department of (in)Justice, and Barack Hussein Obama's watered down Rules of Engagement regarding the Taliban and al Qaeda.

313. And as extremists try to inspire acts of violence within our borders, we are responding with the strength of our communities, with respect for the rule of law, and with the conviction that American Muslims are a part of our American family.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The response is exceedingly weak. The statement is a falsification of fact. There is no American family. Muslims cannot be a part of America unless America converts to Islam. The Koran says this (all non-believers must be exterminated or pay the dhimmi tax which does not guarantee any non-believer from being killed by Muslims), the Hadiths say this (all non-believers must be exterminated or pay the dhimmi tax which does not guarantee any non-believer from being killed by Muslims), Mohammed's life and actions, particularly his last ten years, say this (all non-believers must be exterminated or pay the dhimmi tax which does not guarantee any non-believer from being killed by Muslims). The statement is a falsification of historical fact.

314. We have also taken the fight to al Qaeda and their allies abroad.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Where? Barack Hussein Obama does not even have an idea where al Qaeda is. The statement is a falsification of reality. Barack Hussein Obama has no conception of the allies in the United States of America. There are approximately 100 major organizations in the United States of America that owe their allegiance to Islam, not to the United States of America. The most anti-American are the Council on American-Islamic Relations, the North American Islamic Foundation, the

North American Islamic Trust, (P. David G. Haubitz, Paul Sperry, Muslim Mafia, WND Books, New York: 2009, passim)

315. In Afghanistan, our troops have taken Taliban strongholds and trained Afghan Security Forces.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of reality. Barack Hussein Obama's Rules of Engagement have benefited the Taliban.

(<http://floppingaces.net/2009/09/09/obamas-rules-of-engagement-in-afghanistan-costing-our-troops-lives/>; <http://hotair.com/archives/2010/02/23/obamas-rules-of-engagement-calling-lawyers-for-permission-to-kill-terrorists/>;
<http://conservativeamericannews.com/flopping-aces/obama%E2%80%99s-rules-of-engagement-in-afghanistan-will-ensure-our-failure>;
<http://alanpetersvideos.blogspot.com/2009/08/obama-rules-of-engagement-run-hide.html>)

316. Our purpose is clear – by preventing the Taliban from reestablishing a stranglehold over the Afghan people, we will deny al Qaeda the safe-haven that served as a launching pad for 9/11.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. A purpose is not a goal to win the war in Afghanistan. The goal, not the purpose should be not to prevent the Taliban from doing something, but to eliminate the Taliban as the enemy in both Afghanistan and in Pakistan.

317. Thanks to our heroic troops and civilians, fewer Afghans are under the control of the insurgency.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification of fact. "A Pentagon report mandated by Congress drew similar conclusions It said attacks were up 70% since 2009 and threefold since 2007. As a result of the continued violence, the Taliban still threaten the Afghan government, according to the report. The White House's National Security Council declined to comment." A security risk assessment map of Afghanistan by the United Nations shows that ca 50 percent of Afghanistan is not secure.

(<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052970203568004576043842922347526.html>)

318. There will be tough fighting ahead, and the Afghan government will need to deliver better governance.

COMMENT: The fighting will be tough because of Barack Hussein Obama's rules of engagement. The Afghan people will not need to deliver better governance; they will have to stop government corruption.

319. But we are strengthening the capacity of the Afghan people and building an enduring partnership with them.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The statement is misleading. The so-called enduring partnerships are bribes to the Taliban. Why not? It is a form of the dhimmi tax and the Chicago way of Barack Hussein Obama.

(<http://abcnews.go.com/WN/Afghanistan/united-states-military-funding-taliban-afghanistan/story?id=10980527>; <http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/dcnow/2010/06/us-contractors-bribing-afghan-taliban-for-safe-passage-house-probe-finds-.html>)

320. This year, we will work with nearly 50 countries to begin a transition to an Afghan lead. And this July, we will begin to bring our troops home.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The word **lead** is wrong. It should be **leadership**. The statement is misleading: the British estimate 2014. The American drawdown will be very limited. (<http://news.smh.com.au/breaking-news-world/us-2011-afghan-drawdown-will-be-limited-gates-20100801-11194.html>)

321. In Pakistan, al Qaeda's leadership is under more pressure than at any point since 2001.

COMMENT: This statement is a falsification of fact. Al Qaeda is more concentrated in Pakistan than ever before.

(<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/section?content=a902147359&fulltext=713240928>)

322. Their leaders and operatives are being removed from the battlefield. Their safe-havens are shrinking.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. While Barack Hussein Obama attempts to secure Afghanistan, al Qaeda are operating terrorist training camps in Pakistan, Yemen, Somalia, and Lebanon. (<http://islamexposed.blogspot.com/>)

323. And we have sent a message from the Afghan border to the Arabian Peninsula to all parts of the globe: we will not relent, we will not waver, and we will defeat you.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Every Muslim country on the earth is a safe haven for them. They are only executing the goal of the Koran: kill the non-believers. (<http://islamexposed.blogspot.com/>)

324. American leadership can also be seen in the effort to secure the worst weapons of war.

COMMENT: The allusion is to nuclear warheads. However the worst weapons of war are traitors, like Barack Hussein Obama. (<http://blog.heritage.org/2010/04/06/russia-new-start-clearly-links-missile-reduction-with-missile-defense/>)

325. Because Republicans and Democrats approved the New START Treaty, far fewer nuclear weapons and launchers will be deployed.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. "The White House has been claiming that the treaty "does not contain any constraints on testing, development or deployment of current or planned U.S. missile defense programs." And from day one the Russians have been saying the opposite." (<http://blog.heritage.org/2010/04/06/russia-new-start-clearly-links-missile-reduction-with-missile-defense/>)

326. Because we rallied the world, nuclear materials are being locked down on every continent so they never fall into the hands of terrorists.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The statement is a lie! It is a falsification of reality and historical fact. Naturally, like in Iran? Does Pakistan not have nuclear weapons? Does North Korea not have nuclear weapons? Does China not have nuclear weapons?

327. Because of a diplomatic effort to insist that Iran meet its obligations, the Iranian government now faces tougher and tighter sanctions than ever before.

COMMENT: This statement is an example of Barack Hussein Obama's dangerous hot air. This is a forked-tongue statement. It is a falsification of reality. The Iranian government could care less about sanctions, whether they are tough or not. The mission of the Islamists, regardless of the country is, regarded as a divine mission to conquer the world. The reward will be eternal paradise with 72 virgins. If the goal is not accomplished the Islamist will burn in an eternal fire. Any Islamist would be a fool not to conduct war on the non-believers, regardless of the weapons of war used.

328. And on the Korean peninsula, we stand with our ally South Korea, and insist that North Korea keeps its commitment to abandon nuclear weapons.

COMMENT: Now these are really strong words for a Chicago thug. Surely North Korea is shaking all over as a result of this hard policy!

329. This is just a part of how we are shaping a world that favors peace and prosperity.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The statement is misleading. The United States of America is no longer a world super power with enough influence to shape anything in the world. The United States of America is a besieged power.
(<http://news.illinois.edu/news/08/0508superpower.html>)

330. With our European allies, we revitalized NATO, and increased our cooperation on everything from counter-terrorism to missile defense.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? The statement is misleading. If NATO is revitalized, then Barack Hussein Obama is the Emperor of China!, (which he would like to be!) NATO is still a relic of the cold war, despite its being active in Europe, Asia, and Africa. (<http://debatepedia.idebate.org/en/index.php/Debate: Is NATO a relic%3F>; <http://www.scribd.com/doc/45002957/Is-NATO%E2%80%99s-Nuclear-Deterrence-Policy-a-Relic-of-the-Cold-War>)

331. We have reset our relationship with Russia, strengthened Asian alliances, and built new partnerships with nations like India.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Russia cannot be trusted without verification. Lenin, himself, said, "Trust is good, but control is better!" Where are the strengthened alliances? Ties with China have been strengthened, but they are not in an alliance with

the United States of America. The partnerships with India have resulted in American job-outsourcing.

332. This March, I will travel to Brazil, Chile, and El Salvador to forge new alliances for progress in the Americas.

COMMENT: It appears that this will be a meeting of international socialists.

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brazilian_Socialist_Party;

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Socialist_Party_of_Chile;

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Farabundo_Mart%C3%AD_National_Liberation_Front)

333. Around the globe, we are standing with those who take responsibility – helping farmers grow more food; supporting doctors who care for the sick; and combating the corruption that can rot a society and rob people of opportunity.

COMMENT: WHO is meant with **we**? The statement is a total falsification of reality. Barack Hussein Obama surely has first-hand experience with corruption. Barack Hussein Obama has no fidelity to the law. He has been on the Judicial Watch List of Washington's Ten Most Corrupt Politicians since 2006.

(<http://www.judicialwatch.org/news/2010/dec/judicial-watch-announces-list-washingtons-ten-most-wanted-corrupt-politicians-2010>

<http://www.judicialwatch.org/news/2009/dec/judicial-watch-announces-list-washington-s-ten-most-wanted-corrupt-politicians-2009>

<http://www.judicialwatch.org/news/2008/dec/judicial-watch-announces-list-washingtons-ten-most-wanted-corrupt-politicians-2008>

<http://www.judicialwatch.org/judicial-watch-announces-list-washington-s-ten-most-wanted-corrupt-politicians-2007>

<http://www.judicialwatch.org/news/2006/dec/judicial-watch-announces-list-washington-s-ten-most-wanted-corrupt-politicians-2006>)

The Department of Justice under Eric Holder has not been very successful in combating corruption. It cannot even clean its own department of corruption. (<http://speaknowamerica.org/2011/01/12/the-house-will-investigate-the-corrupt-department-of-justice.aspx>)

Regarding help for doctors and farmers, Barack Hussein Obama is taking recognition for the work done by non-governmental organizations.

334. Recent events have shown us that what sets us apart must not just be our power – it must be the purpose behind it.

COMMENT: The age-old adage is that *power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely*. The Chicago thug in chief knows this well!

335. In South Sudan – with our assistance – the people were finally able to vote for independence after years of war.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. United States aid hurt Southern Sudan and Egypt more than it helped. (<http://www.newsweek.com/2010/09/24/is-massive-u-s-aid-helping-south-sudan.html><http://www.almasryalyoum.com/en/news/us-israeli-assistance-south-sudan-affect-egypt-nile-quota-experts-say>;
[http://www.cnbc.com/id/41037227/South Sudan to need more food aid after vote U
_N](http://www.cnbc.com/id/41037227/South_Sudan_to_need_more_food_aid_after_vote_U_N))

336. Thousands lined up before dawn.

COMMENT: Lines always form under socialism/communism, This is the situation even in Islamic states, which Southern Sudan is not, not yet! The civil war could start up again over oil politics.
([http://www.newamerica.net/publications/articles/2011/where a new sudanese civil war could begin 43354#](http://www.newamerica.net/publications/articles/2011/where_a_new_sudanese_civil_war_could_begin_43354#); <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/mobile/world-africa-12208399>;
<http://uwire.com/2011/01/27/column-sudan-cannot-be-ignored/>)

337. People danced in the streets.

COMMENT: What rhetorical eloquence! The sheeple in the audience could hear the music!

338. One man who lost four of his brothers at war summed up the scene around him:
“This was a battlefield for most of my life. Now we want to be free.”

COMMENT: This man's cry for freedom is the cry in the United States of America also. That is what the citizens of the United States of America want, too. However, Barack Hussein Obama is slowly, but surely taking freedom away from them.
(<http://religion.blogs.cnn.com/2010/08/16/my-take-president-obamas-assault-on-americans-first-freedom/>;
<http://www.rootforamerica.com/blog/index.php?entry=entry100508-212223>)

339. We saw that same desire to be free in Tunisia, where the will of the people proved more powerful than the writ of a dictator.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Barack Hussein Obama knew about it all the while. Perhaps his Muslim oriented-regime planned it.

(http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=0Jhx_2TqffE#;
http://www.therealnews.com/t2/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=31&Itemid=74&jumival=6114; http://www.daily-sun.com/?view=details&type=daily_sun_news&pub_no=114&cat_id=2&menu_id=5&news_type_id=1&news_id=23773&archiev=yes&arch_date=31-01-2011)

340. And tonight, let us be clear: the United States of America stands with the people of Tunisia, and supports the democratic aspirations of all people.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Not all people want democracy, particularly Arab peoples. The prospects are that democracy, which without regulations of a federal, republican constitution, is nothing but mob rule, will not appear. There will be an Islamic state. Freedoms will not be grounded in secular law. All of the other countries crying for democracy, Jordan, Egypt, Yemen will topple and become purely Islamic states based on the Koran and the Sharia.

(<http://www.sheffieldforum.co.uk/showthread.php?p=7220164>)

341. We must never forget that the things we've struggled for, and fought for, live in the hearts of people everywhere.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of logic and history. Arabic, Muslims, Islamists do not possess the values stated in the *Constitution for the United States of America*. They do not possess the virtues of the Western World.

(<http://www.smh.com.au/opinion/politics/how-the-west-was-lost-a-lack-of-faith-in-civilisation-20100411-s0ow.html%22%5Dhttp://www.smh.com.au/opinion/politics/how...00411-s0ow.html%5B/url>; <http://savageinfidel.blogspot.com/2010/09/islamic-values-superior-to-western.html>)

342. And we must always remember that the Americans who have borne the greatest burden in this struggle are the men and women who serve our country.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. It is falsification of Barack Hussein Obama's concept of the military. The men and women who serve in the United States Armed Forces have always carried this burden. That is why Barack Hussein Obama likes them so much. (<http://normanhooben.blogspot.com/2009/03/obama-hates-militaryhates-them-with.html>; <http://normanhooben.blogspot.com/2010/05/disrespectful-activity-out-of-obama.html>; http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/atlas_shrugs/2010/05/o-cancels-memorial-literally-and-figuratively-to-those-who-made-the-ultimate-sacrifice-on-behalf-of-.html)

343. Tonight, let us speak with one voice in reaffirming that our nation is united in support of our troops and their families.

COMMENT: This is a forked-tongue statement. The left is not united in the support of the armed forces, unless they are Organizing for America forces. Think about the pro-military stance of *Jane Hanoi Fonda* and *Code Achmadimijad Pink*. Anti-military organizations have access to American high schools equal to the access of the military. (<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1974381/posts>)

344. Let us serve them as well as they have served us – by giving them the equipment they need; by providing them with the care and benefits they have earned; and by enlisting our veterans in the great task of building our own nation.

COMMENT: This sounds like Barack Hussein Obama's state-within-a-state: Organizing of America.

345. Our troops come from every corner of this country – they are black, white, Latino, Asian and Native American.

COMMENT: The United States of America does not have corners. It has geographical directions. Some have even forged their Selective Service Registration. (<http://www.debbieschlussel.com/4428/exclusive-did-next-commander-in-chief-falsify-selective-service-registration-never-actually-register-obamas-draft-registration-raises-serious-questions/>; <http://www.scribd.com/doc/14021152/Obama-Selective-Service-Document-Problems>; <http://obamadocuments.wordpress.com/2008/12/15/hello-world/>)

346. They are Christian and Hindu, Jewish and Muslim.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. But are they all patriotic? Muslims can be patriotic only to Islam and an Islamic state! Consider the Fort Hood massacre. Major Nidal Malik Hasan was not a patriotic American soldier.

347. And, yes, we know that some of them are gay.

COMMENT: This is another excessively intelligent understatement.

348. Starting this year, no American will be forbidden from serving the country they love because of who they love.

COMMENT: There are many good reasons to prohibit them.

<http://articles.latimes.com/2010/feb/26/nation/la-na-military-gays26-2010feb26>;
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703389004575033601528093416.html>)

349. And with that change, I call on all of our college campuses to open their doors to our military recruiters and the ROTC.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama is speaking with a forked tongue. His recent appointment to the United States Supreme Court, Elena Kagan, outlawed the military from Harvard University. (<http://www.weeklystandard.com/blogs/kagan-defends-discriminating-against-military-harvard>; <http://www.weeklystandard.com/blogs/anti-military-justice>; <http://www.weeklystandard.com/blogs/two-wrong-deans-are-no-better-one>; <http://www.weeklystandard.com/blogs/dellinger-harvards-anti-military-and-anti-rotc-policies>)

350. It is time to leave behind the divisive battles of the past.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. That is why some people still receive emails from the Democratic National Committee asking them for email support and money support so that the Democrats can overwhelm the Republicans with messages from the electorate which they will use to stop reform. The latest email received concerned blocking Republican attempts to have ObamaCare defeated through the courts. It did not help them! A Florida federal judge decided ObamaCare is unconstitutional. (<http://www.redstate.com/erick/2011/01/31/obamacare-unconstitutional/>)

351. It is time to move forward as one nation.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Under Barack Hussein Obama's leadership the United States of America will move forward as Sisyphus moved up the hill.

352. We should have no illusions about the work ahead of us.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Barack Hussein Obama talks about work and he does not know what work is! The illusions are socialist/communist illusions. The Republicans, the Tea Party Movement, even the Independence Party understand the realities of the challenges facing the United States of America.

353. Reforming our schools; changing the way we use energy; reducing our deficit – none of this is easy.

COMMENT: Socialist/communist reform is never easy! Reforms by the free market are easy and do not cost as much.

354. All of it will take time.

COMMENT: What a great statement of unsurpassed intelligence! Barack Hussein Obama is prepared to hold office forever!

355. And it will be harder because we will argue about everything.

COMMENT: **Argue** is the wrong word. **Debate** is a better word. Debate connotes respect for the opposing side. Aha! It is Barack Hussein Obama who is speaking. Perhaps argue is the correct word concerning the attitude of confrontation of Barack Hussein Obama and the Democrats.

356. The cost.

COMMENT: What profundity!

357. The details.

COMMENT: What eloquence!

358. The letter of every law.

COMMENT: What unequalled rhetoric!

359. Of course, some countries don't have this problem.

COMMENT: What geniality!

360. If the central government wants a railroad, they get a railroad – no matter how many homes are bulldozed.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama is describing socialist/communist reality.

361. If they don't want a bad story in the newspaper, it doesn't get written.

COMMENT: This must be the reason why Wikipedia purges all articles critical of Barack Hussein Obama from its website, even though such articles are documented and are true. It is why one cannot google any references with the subject being *Obama hates/dislikes/is not fond of Republicans*. However you can find such sources with other search engines.

362. And yet, as contentious and frustrating and messy as our democracy can sometimes be, I know there isn't a person here who would trade places with any other nation on Earth.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? **Our** democracy? The statement is misleading. The socialist/communist concept of democracy is mob rule. That is exactly what Barack Hussein Obama's regime is: a mob! Saying that the United States of America is a democracy is a falsification of historical fact. Evidently Barack Hussein Obama's grey cells are so thick or so thin that he cannot or does not want to realize that the United States of America is a constitutional republic. It is not a democracy. The term *democracy* is not stated as a form of government in the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Considering the praise Barack Hussein Obama heaped on China, it would seem that he would not mind living there.

363. We may have differences in policy, but we all believe in the rights enshrined in our Constitution.

COMMENT: This is a falsification. One of those rights is that the citizens of the United States of America have the right to elect a president who is a natural born citizen, and nothing but a natural born citizen, born in the United States of America to two citizen parents. The rights are not enshrined in the Constitution. The rights American citizens have are set down as laws in the Constitution. The *Constitution for the United States of America* is not a shrine; it is not a sacred religious reliquary.

364. We may have different opinions, but we believe in the same promise that says this is a place where you can make it if you try.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? The statement is a falsification. There is no stated promise in the *Constitution for the United States of America*.

365. We may have different backgrounds, but we believe in the same dream that says this is a country where anything's possible.

COMMENT: This is a misleading statement. The *Constitution for the United States of America* makes no promises that one's dream can be fulfilled. This is a contradiction in logic and a falsification of what is normally meant by fulfilling one's dream(s). As stated by Barack Hussein Obama, dreams can have negative outcomes if anything is possible, for dreams can then have positive and negative consequences.

366. No matter who you are.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Barack Hussein Obama is saying that his concept holds true for illegal, alien, undocumented workers! The laws of the United States of America say something else.

367. No matter where you come from.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Does Barack Hussein Obama mean that someone born in Kenya can become an illegal, undocumented, alien worker and that everything is okay even if the laws of the United States of America and the Constitution are broken?

368. That dream is why I can stand here before you tonight.

COMMENT: Yes, evidently that which is stated in the above comment is exactly what Barack Hussein Obama means!

369. That dream is why a working class kid from Scranton can stand behind me.

COMMENT: Kid is a demeaning term. At least the child from Scranton is hopefully not the off-spring of illegal alien parents.

370. That dream is why someone who began by sweeping the floors of his father's Cincinnati bar can preside as Speaker of the House in the greatest nation on Earth.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. Dreams are not guaranteed by the Constitution. Of course, a person can become Speaker of the House of Representatives if that person meets the qualifications for such an office and has the moral, ethical stance to execute this position according to law, and not to do it as Nancy Pelosi did throughout the last two years.

371. That dream – that American Dream – is what drove the Allen Brothers to reinvent their roofing company for a new era.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. What drove the Allen Brothers to reinvent their roofing company was a government subsidy. It was not the American dream, which no American government can guarantee.

372. It's what drove those students at Forsyth Tech to learn a new skill and work towards the future.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. The dream did not drive the students at Forsyth Tech to learn a new skill and work towards the future. What drove them were the implications of what kind of non-careers they would have if they did not study and learn a profession or skill. The drive was the hard reality of the employment situation and prospects for non-skilled workers.

373. And that dream is the story of a small business owner named Brandon Fisher.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. The dream is not the story of Brandon Fisher. Brandon Fisher's success has very little, if not nothing to do with the American Dream. Moreover, Barack Hussein Obama is attempting to obtain similar recognition by using Brandon Fisher as an American success story, which it is. The reality is that Brandon Fisher worked in the drilling business for another firm, "before deciding to venture out on his own, searching for ways to improve the existing products. This young entrepreneur recognized the need for a manufacturing company that was reactive to customer needs, with innovative products and round-the-clock customer service and support." This was not an American Dream. It was simple Yankee common sense! Brandon Fisher's company "Center Rock began as a drilling company, for which Fisher designed and built his own Horizontal drilling rig. Shortly thereafter, he began focusing on making Center Rock an air and rock drilling supplier and manufacturer." Brandon Fisher is so successful because he is able to meet challenges with logic. (<http://www.centerrock.com/content/about-us>) But it is not a success as the result of the American Dream. It is success as a result of ingenuity. Therefore, Barack Hussein Obama's statement is a falsification of reality and an exploitation of Brandon Fisher's success.

374. Brandon started a company in Berlin, Pennsylvania that specializes in a new kind of drilling technology.

COMMENT: This is a slight falsification of reality. The company does not specialize in a new kind of drilling technology. The company specializes in many different kinds of drilling technology. The company focuses "on drilling for the toughest applications, including construction and infrastructure as well as oil and gas." Therefore, this is not a specialization in **a new kind** of drilling technology, but in various kinds of drilling technology. (<http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/center-rock-inc-founder-and-president-brandon-fisher-responds-to-president-obamas-2011-state-of-the-union-address-114660649.html>)

375. One day last summer, he saw the news that halfway across the world, 33 men were trapped in a Chilean mine, and no one knew how to save them.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama saw the same news, but did not offer any assistance. However, he was readily able to take recognition accolades for the miners' rescue.

Let us say that the Chilean mine accident happened in West Virginia. Here is a scenario Barack Hussein Obama would probably have done:

"On August 5, Obama claims that the mine disaster was "inherited" from the Bush administration, which blocked urgently needed regulatory reform in order to pacify Wall Street investors.

Obama promises "immediate action" to reform mine safety with a host of new regulations that could ultimately bankrupt the coal industry, thereby ending coal mine disasters forever.

Before a rescue mission can be sent into the mines, Obama decrees that a formal Environmental Impact Statement must be prepared and reviewed to assure that a rare species of red-neck mosquitoes living in and around the mine are not endangered.

The required EPA study will take about three years to complete, a fact that Obama blames on the reckless policies of the Bush administration. Another "inherited" crisis, as it turns out.

On August 6, the President heads to Waikiki Beach for a "well-deserved" 10-day vacation and non-stop golf, swimming, and telemarketing for campaign donations.

Spokesman Robert Gibbs defends the president's timing by reminding the media that it has been nearly two months since the First Family vacationed anywhere – much less Hawaii.

On September 5, Obama reminds the media that it has been 30 days since the mine tragedy. He proudly notes that there have been no repeat mine disasters, a fact he attributes to Janet Napolitano's exceptional leadership and focus on mine safety issues, rather than wasting time worrying about border security, illegal aliens, and terrorism.

On September 11, Obama commemorates the ninth anniversary of the 9/11 terrorist attacks and reminds the American people of his administration's unblemished record on mine safety since August 5.

On September 12, Michelle and her oldest daughter head to Europe where the first lady and her entourage will spend two weeks, using unspent stimulus money, to enjoy lavish food, entertainment, housing and clothes.

Obama talking head Robert Gibbs defends the multi-million price tag by reminding the media that Michelle is a direct descendant of slaves who picked cotton for white plantation owners for \$.20 a month.

On September 20, Barack Obama heads to an undisclosed location for a "well-deserved" three week vacation from the Fish Bowl that is the Oval Office.

Robert Gibbs reminds the media that the president is America's first African-American president and, as such, deserves extra perks for performing so well.

On October 14, the 33 West Virginia miners are rescued. Obama calls an immediate press conference to highlight the fact that his administration is claiming credit for saving another 33 jobs, further proof, the president claims, that his trillion-dollar stimulus worked as planned!

There you go: The workings of the mind of an elitist Marxist too out of touch to recognize his own insanity!"

(<http://newsblaze.com/story/20101014190638lill.nb/topstory.html>;
http://www.familysecuritymatters.org/publications/id.7720/pub_detail.asp)

376. But Brandon thought his company could help.

COMMENT: Brandon Fisher **knew** his company could help.

377. And so he designed a rescue that would come to be known as Plan B.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. Barack Hussein Obama is commenting as if he knows all about Plan B.

378. His employees worked around the clock to manufacture the necessary drilling equipment.

COMMENT: This is nothing unusual in capitalism. That is their job. A socialist/communist would have said the union does not allow us to work longer than six hours a day.

379. And Brandon left for Chile.

COMMENT: This is a trite statement. This was the only logical place to go! Or, should he have gone to play golf?

380. Along with others, he began drilling a 2,000 foot hole into the ground, working three or four days at a time with no sleep.

COMMENT: This is a falsification of reality. Brandon Fisher did not do the drilling. His team did. He was not alone. Here is a partial list of all of the capitalist companies that Barack Hussein Obama hates that helped to save the Chilean miners. They deserve recognition, too.

Schramm Inc. of West Chester, Pennsylvania built the drills and equipment used to reach the trapped miners.

Center Rock Company, also from Pennsylvania, built the drill bits used to reach the miners.

UPS, the US shipping company, delivered the 13-ton drilling equipment from Pennsylvania to Chile in less than 48 hours.

Crews from Layne Christensen Company of Wichita Kansas and its subsidiary Geotec Boyles Bros. worked the drills and machinery to locate and reach the miners and then enlarge the holes to ultimately rescue them.

Jeff Hart of Denver Colorado was called off his job drilling water wells for the U.S. Army's forward operating bases in Afghanistan to lead the drilling crew that reached the miners.

Atlas Copco Construction Mining Company of Milwaukee, Wisconsin provided consulting on how to make drilling equipment from different sources work together under differing pressure specifications.

Aries Central California Video of Fresno California designed the special cameras that were lowered nearly a mile into the ground sending back video of the miners.

Zephyr Technologies of Annapolis Maryland, made the remote monitors of vital signs that miners wore during their ascent.

NASA Engineers designed the "Phoenix" capsule that brought the miners to the surface, and provided medical consulting, special diets and spandex suits to maintain miners' blood pressure as they were brought back to the surface.

Oakley (California) supplied and gave the sun glasses for the miners to wear to protect their eyes from sunlight.

Canadian-based Precision Drilling Corp. and South-African company Murray & Roberts, drilled backup rescue shafts in case the American rig failed. Which it didn't.

Barack Hussein Obama did not mention them because his blood would have frozen at the mention of so many capitalist companies.

(<http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20101026051437AAd6i6S>)

381. Thirty-seven days later, Plan B succeeded, and the miners were rescued.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama knows all of the details. He was briefed daily, at the golf course! But capitalism saved the Chilean miners, not socialism/communism!

(<http://mnprager.wordpress.com/2010/10/14/did-capitalism-save-los-33-in-chile-yes-indeed-long-live-the-free-market/>)

382. But because he didn't want all of the attention, Brandon wasn't there when the miners emerged.

COMMENT: The statement is misleading. This author doubts that this is the reason. Presumably, Brandon Fisher went back to Berlin, Pennsylvania because he was not personally needed in Chile. He had faith and belief in his team and the associated companies.

383. He had already gone home, back to work on his next project.

COMMENT: Barack Hussein Obama is a typical socialist/communist. Barack Hussein Obama knows the answers, but not the correct ones.

384. Later, one of his employees said of the rescue, "We proved that Center Rock is a little company, but we do big things."

COMMENT: Indeed, the company does big things! The suspicion is that Barack Hussein Obama will somehow take credit for it or at least exploit the phrase.

385. We do big things.

COMMENT: The suspicion is correct! Here it comes!

386. From the earliest days of our founding, America has been the story of ordinary people who dare to dream.

COMMENT: Improper tense. Who is meant with **our**? Surely Barack Hussein Obama does not include himself, the bogus occupier of the Oval Office. The great orator does not know his grammar. The sentence should be: From the earliest days of our founding, America has been the story of ordinary people who have dared to dream. Both predicates should be in present perfect tense.

387. That's how we win the future.

COMMENT: The future is not a game! However, everything Barack Hussein Obama has done so far is destroying the future.

388. We are a nation that says, "I might not have a lot of money, but I have this great idea for a new company.

COMMENT: The nation can surely say the first part of the sentence since Barack Hussein Obama has occupied the Oval Office. The problem is the second half of the sentence. Barack Hussein Obama has no ideas. Barack Hussein Obama has no concepts other than socialism/communism. Furthermore, the nation does not say such things. American citizens say such things and have ideas of how to solve the situation and make their lives better.

389. I might not come from a family of college graduates, but I will be the first to get my degree.

COMMENT: Americans would certainly like to know how Barack Hussein Obama got his college degrees. Who did the academic work for him? Based upon his work ethic, it is doubtful that he accomplished his academic goals alone. "Barack (Hussein) Obama has displayed a disturbing pattern of work ethics: shirking work; claiming success when he was not entitled to do so; hiding his failures; and claiming the work of others as his own – when it was successful. These are not character traits that we should associate with Presidents." (http://www.americanthinker.com/2009/09/obamas_work_ethic.html)

390. I might not know those people in trouble, but I think I can help them, and I need to try.

COMMENT: Then statement is misleading. This is message of socialism/communism.

391. I'm not sure how we'll reach that better place beyond the horizon, but I know we'll get there.

COMMENT: This is the message of socialism /communism again. For socialists/communists the better place is always beyond the horizon and the horizon can never be reached. For many participants in the free enterprise system the better place can be reached in their own lifetimes.

392. I know we will.

COMMENT: This is an elongation of the *Yes, we can* motto, which when stated by socialists/communists is really *Yes, we con!*

393. We do big things.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **we**? Above Barack Hussein Obama says that China does big things. The statement is misleading. The United States of America does not do big things. The United States of America does great things. It also does negative, weak things, like electing Barack Hussein Obama as a putative president.

394. The idea of America endures.

COMMENT: The statement is a falsification. America is the United States of America and it is not an idea. It is a legal republic, a political entity that was established by *We the People* and set down in a Constitution. As Benjamin Franklin said, the form of government is a constitutional republic, if the people can keep it.

395. Our destiny remains our choice.

COMMENT: Who is meant with **our**? This is a falsification of history. The destiny of the United States of America was set down by the *Declaration of Independence* and the *Constitution for the United States of America*. Apparently Barack Hussein Obama does not recognize these facts of history. The statement is misleading. If Barack Hussein Obama did recognize these documentary facts, he would abide by the basis of the destiny established by the Law of the Land. That basis of destiny should not be changed because it is moral and comes from *We the People*. Only *We the People* can

change the basis of the established destiny form of government. However, Barack Hussein Obama is attempting to change it by destroying it.

396. And tonight, more than two centuries later, it is because of our people that our future is hopeful, our journey goes forward, and the state of our union is strong.

COMMENT: There is a sickening undertone in this statement. Who is meant with *our people, our future, our journey, our union*? We know by Barack Hussein Obama's actions that he is anti-American and anti-every freedom guaranteed by the laws of the Constitution. Substituting the idea of socialism/communist for **our**, tells the real truth behind the statements that Barack Hussein Obama has uttered. The reader then understands that the last sentences say the following: The socialist/communist destiny is the choice of the socialists /communists. *And tonight, more than two centuries later, it is because of the socialist/communist people, that the socialist/communist future is hopeful, the socialist/communist journey goes forward, and the condition of the socialists'/communists' union is strong.* The Congress sheeple applaud? How sick they are in their minds and behavior!

397. Thank you, God Bless You, and may God Bless the United States of America.

COMMENT: "Thank you" for what? Thank you for listening to Barack Hussein Obama's socialist/communist speech? "God Bless You, and may God Bless the United States of America." These words coming out of Barack Hussein Obama's mouth are blasphemy and sound the death knell to the United States of America. These are forked-tongue statements. No American citizen in his/her right mind should believe that Barack Hussein Obama's statements of destruction in the State of The Union address are true.

CLOSING REMARKS

This is the only sentence-by-sentence exposé of Barack Hussein Obama's State of the Union speech delivered before Congress on January 25, 2011. The reverberations of the speech are still being heard throughout the Internet and the world. Barack Hussein Obama received many positive accolades. Barack Hussein Obama is still considered a great orator. In Europe, the praise was enthusiastic. The professors and news reporters sought to trump each other in their unexcelled praise and commentaries regarding what Barack Hussein Obama said and his foresight to solve the political

problems that the United States of America faces. The commentaries and reports were so positive that they were nauseating.

As the reader can readily see, all of the contrary comments are supported by factual, documented sources. Those comments that do not have such documented evidence backing up the investigator's opinion and explanation are considered to have such inconsistencies in the statements by Barack Hussein Obama, that – good Muslim as he is – his proselytizing is considered to be intuitively obvious. The same is true for his statements that are false, lies, forked tongue, and hot air!

The reader may think that the author was too pedantic in the examination. This is true and this is not true. This is true because everything that Barack Hussein Obama says is to be understood in the background of the Saul Alinsky method of destruction of any kind of opponent and the American Republic. In other words, practically everything in its individual aspect is a lie and everything in its whole is a lie of the greatest magnitude. Every word must be weighed to expose Barack Hussein Obama. That the investigation is too pedantic is not true, because in order to understand what Barack Hussein Obama says and does not say means that each sentence must be weighed against his background, what his political stance has always been, his chronic lying, and his narcissistic needs.

Lies destroy! The lies are intended, for Barack Hussein Obama knows that if they are believed as truths, the American sheeple will follow him and that they will descend over the cliff of American destruction like lemmings, while the Muslim pied piper will be acclaimed as the greatest Muslim leader since Mohammed. The State of the Union message contains 36 forked-tongue statements, 127 falsifications of reality or fact, 105 misleading statements, and 4 grave distortions of reality. This results in the reality that 68.6 percent of Barack Hussein Obama's speech are lies and aberrations. The remaining 31.8 percent is nothing of importance. They are banal remarks and platitudes. The lies are important. The State of the Union speech was all about falsification of reality. In the message Barack Hussein Obama has continued to brainwash Americans into denying reality. The brainwashing began with *Hope, Change You Can Believe In*, and *It's all George W. Bush's fault!* The brainwashing will continue as long as Barack Hussein occupies the Oval Office.

Barack Hussein Obama used the first pronoun singular, **I**, 67 times, the first person plural, **we** (which includes himself, 188 times, the third person possessive, **our**, (which includes himself, 122 times. However, There are no strong indications that by using **we** or **our**, Barack Hussein Obama meant to say **America** or **Americans**. In this author's

estimation the undertone of the use of **we/our** was either *socialists/communists, we in power, or my regime*. The author did not highlight and question all of the times Barack Hussein said **we** or **our**. Barack Hussein Obama used the word America 26 times, but the United States of America only twice. Barack Hussein Obama used the imperative, command form **will** 58 times and **more**, meaning more money to spend, 34 times. All of these uses indicate that the speech was more about Barack Hussein Obama and his blueprint for the destruction of the United States of America than it was about making the future of the United States of America profitable. However, this is not unusual, since profits are blasphemous to socialists/communists.

There are exceedingly strong arguments that Barack Hussein Obama plagiarized the State of the Union address. (<http://www.outsidethebeltway.com/did-obama-plagiarize-state-of-the-union/>) This would not be surprising, since there are indications that he has never really written anything of importance in his life, to say nothing of his autobiography. (http://www.cashill.com/natl_general/did_bill_ayers_write_1.htm)

The State of the Union address was spoken in the United States of America. Taking Barack Hussein Obama's praise of China into account, it was made in China. Barack Hussein Obama attempted to stay centrist on the surface, but his real undercover look was not forward. It was into the past and to the left, and had an everyone-is-at-fault-except-him orientation. He was gearing up for his second run to become resident in the Oval Office.

The sheeple in the audience applauded 96 times in 69 minutes. Such a ratio of 1.39 per minute is reminiscent of Joseph Stalin's speeches, those of Adolf Hitler, and Mao Zedong, to say nothing of Erich Honecker's tirades. Barack Hussein Obama belongs in their circle!

The accolades came and came. They did not stop for five-plus days. Yet, it really makes no difference how much the lamestream media in the United States of America supports Barack Hussein Obama. He will lie to them anyway.

Summa summarum and with thanks to Benjamin Franklin, "There was a rainstorm of words but not one drop of reason."

Frederick William Dame

Patriotic, Steadfast, and True

January 31, 2011